

---

## History, Memory, and Identity in the Fiction of Attia Hosain and Qurratulain Hyder

---

**Sarala Bai Kethavath**

Lecturer in English

Government Polytechnic, Narsipatnam

Email id: [saralabaikethavath@gmail.com](mailto:saralabaikethavath@gmail.com)

DOI:10.36993/RJOE.2018.03.02.155

---

### Abstract

The relationship between history and fiction has remained a significant concern in postcolonial literary studies, particularly in societies shaped by colonialism, nationalism, and Partition. Attia Hosain and Qurratulain Hyder occupy a distinctive place in South Asian literature for their engagement with the intersections of personal experience and historical transformation. This article examines Hosain's *Sunlight on a Broken Column* and Hyder's *River of Fire* through the frameworks of cultural memory and historiographic representation. Drawing upon the theories of Maurice Halbwachs, Jan Assmann, and Hayden White, the study argues that both writers challenge official historical narratives by foregrounding memory, lived experience, and cultural continuity. While Hosain reconstructs history through the intimate experiences of women and families, Hyder presents a broader civilizational perspective that emphasizes cultural synthesis and historical continuity. Together, their works demonstrate how literature functions as an alternative archive, preserving memories and identities often marginalized within dominant political histories.

**Keywords:** Cultural Memory, Partition, Historical Fiction, Attia Hosain, Qurratulain Hyder, National Identity, Postcolonial Literature

### Introduction and Theoretical Framework

The relationship between history and fiction has long attracted scholarly attention because both forms seek to interpret and represent the past. While history traditionally claims factual authority, fiction often explores the emotional, cultural, and psychological dimensions of historical experience. In postcolonial societies, literature frequently functions as an alternative archive that records memories and perspectives neglected by official historiography. The Indian subcontinent, marked by colonial rule, nationalist movements, Partition, and postcolonial nation-building, provides a particularly fertile context for examining the interaction between history and literary representation.

---

Among South Asian writers, Attia Hosain and Qurratulain Hyder offer two distinctive yet complementary approaches to historical narration. Writing from the shared cultural milieu of pre-independence North India, both authors witnessed the profound transformations brought about by colonialism and Partition. Their fiction explores how large historical processes shape individual lives, cultural identities, and collective memory. However, they differ significantly in scope and emphasis. Hosain concentrates on the intimate experiences of individuals negotiating social and political change, whereas Hyder adopts a broader historical perspective that spans centuries of civilizational development.

The concept of memory provides an important framework for understanding their works. Maurice Halbwachs argues that memory is socially constructed and sustained through collective frameworks that shape what communities remember and how they remember it. Jan Assmann extends this idea through the concept of cultural memory, which refers to the narratives, traditions, symbols, and texts that preserve historical consciousness across generations. Literary texts often function as repositories of such memory, transmitting experiences that may be absent from official historical records.

Hayden White's theory of historiography further illuminates the relationship between history and fiction. White contends that historical narratives are not transparent representations of the past but interpretive constructions shaped by narrative choices. Literature therefore possesses the capacity to challenge dominant historical accounts by recovering alternative perspectives and emotional truths.

Viewed through these theoretical lenses, *Sunlight on a Broken Column* and *River of Fire* emerge as significant interventions in the politics of memory and identity. Both novels challenge reductive understandings of history and nationhood by foregrounding complexity, plurality, and lived experience. Through personal memory and cultural memory respectively, Hosain and Hyder reconstruct historical realities that continue to influence contemporary debates on belonging, citizenship, and national identity.

### **History, Gender, and Memory in *Sunlight on a Broken Column***

Attia Hosain's *Sunlight on a Broken Column* occupies an important position in South Asian literature for its nuanced portrayal of the relationship between personal experience and historical transformation. Set against the backdrop of late colonial India, the nationalist movement, and Partition, the novel reconstructs history through the consciousness of its protagonist, Laila. Rather than focusing on political leaders or major historical events, Hosain examines how historical change is experienced within domestic spaces and everyday relationships. Through Laila's perspective, the novel reveals the profound impact of nationalism, social reform, and Partition on individual identity and collective memory.

Laila functions as both a participant in and witness to history. Her personal growth unfolds alongside the political transformation of India, allowing readers to observe historical events through intimate experiences rather than official records. This narrative strategy

---

challenges conventional historiography, which often privileges public events while overlooking the emotional realities of ordinary individuals. By centering the narrative on a young Muslim woman, Hosain shifts attention from political institutions to the human consequences of historical change.

The domestic sphere plays a crucial role in the novel. Laila grows up within a conservative aristocratic Muslim household governed by rigid social hierarchies and patriarchal values. The family home becomes a microcosm of a society negotiating the tensions between tradition and modernity. While nationalist politics and anti-colonial movements dominate public discourse, women continue to face restrictions within private spaces. Hosain demonstrates that political freedom and social freedom do not always advance simultaneously. The household thus becomes a site where broader ideological conflicts are reflected and contested.

Gender occupies a central position in Hosain's representation of history. The novel illustrates how women experience political transformations differently from men. Nationalist movements frequently invoke ideals of freedom and liberation, yet women often remain excluded from meaningful participation in political decision-making. Laila's experiences reveal the contradictions of a society that seeks independence from colonial rule while maintaining patriarchal structures within family and community life. Through her struggles, Hosain highlights the gap between nationalist rhetoric and social reality.

At the same time, Laila's narrative challenges the marginalization of women within historical discourse. Traditional histories often portray women as passive observers of political events. Hosain rejects this assumption by demonstrating that women actively interpret, negotiate, and respond to historical change. Laila's reflections reveal the emotional and psychological dimensions of nationalism that are frequently absent from official accounts. Her story becomes a form of alternative historiography that foregrounds experiences overlooked by conventional narratives.

Memory serves as a crucial narrative device throughout the novel. The story unfolds through retrospective recollection, allowing personal memories to intersect with public history. This narrative structure reflects Maurice Halbwachs' concept of collective memory, according to which individual memories are shaped by social and cultural frameworks. Laila's recollections preserve not only her personal experiences but also the memory of a social world undergoing rapid transformation. Through her narrative, readers gain insight into the values, customs, and relationships that characterized pre-independence Muslim society.

Hosain's emphasis on memory challenges the notion of history as an objective record of facts. Historical events acquire meaning through the ways individuals remember and interpret them. Personal memories reveal dimensions of the past that cannot be captured through political documents or official records alone. In this sense, *Sunlight on a Broken*

---

*Column* functions as a literary archive that preserves emotional truths alongside historical realities.

The representation of Partition constitutes one of the most significant aspects of the novel. Rather than focusing exclusively on political negotiations or communal violence, Hosain explores the emotional consequences of division. Partition disrupts relationships, fragments families, and creates uncertainty regarding identity and belonging. The trauma experienced by individuals reflects the broader cultural and social dislocations produced by political change. Through Laila's perspective, Partition emerges not merely as a historical event but as a deeply personal experience of loss and displacement.

Hosain's treatment of Partition also complicates simplistic understandings of Muslim identity. The novel presents a diverse range of perspectives, revealing ideological differences within the Muslim community itself. Characters respond differently to questions of nationalism, communal politics, and migration, demonstrating that identity is neither uniform nor fixed. This complexity challenges monolithic representations of religious communities and underscores the diversity of experiences that characterize historical reality.

Ultimately, *Sunlight on a Broken Column* transforms history into lived experience. Through Laila's journey, Hosain demonstrates that historical events are not abstract political developments but forces that shape everyday lives, relationships, and memories. By foregrounding gender and personal memory, the novel recovers dimensions of history often excluded from official narratives. It offers a powerful exploration of how individuals negotiate social change and preserve their sense of identity amidst political upheaval. In doing so, Hosain establishes literature as an important medium through which marginalized experiences can enter historical consciousness.

### **Civilizational Memory in *River of Fire***

Qurratulain Hyder's *River of Fire* occupies a unique position in South Asian literature because of its expansive treatment of history, memory, and identity. Unlike conventional historical novels confined to a single period, the narrative traverses more than two thousand years of South Asian civilization. Through recurring characters and shifting historical settings, Hyder presents history as a continuous process of cultural interaction rather than a sequence of isolated events. The novel challenges nationalist interpretations of the past by emphasizing continuity, plurality, and shared cultural inheritance.

A central concern of the novel is cultural memory. Jan Assmann defines cultural memory as the transmission of collective experiences, traditions, and values across generations through texts, symbols, and narratives. *River of Fire* functions as such a repository of memory. Rather than documenting political events alone, it preserves intellectual traditions, cultural practices, and emotional experiences that have shaped the Indian subcontinent over centuries. The novel suggests that civilizations endure not merely through political institutions but through the memories that communities preserve and reinterpret.

---

---

Hyder's vision of history is fundamentally opposed to exclusionary narratives that divide the past into separate religious or cultural spheres. Instead, she foregrounds the idea of composite culture. Throughout the novel, diverse traditions interact, influence one another, and create new forms of cultural expression. Language, literature, music, and social practices emerge through centuries of exchange rather than isolation. This emphasis on cultural synthesis challenges communal historiography and highlights the interconnected nature of South Asian civilization.

The novel's recurring characters symbolizes this continuity. Although they appear in different historical periods and under different names, they embody enduring human concerns and cultural experiences. Their reappearances suggest that identity is not fixed but shaped by historical processes extending across generations. Through this narrative strategy, Hyder demonstrates that the past continues to live within the present.

Partition occupies a particularly significant place in the novel. Unlike many historical accounts that focus on political negotiations or territorial division, Hyder examines Partition as a rupture in cultural memory. The event fragments communities that had shared cultural traditions and historical experiences for centuries. Political borders create new national identities, but they cannot erase the deeper cultural connections that link people across regions and religions.

For Hyder, the tragedy of Partition lies not only in displacement and violence but also in the disruption of historical continuity. The shared cultural world that had evolved through centuries of interaction becomes divided by competing national narratives. Characters experience uncertainty regarding identity and belonging because political categories fail to capture the complexity of their cultural affiliations. The novel thus critiques nationalist ideologies that attempt to simplify history into rigid and exclusive identities.

The theme of time further reinforces Hyder's understanding of history. Rather than presenting time as a linear progression, the novel portrays it as cyclical and interconnected. Historical experiences recur in different forms, linking past and present through memory. The metaphor of the river, central to the novel, symbolizes this continuity. Like a river, civilization changes constantly while retaining connections to its source.

This treatment of time challenges conventional notions of historical rupture. Political regimes, empires, and national boundaries may change, but cultural memory preserves continuity across generations. Through this vision, Hyder transforms historical fiction into a meditation on civilization itself. *River of Fire* ultimately argues that identities are formed not through separation but through interaction, and that memory remains the most enduring link between past and present.

### **Comparative Discussion: Personal Memory and Cultural Memory**

Although Attia Hosain and Qurratulain Hyder share an interest in history and identity, they approach these themes through different narrative frameworks. Their works complement

---

one another by exploring two distinct yet interconnected forms of memory: personal memory and cultural memory.

Hosain's *Sunlight on a Broken Column* is primarily concerned with individual experience. Through Laila's perspective, history is understood as something lived and felt. Political events acquire significance because they influence family relationships, personal choices, and emotional lives. The novel presents what may be described as a micro-history, focusing on the experiences of individuals situated within larger historical transformations.

Hyder's *River of Fire*, by contrast, adopts a macro-historical perspective. Rather than concentrating on a single life or generation, it examines the evolution of civilization across centuries. Individual characters become representatives of broader historical processes, and memory functions at the level of culture rather than personal experience. The novel therefore preserves collective rather than individual histories.

This distinction corresponds to Maurice Halbwachs' and Jan Assmann's theories of memory. Hosain's narrative operates largely within the realm of communicative memory, preserving experiences transmitted through personal recollection and social interaction. Hyder's narrative functions as cultural memory, preserving traditions, symbols, and historical consciousness across generations.

Their treatment of Partition further illustrates this difference. In Hosain's novel, Partition is experienced as a personal tragedy marked by emotional loss, disrupted relationships, and uncertainty. The focus remains on individual suffering and psychological consequences. In Hyder's novel, Partition represents a broader rupture in civilizational continuity. The emphasis shifts from personal trauma to the fragmentation of shared cultural memory.

Despite these differences, both writers challenge official historiography. They reject simplistic narratives of nationalism and identity, emphasizing complexity, plurality, and lived experience. Both demonstrate that history cannot be understood solely through political events or state narratives. Memory, whether personal or cultural, plays a crucial role in shaping historical understanding.

Their works also share a commitment to preserving marginalized perspectives. Hosain foregrounds women's experiences and domestic spaces often excluded from historical records. Hyder recovers traditions of cultural interaction obscured by communal and nationalist interpretations of history. Together, they expand the scope of historical inquiry and reveal alternative ways of imagining nationhood and belonging.

Ultimately, Hosain and Hyder demonstrate that literature functions as an important archive of memory. By preserving experiences neglected by official histories, their works contribute to a more inclusive understanding of South Asian history and identity.

## **Conclusion**

---

The fiction of Attia Hosain and Qurratulain Hyder offers significant insights into the relationship between history, memory, and identity in South Asia. Through different narrative strategies, both writers challenge conventional historiography and recover dimensions of experience frequently excluded from official historical accounts.

Hosain's *Sunlight on a Broken Column* reconstructs history through personal memory and gendered experience. By foregrounding the life of Laila, the novel demonstrates how political transformations affect individual identities, family relationships, and social structures. Hyder's *River of Fire* expands this perspective by presenting history as a process of cultural continuity and civilizational interaction extending across centuries.

The comparative analysis reveals that personal memory and cultural memory are complementary rather than opposing categories. Together, they provide a richer understanding of the past and its continuing influence on the present. Both writers resist reductive narratives of nationhood and emphasize plurality, coexistence, and historical complexity.

In contemporary South Asia, where debates concerning nationalism, citizenship, and historical interpretation remain highly contested, the works of Hosain and Hyder continue to be relevant. Their fiction reminds readers that identities are shaped not only by political boundaries but also by shared memories, cultural interactions, and lived experiences. By preserving these memories, literature becomes an important medium for understanding history and imagining more inclusive forms of belonging.

### **Works Cited**

- Assmann, Jan. *Cultural Memory and Early Civilization*. Cambridge UP, 2011.
- Bhabha, Homi K. *The Location of Culture*. Routledge, 1994.
- Halbwachs, Maurice. *On Collective Memory*. University of Chicago Press, 1992.
- Hosain, Attia. *Sunlight on a Broken Column*. Penguin, 1992.
- Hyder, Qurratulain. *River of Fire*. New Directions, 1998.
- Mukherjee, Meenakshi. *The Twice Born Fiction*. Heinemann, 1971.
- Naim, C. M. Introduction. *A Season of Betrayals*. Oxford UP, 1999.
- Naik, M. K. *A History of Indian English Literature*. Sahitya Akademi, 1982.
- White, Hayden. *The Content of the Form*. Johns Hopkins UP, 1987.
- White, Hayden. *Meta history*. Johns Hopkins UP, 1973.
- Zaidi, Ali Jawad. *A History of Urdu Literature*. Sahitya Akademi, 1993.