RESEARCH JOURNAL OF ENGLISH (RJOE)
www.rjoe.org.in | **Oray's Publications** | ISSN: **2456-2696**
An International Approved Peer-Reviewed and Refereed English Journal
Impact Factor: *8.576 (SJIF)* | **Vol. 11, Issue 4 (Oct, Nov & Dec;2026)**

Literature, Trauma and Resistance in the Study of Ecofeminism: A Study of Manjula Padmanabhan's *Escape*

Pooja Pandey

Paper Received on 07-10-2026, Accepted on 09-11-2026
Published on 1 0 -11-2026; DOI:10.36993/RJOE.2026.11.393

Abstract:

In the lush interstices where ecological degradation, gendered violence, and dystopian authoritarianism intersect, Manjula Padmanabhan's *Escape* emerges as a trenchant parable for our times, an unsettling narrative of trauma, resistance, and the indomitable spirit of womanhood. This paper ventures into the murky waters of ecofeminist theory, excavating the layered topographies of Padmanabhan's fictional universe where patriarchal excesses have morphed into genocidal absolutism, and where the female body is not merely a site of oppression but also insurgent resilience. Employing the analytical lens of literary trauma theory, this study delves into the silent reverberations of psychological scarring borne by characters whose very existence is subversive in a world engineered for their erasure. Yet, amid this blend of systemic obliteration, resistance blooms not in bombastic revolts but in acts of quiet defiance, memory, and the reimagining of relationality with the natural world.

Padmanabhan's dystopia is not a far-flung speculative fantasy but a dire extrapolation of contemporary maladies: climate collapse, misogyny, surveillance, and commodified reproduction stitched together with clinical precision. The novel's prescient ecological consciousness, juxtaposed with its haunting portrayal of posthuman survivance, compels a rethinking of the ecofeminist discourse beyond bucolic romanticism into the terrain of techno-authoritarian trauma. In an idiom reminiscent of Atwood yet unmistakably her own, Padmanabhan crafts a narrative palimpsest where trauma begets awareness, and awareness galvanises resistance. Thus, this paper posits *"Escape" as a clarion call for reclaiming silenced spaces — psychic, political, and planetary* — through a literature that bruises, heals, and ultimately transcends.

Keywords: Ecological degradation, Gendered violence, Dystopian authoritarianism, Trauma, and Resistance.

Introduction

They say that literature reflects society, but in some instances, it's a magnifying glass. In that world, literary voices that highlight the connection between ecological degradation and feminist fightback are not just important, but indispensable. And amidst these voices in Indian English literature, Manjula Padmanabhan's work stands out as one that is truly unique. Her dystopian fiction, Escape (2008), is more than an account of the struggle to survive in a broken-down world; it explores the more profound trauma of being, when one's gender is a crime and the atmosphere a weapon. The book explores, with uncomfortable precision, how systems of domination, patriarchy, technocracy, and ecological exploitation can synchronize with one another to eliminate those perceived as weak or unwanted. In this paper, *"Escape" is used as a viewfinder into ecological trauma and resistance, represented within* an (eco)feminist framework and literature, as a battleground where suffering is not only recorded but also occasionally fought against.

Ecofeminism as a theory emerged in the late twentieth century as a product of both women's and ecological struggles that found themselves fighting the same enemy: a masculinist structure of exploitation and mastery that saw women and natural resources as being dominated, regulated, or discarded. Academics such as Vandana Shiva, Maria Mies, and Carolyn Merchant have written extensively about the interconnection between environmental destruction and the oppression of women in their work, arguing that these are not isolated acts of power, but rather deeply interwoven processes (Shiva 42). In Escape, this is not a theoretical discussion, but it is countered by reality. This is an extravagant world that Padmanabhan envisions, one in which the female form is (literally and metaphorically) under threat, and the environment surrounding that form is surveilled, synthetic, and debased. Nature here is not emotionalised, romantic wilderness, but a surveyed and sterilised background upon which the drama of survival unfolds.

What makes Escape especially timely is the way it treats trauma, not only personal or psychological trauma, but collective and systemic trauma. The traumas of the novel arrive not with bangs and shocks and reckless deaths, but toddling quietly from the saxophone plays, not in the grip of terror she has been forced into, but in the fearful silence of the girl Meiji who is left, the wise, retired background silence of their uncle and the young sister, still there to protect her, silent passages of desert or forest which surround them. Caruth's thoughts on trauma emphasise how it tends to

be anti-narrative that the event which has led to it cannot fully be incorporated at the time, and the after-effects come after, and in a disjointed, delayed fashion. Padmanabhan's novel reflects this. We are never provided with a clear timeline of how the world came to be the way it is, but rather experience it in fragments, through shadows and evasion, and the nervous movements of the characters. The trauma is historical, environmental and gendered all at once.

At the centre of this terrain is Meiji, not so much a character in the traditional sense as the avatar of what society has laboured to obliterate: female being. Her flesh is sanctuary as well as target. The need to hide her to survive is a potent statement on the criminalization of femininity itself. But she's a victim who doesn't take all this passively. Her mere existence becomes an act of defiance. It's a kind of defiance, the very fact of her being alive at all, of being borne forward at speed through space, to refuse to be subject to the edict which says "you don't matter." An interesting new twist on the way we conceptualise the rebel. Resisting does not always have to appear revolutionary; sometimes it looks like a matter of survival. It is in this silent, dog-unobtrusive, long-term state of being that Escape digs up its most potent moral force. And the novel compels us to reconsider what we mean when we talk about 'nature.' In much classic literature, nature is often portrayed as external, uncontrollable, and frequently idealised. However, in Escape, the setting is manipulated, sterilised, and policed, just like the people who dwell in it. The General's dictatorship has not only erased women but also transfigured the terrain to accommodate its calculus of order. This is consistent with the eco-feminist critique, which asserts that industrial and patriarchal modes seek to remake nature in their image and the world, reducing it to a measurable and containable entity (Merchant 69). Padmanabhan's depiction of a world in which trees are labelled, forests are fenced, and the air is filtered mirrors how ecological violence often follows or is complicit with, or enables social violence. If the novel is speculative, its implications are not. Beyond the screen, too, women are among those most affected by climate-related disasters in the Global South. Forced movement, food shortages and rough drinking water tend to hit female bodies the hardest, and they are thus at greater risk of both environmental and sexual violence. In that sense, Padmanabhan's novel is not just fiction but a cautionary tale. It logically foresees what is already happening in fact. And in doing so, it renders the trauma visible. More crucially, it implicates the reader. The future they offer: Together, readers of this story find ourselves forced to dwell in that future, to stride alongside Meiji, to feel the pressure of hiding, and to worry if we are doing enough in the present

to block that world. And yet the book does not conclude in despair. The way is there, although it be narrow, that opens to recovery. It is not grand or heroic. It is fragile and uncertain. But it exists. The forest that Meiji ultimately leads into, the possibility of another kind of life, is not a solution but a question: Can we live another way? Can systems of care supplant systems of control? Is it possible to create a world in which women and nature are not threats to be subdued but beings to be honoured? These are the questions that fuel ecofeminist resistance, and Escape brings them to juddering life, quietly but urgently.

The objective of the study, therefore, is to examine how Padmanabhan utilises dystopian literature as a tool for political fiction and ethical storytelling, with a focus on the role of trauma and resistance within an ecofeminist framework. The following explanation will engage closely with the structure of the text, its language, and its characters, drawing on both literary theory and theoretical discussions from contemporary environmental feminist writing. In this way, it seeks to demonstrate that *Escape* is not a work of pure fantasy, but rather a vital confrontation with actual systems of domination. Channelling what has been disappeared and shaping what remains a wasteland, Padmanabhan reminds us that literature can still be a place of truth even as we are all in collapse.

Intertwined Relation of Trauma and Resistance in Literature

Rarely has literature been no more than a vehicle for art. It has, more than occasionally, served as a kind of cultural memory bank, a repository of historical pain and, every so often, a silent, stubborn-mule form of rebellion. As societies crumble in war, colonisation, ecocide, and patriarchy literature, along with other arts, also seek to archive trauma and offer symbolic and/or direct forms of resistance. Trauma and resistance, then, are not exactly strangers to literature; they're in its marrow. This is particularly the case in postcolonial and feminist traditions, where literature serves as the preeminent arena for revisiting trauma, not only to sympathise, but also to challenge dominant power structures. Literature, Trauma, and Resistance: We should not think of this triad—literature, trauma, and resistance-in linear or easily mappable forms. It is often confusing, unresolved, and ever-changing.

Trauma, in psychological terms, is a wound that won't heal, won't even speak for itself. Trauma … involves a 'break in the experience of time itself' … [it] takes place when an event is not fully assimilated at the time it occurs, but only belatedly … when it is belatedly recognised after the fact (Caruth 4). The most severe pressure

is on literature, to make this rupture something that can be read and felt. However, in taking that step, literature grapples with the conundrum of how to narrate what can never be fully known or represented. This is especially the case with people at the margins, for whom trauma is not one thing but many social, historical, and structural things. That trauma never completely goes away; it continues, whether it's based on caste atrocities, ecological displacement or gendered violence. That trauma doesn't go; it repeats over and over again, and that's the long wound.

Literary narratives that deal with such traumas often take on an incoherent, non-linear or symbolic form in an attempt to communicate the traumatic experience. Think of novels, for example, like Toni Morrison's Beloved or Bama's Karukku, which are themed on traumas rooted in historical slavery and caste, respectively. Their speech stumbles; they loop in time. These are not separable stylistic choices but refractive effects of psychic and cultural disorientation that trauma yields. Similarly, in ecofeminist fiction, such as Manjula Padmanabhan's Escape, the impact of occupying a gender-cleansed dystopia is felt not in action-packed, violent revolt, but in muted figures, airless imagery, and embodied opposition. The absence of women, the mastery of nature, and the asphyxiating presence of authoritarian command are all part of an emotional terrain where trauma is environmental, bodily and epistemic all at once.

But documentation is not where literature stops. It would be too close to spectacle, and would risk our pain hardening into a couple of days of entertainment. On the contrary, a great deal of literature, primarily written for purposes of resistance, would like to change trauma's invisible and ghostly potency into the potential of foregrounding agency. And this is where resistance matters. But it should be remembered that resistance of the literary kind needn't always bellow out like a loud proclamation or revolutionary slogan. Resistance is often something more subtle: in sharing a forbidden story, in refusing to be silenced, in speaking the world from the perspective of those who have been written out of history. In contesting hegemonic discourse, literature confronts not just its content but also its form, because it's a matter of who speaks, who's heard, and what can be known.

That is especially true of writers with a toe on the margins who refer to literature to spit at the inherited hierarchies. For example, Dalit literature in India is not just a literature of content that challenges the Brahmanical literary tradition; it is

also a literature of form that defies stylistic models. The work of writers like Omprakash Valmiki or Baby Kamble is written in a style that is naked, unapologetically direct and emotional. They're after not aesthetic slickness but getting it live. In such works, trauma is hardly something to be aestheticised; it is instead shrapnel, hurled at the reader like an open sore. And embedded in that act of exposure is the seed of resistance. Literature is here articulated as a form of politics, not self-expression, but a politics of erasure, recovery of voice, hearing, and history. What all of this share is a belief in the singular power literature has to inhabit contradiction. Trauma and resistance are rarely neat or morally unambiguous. A victim could be guilty; a resister could have doubts. This messiness is allowed in literature in a way that it often isn't allowed in legal or academic writing. It doesn't have to cure the trauma or break the resistance. It merely has to prove that they coexist sometimes within the same individual, sometimes in the same sentence. The way that literature is unlike other kinds of knowledge. It's not pretending to be objective; it's claiming to be honest. And in trauma, candidness is key.

Moreover, literature allows us to create what we might call "alternative archives." The reality of trauma isn't always there in official histories of wars or genocide, especially when the survivors in question are women, indigenous people, or members of lower-caste communities. But literature is what rescues these memories through metaphor, allegory and narrative. Ecofeminist writing, for instance, does this by reminding us that abuses of the land and abuses of women do not occur at the same time but are joined events. Here, trauma doesn't just weigh on human characters; it extends to the earth, the rivers, the animals, forcing us to remember that trauma is systemic and resistance must, ultimately, be relational.

Moreover, literature gives us what the Marxist philosopher Homi Bhabha calls the "right to narrate." It's not just the right to speak, but the right to be heard, on one's terms. For those who have experienced trauma, it has meant not telling the story with any filters. In the face of resistance, it is a method of survival through storytelling. In Reportage Writing, Ryszard Kapuściński wrote that literature confers form upon that which power would render formless, a fact borne out whether in the poetry of protesters in the novels of post-colonial writers or in the ballads of displaced peoples. Trauma, resistance and literature are not, of course, invariably conjoined redemptively. Not all stories heal. There aren't always happy endings. Sometimes literature comforts us, and sometimes it does nothing but console. And that too is

valuable. Since recognition is the beginning of resistance. By refusing to turn away, by naming pain, literature makes room for suffering; it becomes generous, allowing us to acknowledge it rather than pass over or ignore it. It isn't healing, in the medical sense, but in the political sense: the reminder that we must not forget that trauma. In short, literature, trauma, and resistance exist in a complex triangular relationship to one another. Literature recounts trauma so that it can be felt and remembered. It gives trauma a shape, even if it's a broken shape. It also offers modes of resistance, some noisy, some murmuring in language, structure, and even point of view. And in so doing, it is no longer just "mere" art. It becomes a force, a slow, relentless insurgency against being hushed, rendered invisible, overpowered. That connection is especially apparent in feminist and eco-critical writing, where trauma is both personal and planetary, where the imagined resistance isn't just for the self but for the planet. We readers are not only required to read works like this one, but also to attempt to truly hear, to hear the thing they are trying to change.

Literary Perspective on Trauma and Resistance in Manjula Padmanabhan's *Escape*

In her dystopian novel (Escape), Manjula Padmanabhan guides the reader through a personal, politicised and gendered aesthetic through which she unpacks the patriarchal and ecologically excessive model of the dystopia. Yet its storyline, which is inspired by the life of Meiji, a girl struggling for liberation within a dystopian society ruled under a way of life based on male supremacist values, allegorises the structural violence faced by women and other marginalised bodies, specifically in a postcolonial climate. The work can be read alongside, and not merely as a speculative fiction, a scorching indictment of how gendered trauma, tyranny and the debasement of dissent swirl around one another in a chauvinist embrace. On the literary level, this is layered with defiance, not only in terms of the story but also in terms of narrative, where language serves as a site of resistance and recovery. Feminist studies of trauma, and in particular the work of Cathy Caruth, Judith Herman, and Kali Tal, offer an essential lens through which to conceptualise the affective and epistemological violence of trauma as it affects its subjects. The trauma in Escape is also not only physical or psychological, but ontological, a condition that shatters the very ontological body of the protagonist and the economy of subjectivity in patriarchal domination. The forced muteness in which Meiji is imprisoned, the hollowing out of herself in a universe where all women are either dead or bought, resonates with Cara Caruth's definition of trauma as "an experience without an itinerary", a pain bigger than narrative, that screams for other forms of witness. By this act of recycling the

generic categories of speculative fiction (as used by Padmanabhan) itself can be read as a form of resistance a postmodern literary strategy that denies both closure and linearity at the same time as it provokes a crisis in mimesis, correlation, and Knowledge, corresponding to use Linda Hutcheon's phrase to a vision of postmodernism as complicit yet critical, where the text mocks authoritary structures by aural shadowing them.

Constructivist literary theory informing the text includes the notion of the constructed nature of reality and identity, as well as these ideas that shape the discussion of Meiji's development. She's not a trauma-silent victim so much as she is perhaps an active agent of trying to reconstruct some version of a self in a world that denies or refuses her a "subject" status. From a constructivist perspective, the novel examines how discourses and counter-discourses organise our awareness and how trauma undermines the hegemonic discourse, making alternative formulations of the trauma possible sites of defiance. This idea is then revised in the figure of Eldest Uncle, whose splitting and ambivalent nature repressing and incarcerating matches that which informs most oppressive familial and institutional formations. Although Eldest Uncle is ostensibly shielding Meiji, he is also coaching her to understand fear and submission (echoes of Michel Foucault's theory of disciplinary power), as power is not simply enforced through external surveillance, but through the internalisation of the norm. Meiji and her uncles serve as a metaphor for the nation-state and its perception of women, whether they are viewed as property or as a threat to it. Here, Padmanabhan braids intimate trauma with the cumulative historical memory of a society, especially the afterlives of Partition, caste atrocities and gendered violence, and the novel becomes a palimpsest of effaced histories. In contrasting Meiji's forced silence with her future freedom, which the novel registers as a trajectory of feminist resistance beyond reproductive monstrosity, the novel disrupts an arc of feminist empowerment that is unmanageable within liberal binaries of empowerment and aspires to challenge and dismantle the epistemologies of oppression in their entirety.

Yet this feminist lens is not a monolith; it involves intersectional framings that concentrate on not just gender but also on class, technological hegemony, environmental degradation and epistemic violence. Ecofeminism, as it appears in theoretical works by authors such as Vandana Shiva and Maria Mies, is necessary here to think through what the extermination of women in Escape has to do with

control over reproduction and nature. The regime's logic that everyone must be pure, the same, and mechanically standardised is the ruling capitalist-patriarchal order's urge to dominate the female body, and indeed the ecologies of our environments. The men's culture featured in Escape is an especially extreme form of such anthropocentric instrumentalism in which women and nature are both soulless and legless, things without minds, things no more alive and wilder than the commodities on sale in the market. This resonates with the ecofeminist hypothesis that the subordination of women and the subordination of nature are two aspects of a single coin of oppression, wedged together by conscious frameworks that privilege control, order, and power. In Escape, Padmanabhan constructs a future in which this logic is pushed to its grimly anticlimactic conclusion, a world in which the "earth is unfertile," feelings are forbidden, and reproduction is subject to state control. But it is Meiji's body, in this antiseptic compartment, that becomes its type of battlefield not through procreation but through serving as a site of memory, of longing, of autonomy. Her refusal to take her uncles' projects, her questions, and her eventual exit from the uncles' home convey an ecofeminist hopeful promise of a world that can reimagine relationality, care, and opposition to systemic effacement.

Moreover, Padmanabhan's narrative style, limited exposition, fractured narrative, and flat imagery echo the trauma literature tradition of dissociating the reader, reproducing the protagonist's psychological confusion. This is also a way of frustrating and disengaging the reader's desires for narrative and logic, a postmodern tactic that reflects the fractured psyches of the traumatised. The jumpy rhythm of the novel, with its sudden shifts in tone, its unexplained technological regimes and disused buildings, its ladies cordoned off from the public world, is an experience of living amid looming catastrophe at home. And still, in this despondency, resistance thrives not as a gaudy revolution, but as daily subversion. Meiji's early reading habits, the quiet witness she bears, her empathy for the android Youngest Uncle, and her eventual decision to flee. This subtle resistance runs counter to the traditional masculine value of rebellion, but suggests an alternative feminist political ethic of survival and resistance encoded as emotion. The novel does not unfold as a closed narrative, either, because in its final pages, Meiji is on the lam, in two senses. The ambivalence of its (her future's) meaning therefore serves to remind us of the doubt and struggle involved in the quest for liberation, which itself resonates with the post-structuralist assertion that "resistance is always tentative, always in the making, never in completion" (Probyn 1).

From the perspective of critical theory, Frankfurt's 'Escape' could even be read as a cultural critique of instrumental reason, a term coined by Max Horkheimer and Theodor Adorno to describe the modern tendency to rationalise and quantify all human affairs. By Escape, this rationality has become biological, in which the male state confines life, death and reproduction. This transformation tends to produce a lack of feeling between women, as well as the technicalization of society, which parallels what Adorno cast as the "dialectic of Enlightenment," which views knowledge and administration not as a means of setting humans free, but as a means of further enslaving them. At the same time, though, we can view the novel within the framework of a Gramscian analysis of hegemony and counter-hegemony. While the state works to radicalise and territorialise by brute force and surveillance, Meiji's counter-hegemonic practices — the power to remember, tell, and escape — function as interstices that cut against the cultural logic of domination. The resistance is by no means utopian, but instead based on the pain of trauma and the acknowledgement that every emancipatory politics must confront the wounds inflicted by history that it must address.

The feminist trauma literature, and dystopian fiction in particular, reveals how themes of the speculative may act as effective mirrors for reflecting and criticising contemporary social and political conditions. Padmanabhan offers no solutions in Escape, presenting the reader with a fierce and intelligent call to grapple with the systemic issues that traumatise and perpetuate ongoing injustice. Her dystopia is not a nowhere, but a larger-than-life mirror image of this world, where women remain vulnerable to violence and progress masks moral decay, where silence speaks unless you are spoken to. Except in that darkness, the story maintains it is possible to resist not heroically, but only by not giving up. Meiji's tale reminds us that trauma doesn't end with mere survival; it lives on in memory, storytelling, and the struggle to find a voice. And so, literature is not only a witness to but also a form of resistance against trauma, where even arguing with your grandmother for an explanation of her life becomes an act of rebellion. 'Escaping' the Text Among such representations, Padmanabhan's Escape is an exemplar of a problematic articulation of a muted (and resisting) female protagonist.

Concluding Observation

Those who have tried to navigate through literature, trauma, and resistance within the ecofeminist folds of Manjula Padmanabhan's Escape have not been presented with a linear struggle narrative, but with a complex skein of oppression and

refusal, a constellation of affective peaks, theoretical reverberations, and textual dispositions. The speculative world of the novel, which contains no women except for Meiji, the girl at the centre, becomes more than a dystopian extrapolation; the world is a hyperbolic gender erasure, a figure that doesn't merely allegorise pain but makes it actual, bodily, cyclic. Here, literature is the arena where they are exposed and fight. This is what one does imaginatively, through the literary imagination, the kind of thing one has to wade through, and not just damage, but to the growing possibility of being able to articulate resistance to it. Miss Fire to the fem leopard and death machine Escape, as text, opposes the now established narrativisation of trauma that is anchored in a linear logic of phases of recovery and redemption. It instead creates a disruptive postmodern temporality, a world where trauma is inscribed on the very syntax of language, character development and plot development. Meiji was raised in confinement by Uncle and Eldest, situated not only as a recipient but also as an image, and cared for, feared, and politically hopeful. Her body, her voice, becomes the space of inscribing the memory of systemic femicide, through which the possibility of regeneration is mediated. So, the work mediates the paradox of trauma that Cathy Caruth has named – trauma's inaccessibility and its urgency – but does so through the prisms of gendered biopolitics and eco-dystopia.

Resistance here is not a grand gesture of rebellion, but an ongoing thing — the work of surviving, of refusing to be erased through a series of small gestures: by hiding, by speaking, by running, by remembering. What is truly the strength of ecofeminism is its linking of the ecological and the bodily, the rape of the earth to the woman's body. Padmanabhan doesn't merely describe a world savaged by technocratic tyranny; she creates an order in which the natural world, and women as symbolic and material outgrowths of that same world, are subjugated to the same insidious logic of extraction, manipulation and erasure. The ecofeminist lens of Vandana Shiva, Maria Mies, and others across the South illustrates how patriarchy continues to regenerate itself through technological conquest and environmental depletion, and it naturalises gendered hierarchies in the name of progress. In Escape, the synthetic surveillance machinations of the set resonate with the sterilisation of affect and community with the world where maternal memory is criminal, where tenderness is insurgent. And just as women are erased in public space, so nature is sterilised, too, which tells us about how the political is always already the ecological, and the environmental is always gendered.

Arising from postmodern theories and as a result of 'distrust of grand theory, Lyotard's notion of the 'incredulity toward metanarratives' (1984), the novel precludes a 'lone resolution or ideologically closure'. It contradicts the dream of returning to normal. This aligns with poststructuralist tactics that challenge the unity of identity, the certainty of truth, and the security of language. The trauma here is not an isolated event, but a series of breaks that cannot be domesticated into a narrative. The characters crossing the borders of bodies, nations, and epistemologies shatter linear storytelling, in the vein of Derrida's concept of différance, where meaning is always deferring, and the subject is never entirely present to herself. Meiji's presence is haunted by the absences of her mother, of a gendered community, and historical continuity. It is in these crevices that resistance stews, not in overt politics, but in a refusal to disappear.

And Manjula Padmanabhan's speculative aesthetic, too, partners with Donna Haraway's cyborg feminism in imagining a coalition beyond essentialist identifications. If anything, he's a sort of posthuman child born into an unnatural world, his small body armoured by metal arms, nurtured in artificial spaces. But she is also deeply human in her cravings for touch, recognition, a sense of union, of being together. The novel does not work a nostalgia for pretechnological femininity so much as promote a kind of critical examination of the methods through which a feminine subjectivity might, indeed, be produced through and against the very technologies that would erase them. This tension between containment and agency, surveillance and secrecy, defines the novel's representation of resistance. And suppose Uncle and Eldest are complicit in the reproduction of the world in patriarchal codes, through silences and compromises. In that case, they are also agents of resistance, albeit care is ruptured and compromised, through which care may still be. Their manhood is never wholly redeemed, always up for grabs, its shape disfigured by guilt and the past. Through him, Padmanabhan critiques the patriarchal saviour complex and unpacks the affective labour that renders resistance possible, even under siege.

Constructivist theory helps reveal how gendered identities, power relations, and even knowledge of trauma are discursively constructed and mediated. Meiji's self is not an essence, but a facet of her protectors (the fear of the 'Outsiders') and the information she gains incidentally. Language serves as an instrument of oppression and liberation. Hers is a tentative, fragmented voice which carries the burden of a

generation's decimation. That's moments of epistemic resistance, how she's taught to talk, to refuse to speak, and finally to question what they are, they are recovering not just of personhood but memory. From this angle, trauma is not merely psychological but epistemic: It shatters the very foundations on which knowledge is produced, transmitted and held. For literature, then, it must reinscribe the inapprehensible into apprehensibility without un-seeing its terror, so that what Dori Laub calls "an witnessing" may be (unsettling but) responsible.

Lastly, the novel is a postcolonial work. Although it hardly imports the colonializing past as a discursive element, the hierarchic logic of society in Padmanabhan's play – a society in which some of the purity and order is to be found in authoritarian dispensation – speaks to the anxiety of the postcolonial state loath to meet the demands of nationalism, an invective modernity and the resurgence of the patriarchal. The preference for technological control over democratic co-participation and sterilisation over negotiation, just as the postcolonial habit of aping Western idioms of progress while eschewing the exclusions they carry within (KL 104). Women's annihilation is a hyperbolic metaphor for the annihilation of dissent, of multiplicity, of relationality qualities cast as traditionally feminine and therefore marginalised in both colonial and patriarchal systems. In Escape, the trauma is multiple, and thus inflicted by gender, ecology, politics, and its resistance is also multi-layered.

Through critical reflections on trauma, particularly as devised by Judith Herman, Elizabeth Grosz, and Dominick LaCapra, it is possible to understand how trauma disrupts both time, embodiment and the authority of narrative. Escape does not have a glaring plot hole in the lack of flashbacks or direct tellings of the genocide – it has a deliberate refusal to be voyeuristic. Trauma is ambient, structural, lodged in habits and silences. The characters' life strategies, silence, repression, and hypervigilance, are realistic responses to trauma, but in literary terms, they echo the trauma of language itself. The novel is suspicious of language, speaking only when necessary, and mainly in the form of dialogue that is evasive or limited to strictly transactional exchanges. This semiotic sterility spouts from trauma theory's recognition that trauma memory cannot be named. Literature, in that regard, is a ghost language; it gestures, flits, suggests, haunts.

It is this engagement with both the world of material realities and that of speculative fiction that characterises Padmanabhan's work. Escape does not mean escape into a wretched land; it's an extrapolation of today's vectors of socio-politics, femicide, environmental collapse, and technocratic rule rescaled, reshaped, and rendered into an allegorical register so that the invisible becomes visible. Ecofeminism here is not an interpretation but rather an aesthetic posture. The natural world, what remains of it, is what? An almost ghostly stripping away of interconnection itself, of what was devoured when hierarchies replaced ecosystems. The metaphor of Meiji as the last girl is not just biological but also cosmological: she's the previous thread connecting humanity to its ethical and ecological duties. They're not only saving a child; they're saving the possibility of care, kinship and memory in a world that has turned these very impulses into a pathology that is constantly nibbled away by contagion's teeth.

Finally, the literary universe Padmanabhan conjures is one where trauma and resistance aren't mutually exclusive but dialectically related to one another. Trauma creates conditions for and fosters resistance, and resistance rewrites trauma into other narratives, if not one of redemption, then at least one of survival. This very ability is precisely what makes literature so powerful, not despite but because of the capacity to carry contradiction, refuse resolution, and imagine otherwise. In postmodern disjunction, constructivist embodiment, and ecofeminist redescription, Escape is more than a narrative of persevering; it is a shadowy palimpsest of erased lives, whispered insurrections, and utopian daydreamers. It sits there in even a world that prosecutes the maternal, that mechanises nature, that the seed of resistance has still its locus, as a child's question or a storied memory handed down or refusal to comply. That's what we have to do in the future, which is not utopia, not heaven, not even in any future at all (the future's always been here and partly here already), but in the inevitable, broken-voiced, active act of noticing in novels, in poems.

Works Cited

Agarwal, Bina. *Gender and Green Governance: The Political Economy of Women's Presence Within and Beyond Community Forestry*. Oxford UP, 2010.

Alexander, Jeffrey C. *Trauma: A Social Theory*. Polity Press, 2012.

Barry, Peter. *Beginning Theory: An Introduction to Literary and Cultural Theory*. 3rd ed., Manchester UP, 2009.

Beauvoir, Simone de. *The Second Sex*. Translated by Constance Borde and Sheila Malovany-Chevallier, Vintage, 2011.

Buell, Lawrence. *The Environmental Imagination: Thoreau, Nature Writing, and the Formation of American Culture*. Harvard UP, 1995.

Butler, Judith. *Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity*. Routledge, 1990.

Caruth, Cathy. *Unclaimed Experience: Trauma, Narrative, and History*. Johns Hopkins UP, 1996.

Chakrabarty, Dipesh. "The Climate of History: Four Theses." *Critical Inquiry*, vol. 35, no. 2, 2009, pp. 197–222.

Cixous, Hélène. "The Laugh of the Medusa." *Signs*, vol. 1, no. 4, 1976, pp. 875–93.

Cuomo, Chris J. *Feminism and Ecological Communities: An Ethic of Flourishing*. Routledge, 1998.

De Lauretis, Teresa. *Technologies of Gender: Essays on Theory, Film, and Fiction*. Indiana UP, 1987.

Derrida, Jacques. *Writing and Difference*. Translated by Alan Bass, University of Chicago Press, 1978.

Foucault, Michel. *Power/Knowledge: Selected Interviews and Other Writings, 1972–1977*. Edited by Colin Gordon, Pantheon Books, 1980.

Freedman, Estelle B. *No Turning Back: The History of Feminism and the Future of Women*. Ballantine Books, 2002.

Haraway, Donna. *Staying with the Trouble: Making Kin in the Chthulucene*. Duke UP, 2016.

Hooks, bell. *Feminist Theory: From Margin to Centre*. South End Press, 1984.

Irigaray, Luce. *This Sex Which Is Not One*. Cornell UP, 1985.

Kumar, Radha. *The History of Doing: An Illustrated Account of Movements for Women's Rights and Feminism in India, 1800–1990*. Zubaan, 1993.

Lorde, Audre. *Sister Outsider: Essays and Speeches*. Crossing Press, 1984.

Mies, Maria, and Vandana Shiva. *Ecofeminism*. Zed Books, 1993.

Mohanty, Chandra Talpade. "Under Western Eyes: Feminist Scholarship and Colonial Discourses." *Boundary 2*, vol. 12/13, 1984, pp. 333–58.

Padmanabhan, Manjula. *Escape*. Picador India, 2008.

Plumwood, Val. *Feminism and the Mastery of Nature*. Routledge, 1993.

Rich, Adrienne. *Of Woman Born: Motherhood as Experience and Institution*. W. W. Norton, 1976.

Roy, Arundhati. *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness*. Penguin Random House India, 2017.

Saldanha, Arun. "Reontologising Race: The Machinic Geography of Phenotype." *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, vol. 24, no. 1, 2006, pp. 9–24.

Sangari, Kumkum, and Sudesh Vaid, editors. *Recasting Women: Essays in Colonial History*. Kali for Women, 1989.

Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. "Can the Subaltern Speak?" *Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture*, edited by Cary Nelson and Lawrence Grossberg, University of Illinois Press, 1988, pp. 271–313.

Tal, Kali. *Worlds of Hurt: Reading the Literatures of Trauma*. Cambridge UP, 1996.

Thompson, Janna. *Taking Responsibility for the Past: Reparation and Historical Injustice*. Polity Press, 2002.

Walker, Alice. *In Search of Our Mothers' Gardens: Womanist Prose*. Harcourt, 1983.

Waugh, Patricia. *Practising Postmodernism / Reading Modernism*. Arnold, 1992.

Woolf, Virginia. *A Room of One's Own*. Hogarth Press, 1929.

Ziarek, Ewa Plonowska. *An Ethics of Dissensus: Postmodernity, Feminism, and the Politics of Radical Democracy*. Stanford UP, 2001.