Oray's Publications
Impact Factor: 6.449(SJIF)**Research Journal Of English (RJOE)** Vol-7,Issue-1, 2022
www.rjoe.org.in An International Peer-Reviewed English Journal ISSN: 2456-2696
Indexed in: International Citation Indexing (ICI), Cite factor, International Scientific Indexing (ISI), Directory of Research Journal Indexing (DRJI) Google Scholar, Cosmos and Internet Archives.

Fractured Selves, Fragmented Nation: Identity and Allegory in Kavery Nambisan's *The Truth (Almost) About Bharat*

Dr. G. Seshu
Lecturer in English
Government College for Women (A), Guntur

Abstract

This paper explores the ways in which Kavery Nambisan's *The Truth (Almost) About Bharat* interrogates the meaning of nationhood and identity within the Indian context. The novel's protagonist, Bharat, is a symbolic representation of India itself, caught between multiple names, fractured familial relationships, and competing social forces. Using theoretical insights from Ernest Renan, Benedict Anderson, Stuart Hall, Frantz Fanon, and Fareed Zakaria, this study demonstrates how Bharat's struggle for selfhood parallels India's own contested narratives of unity and diversity. While the story foregrounds Bharat's personal dilemmas—his unstable identity, his alienation within family, campus unrest, and later encounters on his journey—the text simultaneously reflects the contradictions of modern India: plurality without cohesion, diversity without unity, and aspirations overshadowed by disjunctions. The analysis argues that Nambisan positions Bharat's personal quest as a national allegory, where "unearthing Bharat" entails uncovering both the individual's fractured self and the nation's inability to reconcile its multiplicities.

Keywords: Nationhood, Identity, Fractured Self, Indian English Fiction, Kavery Nambisan, Allegory

Introduction

The idea of "Bharat" has always been complex, straddling historical memory, mythic imagination, and lived diversity. Kavery Nambisan's *The Truth (Almost) About Bharat* foregrounds this complexity by embedding the crisis of an individual—Bharat—within the contradictions of Indian society. Bharat, simultaneously Vishwanath, Tarzan, and Bharat, is emblematic of India itself, known variously as Hindustan, Bharat, and India. Just as the nation negotiates conflicting cultural, political, and historical claims, the protagonist confronts multiple, unstable identities that render his sense of self fractured and elusive.

The chapter under discussion, "Unearthing Bharat," functions as both a narrative and critical inquiry into what constitutes India as a nation. The title itself signals a double quest: to excavate the protagonist's inner self and to probe the fragmented realities of Indian

society. In doing so, the novel stages the difficulty of reconciling multiplicities—cultural, linguistic, regional, and political—under the umbrella of a unified nationhood.

This paper re-examines Nambisan's novel through theoretical frameworks of nation and identity formation, with a focus on how Bharat's personal struggles serve as an allegory for the Indian nation-state. The discussion proceeds in three broad movements: (1) outlining theoretical debates on nationhood and cultural identity, (2) examining Bharat's fractured subjectivity in relation to family, campus politics, and national symbolism, and (3) analyzing his journey encounters that expose the contradictions of Indian society. The conclusion suggests that *The Truth (Almost) About Bharat* calls for a recognition of multiplicity and self-reflection as prerequisites for nation-building.

Nation and Identity: Theoretical Perspectives

Renan and the Spiritual Principle of Nationhood

Ernest Renan's celebrated essay *What is a Nation?* (1882) insists that nationhood is not defined by geography, race, or language, but by a "soul" composed of two elements: shared historical memory and a present desire to live together. For Renan, the sacrifices of the past create bonds that extend into the future, binding individuals into a collective identity. In this sense, nationhood is a spiritual principle, rather than a fixed entity.

Nambisan's novel places this theoretical premise under pressure. Bharat's experience reveals not a unified "soul" but a fractured one, where the weight of history, cultural divisions, and personal dislocations undermine any coherent sense of belonging. His very name—Bharat—becomes an ironic reminder of a national ideal that he finds hollow and dissonant.

Anderson's Imagined Communities

Benedict Anderson's notion of the nation as an "imagined community" further clarifies the novel's concerns. Anderson argues that citizens of a nation, despite never meeting one another, share a sense of fraternity through symbolic rituals, texts, and collective imagination. For example, the Olympic Games illustrate how strangers feel kinship under a common national banner.

Yet, in Bharat's India, this imagined community is strained by divisions of caste, class, religion, and language. Instead of fraternity, he encounters alienation—whether in his fractured family, where North-South cultural divides remain unresolved, or in the campus unrest, where solidarity quickly collapses into betrayal.

Stuart Hall and the Fragmented Subject

Stuart Hall's analysis of modernity and identity underscores the fragmented nature of contemporary selves. In *Modernity: An Introduction to Modern Societies*, Hall observes that globalization produces subjects with multiple, sometimes contradictory identities, continuously negotiated between self and society. The "self" becomes a "moveable feast," constantly shifting in response to cultural discourses.

This theoretical model aptly describes Bharat's predicament. His multiple names—Vishwanath, Bharat, and Tarzan—represent shifting, unresolved identities, none of which

cohere into a stable "self." His fractured subjectivity mirrors India's own fragmented national identity, which oscillates between past glories, modern aspirations, and unresolved contradictions.

Zakaria and the Question of India's Unity

Fareed Zakaria's reflections in *Reimagining India* bring the debate closer to the present. He questions whether India is truly a unified country, noting its vast diversity of languages, religions, and traditions. Quoting Winston Churchill's remark that India is "merely a geographical expression," Zakaria underscores the difficulty of imagining India as one nation. Yet he also highlights India's resilience, precisely because of its noisy, decentralized, and heterogeneous character.

Nambisan's novel echoes this ambivalence. Bharat, like India, appears incoherent and contradictory; yet his quest signals resilience—the effort to seek meaning amid disjunctions.

Fanon and the Power of Language

Frantz Fanon's *Black Skin, White Masks* emphasizes how language structures power and identity. "Mastery of language affords remarkable power," he writes, pointing to how linguistic hierarchies reinforce social divisions. In India, English versus vernacular languages, or "refined" versus "rustic" speech, continues to divide communities. Bharat's experience as a medical student, exposed to both privilege and marginalization, reflects these linguistic and class disparities.

Thus, theoretical perspectives collectively underscore the central concern of Nambisan's novel: the impossibility of a singular, stable national identity and the necessity of negotiating multiplicity.

Bharat's Fractured Self and the Nation as Allegory

Multiple Names, Multiple Selves

The protagonist's triple naming—Vishwanath by his father, Bharat by his mother, and Tarzan by his peers—becomes a metaphor for India's own multiple designations: Hindustan, Bharat, and India. Each name carries cultural, political, and historical baggage, leaving Bharat unable to reconcile his identity. Just as the nation struggles to balance tradition and modernity, religion and secularism, regionalism and nationalism, Bharat's shifting names fracture his sense of selfhood.

He articulates this alienation poignantly: "I Vishwanath Sarangan, also, unfortunately called Bharat, and, fortunately known as Tarzan…" His complaint is less about nomenclature and more about the impossibility of unity—personal or national—in a landscape marked by contradictions.

Family as a Microcosm of Nation

Bharat's family dynamics mirror broader national tensions. His father, a South Indian army officer, and his mother, a North Indian from an aristocratic background, embody the North-South cultural divide. Their marriage, initially romantic, gradually collapses under everyday differences: food habits, clothing preferences, and social attitudes. Small disputes—

over dhotis versus kurta-pajamas, or rice-curd meals versus North Indian cuisine—escalate into irreconcilable estrangement.

This marital disintegration allegorizes India's national predicament: despite constitutional unity, deep-rooted cultural fissures remain unresolved. The family becomes a symbolic stage where the impossibility of integration is dramatized. Bharat, caught between parents who cannot reconcile, mirrors the Indian citizen torn between competing regional, linguistic, and cultural affiliations.

Campus Politics and the Collapse of Solidarity

The campus setting in the first part of the novel, "The Mess," presents another allegorical layer. Bharat, along with his friends Shanks and Rishi, supports the demands of underpaid mess workers. Initially, the students' activism suggests solidarity across class divides. Yet this quickly unravels: the strike degenerates into violence, Bharat inadvertently injures a worker he admires, and his friends betray him—Rishi secretly apologizing to authorities, and Shanks seducing his girlfriend Neelam.

The episode reflects the fragile nature of collective movements in India, where solidarity often disintegrates into self-interest, opportunism, or violence. For Bharat, the incident deepens his sense of guilt and alienation. For the reader, it underscores how national fraternity, imagined by Anderson, is constantly undermined by betrayal, opportunism, and fragmentation.

The Journey and the National Allegory

Leaving Home: Flight from Fragmentation

Unable to cope with his fractured family, betrayed friendships, and guilt over the campus strike, Bharat embarks on a journey of escape. Ostensibly a personal flight, his departure allegorizes the disorientation of a nation unable to reconcile multiplicities. The journey motif allows Nambisan to juxtapose Bharat's private turmoil with broader social and political realities. His travels through villages, towns, and cities become a cross-sectional survey of India, exposing the contradictions of poverty, violence, corruption, and resilience.

Encounter with Bhojvi Singh: Justice and Lawlessness

Bharat's meeting with Bhojvi Singh, a feared dacoit of the Chambal region, becomes a key episode in understanding the novel's national allegory. Initially mistaking Bhojvi for a police officer, Bharat discovers that the man is in fact a "bhagi" (outlaw). Bhojvi's transformation into a bandit is not out of greed but in response to systemic injustice: his sister's dowry-related harassment, rape, and death went unpunished by corrupt officials, pushing him to take justice into his own hands.

Here Nambisan foregrounds a paradox central to postcolonial India. On one hand, the state claims to embody law, order, and national unity; on the other, its failure to deliver justice fuels lawlessness that ironically appears more ethical than official structures. Bhojvi becomes a Robin Hood figure, looting the rich and aiding the poor, while renouncing personal pleasures. Through him, Bharat confronts an alternative moral code—one born out of necessity when the state fails its citizens.

This episode resonates with Fanon's argument that colonial and postcolonial societies breed fractured identities and parallel moral orders. Bhojvi's dictum—"Be good and cunning"—underscores the impossibility of surviving in India through goodness alone, reflecting a society where corruption demands duplicity as survival strategy.

Political Satire: T.P.S. and the Futility of Idealism

Bharat's encounter with Trilok Padmavathi Shastri (T.P.S.), a self-styled politician campaigning for women, children, and animals, highlights another facet of India's national condition. T.P.S. represents idealism in a political culture dominated by hypocrisy and corruption. His symbolic inclusion of his wife's name in his own and his imaginative party emblem ("a woman, a child, and a dog") appear sincere, yet his campaign attracts little support.

The episode is satirical: while mainstream politicians mobilize power through populism, money, and manipulation, genuine reformers are dismissed as eccentric. Bharat's observation that "sincere chaps don't win Elections" encapsulates widespread cynicism toward democracy, where "the hypocritical khadi white" prevails over integrity. This mirrors Zakaria's concerns that India's democracy is resilient yet noisy, messy, and often dysfunctional.

Dr. Franklin Rao: Pluralism and Resilience

Perhaps the most optimistic figure Bharat meets is Dr. Franklin Raghunath Rao, a maverick physician who embodies multiplicity rather than denying it. Expelled from his Brahmin family, Franklin renames himself, marries across religions, and embraces a pluralistic lifestyle. His medical practice treats the poor and rich differently—not out of injustice, but to balance systemic inequality. He advises Bharat to "treat the patient, not the problem," a philosophy that extends metaphorically to the nation: India cannot be reduced to symptoms but must be engaged as a complex, plural whole.

Franklin's life illustrates Stuart Hall's notion of identity as "a moveable feast," continuously reshaped through dialogue with cultural contexts. Rather than being paralyzed by contradiction, Franklin thrives in multiplicity, offering Bharat an alternative model of selfhood and nationhood: acceptance of diversity as resilience.

Rajee: Listening, Love, and the Turn Toward Self

The most personal and transformative encounter occurs in Kerala, where Bharat befriends Rajee, a young woman burdened with her own struggles. Rajee listens to Bharat's confessions with patience, offering him the emotional recognition he lacked from family and friends. Their conversations suggest a possibility of love, but Bharat later discovers that Rajee is married and her "brother" is in fact her son.

This disillusionment becomes another allegory: India, like Rajee, appears full of promise yet often delivers disappointment. But Rajee's real significance lies not in romance but in her counsel. She urges Bharat to stop running and face his responsibilities. Unlike Bhojvi's lawlessness or T.P.S.'s futile idealism, Rajee represents quiet resilience, reminding

Bharat that freedom is never absolute and that true growth begins with confronting one's own chaos.

The Return: Toward Reconciliation

Bharat's return marks the novel's recognition that escape is no solution. On re-entry, he finds Bhojvi killed, Rajee unattainable, and his parents' marriage further deteriorated. Yet he also discovers a new perspective: to reconcile with his fractured family and to accept India's multiplicity without seeking false unity. His realization echoes Renan's formulation that a nation rests on both shared memories and a present-day will to live together. For Bharat, this means accepting contradictions—between North and South, past and present, self and society—while still striving to belong.

Conclusion: Nationhood as Multiplicity

Kavery Nambisan's *The Truth (Almost) About Bharat* dramatizes the impossibility of a singular national identity through the allegory of its protagonist. Bharat's multiple names, fractured family, campus betrayals, and disillusioning encounters all point to the incoherence of India as a "nation." Yet the novel resists despair. By presenting figures like Dr. Franklin and Rajee, it suggests that multiplicity itself is India's reality and potential strength.

Drawing on Renan, Anderson, Hall, Fanon, and Zakaria, this study has argued that Bharat's fractured self-mirrors India's fragmented nationhood. Both individual and nation are caught between historical memory and present-day challenges, between diversity and unity, between disillusionment and resilience. "Unearthing Bharat," then, is not about discovering a singular truth but about recognizing plurality as the only viable foundation for identity. In acknowledging multiplicity—however dissonant—lies the possibility of belonging, survival, and renewal.

References:

Anderson, Benedict. Imagined Communities. Verso, 1983.
Chandra, N.D.R. "Identity, Culture & Theory; Towards Feminine Gender Representation." In Critical Response to Indian English Fiction, edited by Amar Nath Prasad, 2001.
Davidar, David. The Solitude of Emperors. Penguin, 2007.
Fanon, Frantz. Black Skin, White Masks. Grove Press, 1952.
Hall, Stuart. Modernity: An Introduction to Modern Societies. Blackwell, 1996.
Nambisan, Kavery. The Truth (Almost) About Bharat. Penguin, 2002.
Renan, Ernest. "What is a Nation?" (1882).
Rushdie, Salman. Midnight's Children. Vintage, 1981.
Tharoor, Shashi. Riot: A Love Story. Arcade, 2001.
Zakaria, Fareed. "The Rediscovery of India." In Reimagining India: Unlocking the Potential of Asia's Next Superpower. Simon & Schuster, 2013.