RESEARCH JOURNAL OF ENGLISH (RJOE)
www.rjoe.org.in | **Oray's Publications** | ISSN: **2456-2696**
An International Approved Peer-Reviewed and Refereed English Journal
Impact Factor: *8.373 (SJIF)* | **Vol. 11, Issue 3 (July, Aug &Sept;2026)**

Patriarchy and the Construction of Female Identity in *Hamlet* and *Othello*

Sonali Prashant Gurav[1]
Research Scholar, Department of English,Tantia University, Sri Ganganagar, Rajasthan

Dr. Mahender Kumar [2]
Asst. Professor, Department of English, Tantia University, Sri Ganganagar (Raj.)

Paper Received on 10-06-2026, Accepted on 13-07-2026
Published on 1 5 -07-26; DOI:10.36993/RJOE.2025.11.07.149

Abstract

This paper examines the construction of female identity under patriarchal authority in William Shakespeare's Hamlet and Othello. Focusing on Ophelia, Gertrude, Desdemona, and Emilia, the study explores how family, marriage, obedience, chastity, sexuality, and reputation influence the ways in which women are defined and judged. Through a close reading of the two tragedies and selected feminist and historicist critical perspectives, the paper argues that Shakespeare's female characters are frequently understood through their relationships with men. However, they do not respond to patriarchal authority in identical ways. Ophelia's obedience, Gertrude's uncertain self-representation, Desdemona's movement from personal choice to marital submission, and Emilia's eventual refusal to remain silent reveal different experiences of female identity. The study further examines the importance of female speech and the repeated failure of male characters to recognise women's accounts of themselves. It concludes that although patriarchal structures strongly shape female identity in both tragedies, Shakespeare's women cannot be completely reduced to the roles assigned to them. Their choices, contradictions, and moments of resistance reveal identities that remain more complex than male judgement allows.

Keywords: Patriarchy, Female Identity, Shakespeare, Hamlet, Othello, Gender, Feminist Criticism.

1.Introduction

William Shakespeare's tragedies continue to invite discussion because they deal with human relationships as much as they deal with ambition, revenge, jealousy, and death. The position of women within these relationships is especially significant. Women in Shakespeare's tragic world often live within structures where fathers, husbands, and other male authorities have the power to judge their conduct and influence their choices. Their identity, therefore, cannot always be understood as something entirely private or freely formed. Catherine Belsey observes that men and women in Renaissance culture were not symmetrically defined; while man was produced through relations of power in the economy and the state, woman was produced in relation to man and through relations of power within the family (Belsey 9).

The idea that identity is shaped by social power is important to the study of Shakespeare's women. Stephen Greenblatt connects the formation of literary and social identities in Renaissance culture with forms of power located in institutions such as the court, the church, and the patriarchal family (Greenblatt 6). This suggests that the individual does not develop separately from the world in which he or she lives. For women, the limits are often more visible because their behaviour is judged according to accepted ideas of femininity. Obedience, chastity, silence, and modesty repeatedly become measures by which a woman's character is evaluated. In discussing influential feminist and historicist accounts of Renaissance women, Phyllis Rackin draws attention to the recurring emphasis on chastity and on silence, humility, and modesty as signs of female virtue (Rackin 9–10). Such expectations do more than control behaviour; they also influence the way a woman is seen by others and, at times, the way she understands herself.

This problem becomes particularly striking in Hamlet and Othello. Ophelia, Gertrude, Desdemona, and Emilia are very different women, yet each is placed within a network of male expectations. Ophelia is first a daughter under Polonius's authority and is also judged through her relationship with Hamlet. Gertrude's identity is repeatedly discussed in terms of her marriage and sexuality. Desdemona begins by making an independent choice in marriage, but her identity is gradually rewritten by male suspicion. Emilia, initially positioned as Iago's wife and attendant to Desdemona, develops a stronger voice as she begins to question the unequal standards

applied to women. In each case, male characters attempt to explain, define, or judge the woman before she is fully allowed to speak for herself.

Female identity in these plays, however, should not be treated as completely fixed. Judith Butler's discussion of gender draws attention to the role of regulatory practices and socially maintained norms in making a person intelligible within a particular culture (Butler 22). Although Butler writes in a much later theoretical context, this idea provides a useful way of examining Shakespeare's tragic women. Ophelia, Gertrude, Desdemona, and Emilia are expected to occupy recognizable roles—the obedient daughter, the faithful wife, the chaste woman, or the silent female companion. Yet their words and actions do not always remain comfortably within these roles. Their identities are shaped by patriarchal expectations, but moments of resistance, contradiction, and self-expression reveal that such control is never entirely complete.

This paper examines how patriarchy participates in the construction of female identity in Hamlet and Othello. It focuses on Ophelia, Gertrude, Desdemona, and Emilia and studies the influence of family authority, marriage, sexuality, obedience, and reputation upon their lives. The argument is not that all four women are merely passive victims. Rather, Shakespeare presents different forms of negotiation with patriarchal power. Some women obey, some question, and some speak openly against the values that restrict them. By comparing these characters, the paper argues that female identity in the two tragedies is formed under male authority but is never entirely reduced to it.

2.Patriarchy and Female Identity in Hamlet: Ophelia

Ophelia's position in Hamlet is largely defined by the men around her. Before the audience is given much opportunity to understand her feelings for Hamlet, Laertes and Polonius begin to interpret the relationship for her. Laertes warns that Hamlet's royal position may prevent him from freely choosing whom he marries (Hamlet 1.3.20–32). His concern is not entirely unreasonable, but his advice soon turns towards Ophelia's chastity and honour. He asks her to fear desire and protect her "chaste treasure" (Hamlet 1.3.33–39). Her personal relationship is therefore treated as a possible danger to her reputation rather than as an experience she can judge for herself.

Polonius is even more direct. When Ophelia admits that she does not know what she should think about Hamlet's declarations of love, he immediately replies, "I will teach you" (Hamlet 1.3.113–14). The brief exchange reveals an important aspect of her identity as a daughter. Her uncertainty gives Polonius the opportunity to replace

her judgement with his own. He dismisses Hamlet's vows and finally orders her not to "give words or talk with the Lord Hamlet" (Hamlet 1.3.141–44). Ophelia answers simply, "I shall obey, my lord" (Hamlet 1.3.145). The sentence is short, but it clearly shows the authority Polonius exercises over her choices. In surveying influential accounts of Renaissance femininity, Phyllis Rackin draws attention to the recurring association of female virtue with chastity, silence, humility, and modesty (Rackin 9). Ophelia's obedience fits this model, yet Shakespeare also makes the cost of such obedience increasingly visible.

The control over Ophelia becomes more troubling when Polonius and Claudius use her to observe Hamlet. She is placed in Hamlet's path while the two men secretly listen to their conversation. In this scene, Ophelia is no longer merely advised by her father; she becomes part of a plan designed by male authority. Hamlet's response is cruel and confused. He first says that he loved her and then denies it, before repeatedly commanding her to go to a nunnery (Hamlet 3.1.125–40). He later tells her that even if she is "as chaste as ice" and "as pure as snow," she will not escape slander (Hamlet 3.1.146–48). The statement places Ophelia in an impossible position. Female virtue cannot protect her from male suspicion because the judgement of her character remains outside her control.

Ophelia's tragedy is therefore not caused by one man alone. Polonius demands obedience, Laertes warns her against desire, Claudius uses her for political observation, and Hamlet turns his anger about women and sexuality upon her. Each man sees Ophelia through a different role: daughter, sister, possible wife, or instrument of surveillance. Her own understanding of herself receives much less space. Catherine Belsey's argument that woman in Renaissance discourse was often produced in relation to man and within the power relations of the family is particularly useful here (Belsey 9). Ophelia's identity is repeatedly formed through such relationships, while her independent desires remain difficult to recover.

Yet Ophelia's madness changes the nature of her speech. Earlier, her language is cautious and respectful, but in the fourth act she enters the court singing about death, love, and sexual betrayal. Her words appear broken, and the Gentleman observes that listeners "botch the words up fit to their own thoughts" (Hamlet 4.5.8–12). This is significant because even when Ophelia speaks more freely, others continue to interpret her. At the same time, her songs introduce subjects that respectable female speech would normally approach with caution. Her song of the maid who enters a man's chamber and leaves "a maid / Never departed more" openly introduces sexual loss and betrayal (Hamlet 4.5.53–60). Rackin notes that feminist Shakespeare

criticism has repeatedly drawn connections between female speech, sexuality, and transgression (Rackin 10). Ophelia's madness gives her a voice, but tragically, it is a voice that the court can dismiss as madness.

Ophelia thus represents one of the clearest examples of female identity being shaped under patriarchal authority in Hamlet. Her obedience is praised and expected, while her feelings are repeatedly interpreted by others. When she finally speaks in a less controlled manner, her speech comes after the loss of her father and the collapse of her relationship with Hamlet. Her tragedy lies not simply in her death but in the limited space she is given to construct an identity independent of daughterhood, love, chastity, and male judgement. Shakespeare does not turn Ophelia into an openly rebellious woman. Instead, he shows how a woman may gradually disappear beneath the identities that other people create for her.

3.Gertrude: Female Sexuality and the Burden of Male Judgement

Gertrude occupies a different position from Ophelia. She is a queen, a widow, a wife, and a mother, yet the play gives surprisingly little direct explanation of her decision to marry Claudius. Instead, her identity is largely constructed through Hamlet's judgement of her sexuality. Before Gertrude has the opportunity to explain herself, Hamlet has already condemned her remarriage as evidence of moral weakness. His famous statement, "Frailty, thy name is woman!" (Hamlet 1.2.150), turns his anger towards one woman into a judgement upon women generally. Gertrude's individual action is therefore made representative of female character.

Hamlet is particularly disturbed by the speed of his mother's remarriage. He remembers her apparent devotion to his father and bitterly remarks that "a beast that wants discourse of reason / Would have mourned longer" (Hamlet 1.2.154–55). He repeatedly imagines Gertrude's marriage in physical and sexual terms, referring to the "incestuous sheets" of Claudius's bed (Hamlet 1.2.162). What troubles him is not simply that she has remarried but that she possesses sexual desire after the death of her husband. Her body and desire become subjects upon which Hamlet believes he has the authority to pass judgement. The problem of Gertrude is therefore presented to the audience first through a son's disgust rather than through the woman's own account of her marriage.

This is important in a study of female identity because Gertrude is constantly identified through her relationships with men. She is the widow of King Hamlet, the wife of Claudius, and the mother of Hamlet. Catherine Belsey argues that woman in Renaissance culture was defined in relation to man and within the power relations of the family (Belsey 9). Gertrude's position closely reflects this difficulty. Her place in

the royal court may give her social importance, but the play repeatedly discusses her through marriage, motherhood, and sexuality. Even the question of her moral character is usually raised by the men around her rather than by Gertrude herself.

The closet scene makes Hamlet's attempt to control his mother's identity most explicit. He tells Gertrude that he will "set you up a glass / Where you may see the inmost part of you" (Hamlet 3.4.24–25). The metaphor is revealing. Hamlet assumes the position of the person who can show Gertrude her true self. He compares the image of his dead father with Claudius and repeatedly questions her ability to judge between the two men. Gertrude finally cries, "Thou turn'st mine eyes into my very soul" (Hamlet 3.4.100). Her self-examination takes place under intense pressure from her son.

The uncertainty surrounding Gertrude is important because Shakespeare never clearly establishes how much she knows about the murder of King Hamlet. The Ghost accuses Claudius of murder and condemns Gertrude's remarriage, but he does not identify her as a participant in the crime. Gertrude's own responses also remain difficult to interpret. The closet scene clearly causes her moral distress, but the precise source of that distress is left unresolved. It may arise from her hasty remarriage, her sexual relationship with Claudius, or her recognition of Hamlet's emotional suffering. Shakespeare therefore preserves Gertrude's ambiguity rather than giving the audience a definite judgement of her guilt.

Gertrude also shows moments of judgement and independence. During the play within the play, she remarks that "The lady doth protest too much, methinks" (Hamlet 3.2.254), demonstrating that she is capable of forming her own response rather than merely repeating Claudius's opinion. More significantly, in the final scene she drinks from the cup despite Claudius's command, "Gertrude, do not drink." Her answer is direct: "I will, my lord; I pray you pardon me" (Hamlet 5.2.316–17). The moment is brief, but the language is striking. Unlike Ophelia's "I shall obey, my lord," Gertrude openly does the opposite of what her husband tells her. The contrast between these two responses reveals different ways in which women negotiate male authority. Gertrude's tragedy, then, lies partly in the difficulty of separating the woman herself from the identities created for her by men. Hamlet interprets her as a failed wife and a sexually corrupt woman, while Claudius relates to her as both wife and queen. Much of the audience's knowledge of Gertrude is filtered through these male perspectives. Yet she remains more uncertain and complicated than their judgements suggest. Shakespeare leaves important questions about her motives unanswered. This silence does not make her an empty character. Instead, it exposes how easily a woman's

identity can be defined by accusations, relationships, and expectations that originate outside her own voice.

4.**Desdemona: Choice, Chastity, and the Loss of Female Identity**

Desdemona enters Othello as a woman capable of making an independent choice. Unlike Ophelia, she does not allow her father to decide the course of her romantic life. She secretly marries Othello and later defends that decision before the Venetian Senate. When Brabantio asks where she owes obedience, Desdemona acknowledges a "divided duty" and declares, "I am hitherto your daughter. But here's my husband" (Othello 1.3.209–14). Her answer is respectful but firm. She does not reject the idea of duty itself; instead, she claims the right to decide where that duty now lies. The scene presents female agency not as a complete escape from patriarchy but as an attempt to make a personal choice within its existing structures.

Desdemona's decision is particularly important because Brabantio initially refuses to believe that she could have chosen Othello freely. He claims that she has been "stol'n" and "corrupted" and insists that her choice could not have occurred "sans witchcraft" (Othello 1.3.73–77). His accusation denies the possibility that his daughter possesses an independent desire different from his expectations. Even after Desdemona speaks for herself, Brabantio describes her marriage as an "escape" and imagines that, had he another child, he would place greater restrictions upon her (Othello 1.3.227–28). Phyllis Rackin cautions against presenting early modern women only through a simple history of complete powerlessness and notes evidence that women could play active roles in courtship and the choice of spouses (Rackin 19–20). Desdemona's marriage reflects this complexity. She exercises choice, but her father experiences that choice as a loss of his authority.

After her marriage, however, Desdemona's identity becomes increasingly dependent upon Othello's judgement of her chastity. Her open support for Cassio is innocent, but Iago turns her persistence into evidence of sexual betrayal. Desdemona confidently presses Othello to restore Cassio, repeatedly asking him to name a time for reconciliation (Othello 3.3.49–83). At the end of the conversation, she tells him, "Whate'er you be, I am obedient" (Othello 3.3.99). The line reveals a contradiction in her character. The woman who challenged her father's expectations now willingly defines herself through obedience to her husband. Marriage has not removed patriarchal authority from her life; it has changed the man to whom that authority is attached.

The accusation of adultery gradually replaces Desdemona's own account of herself. Othello asks her, "What art thou?" and she answers, "Your wife, my lord, your true and loyal wife" (Othello 4.2.40–42). Yet her own statement of identity is no longer sufficient. Othello calls her a "whore" and an "impudent strumpet," forcing her to defend her chastity in increasingly direct terms (Othello 4.2.83–100). In discussing influential accounts of Renaissance femininity, Rackin draws attention to the importance attached to female chastity and to the expectation that a woman must not only be chaste but also appear chaste (Rackin 9). She further notes the recurring critical concern with the proof of female chastity and the threat of adultery in Shakespeare's plays (Rackin 10). Desdemona's tragedy closely reflects this problem. Her innocence exists, but once Othello ceases to believe in it, she has little power to restore her reputation.

This loss of control over her identity becomes most disturbing in the final scene. Othello has already decided that Desdemona is guilty before he allows her to answer the accusation. When she denies giving the handkerchief to Cassio and asks Othello to "send for the man," he refuses to reconsider his judgement (Othello 5.2.57–70). Her words can no longer function as evidence. Othello trusts Iago's account of Desdemona more than Desdemona's account of herself. Even her repeated declarations of innocence are interpreted as perjury. The woman who once spoke successfully before the Venetian Senate now finds herself unable to make her own husband listen.

Desdemona's final words deepen this contradiction. After Othello has smothered her, Emilia asks who committed the act. Desdemona replies, "Nobody. I myself. Farewell. / Commend me to my kind lord" (Othello 5.2.152–53). Her attempt to protect Othello can be read as an extreme expression of wifely devotion, but it also shows how deeply the role of the loyal wife has shaped her identity. Even at the moment of death, she takes the blame away from the husband who has killed her. The word "kind" is painfully ironic because Othello's actions have been violently unkind. Desdemona's loyalty survives even when the marriage itself has become fatal.

Desdemona therefore differs significantly from Ophelia at the beginning of her story. She chooses her husband, speaks publicly, and defends her decision before powerful men. Yet Othello gradually reduces the space in which her voice has authority. Her identity moves from chosen wife to suspected adulteress, not because her conduct changes, but because male interpretation changes around her. The tragedy demonstrates how fragile female reputation becomes when chastity is treated as the central proof of a woman's worth. Desdemona knows who she is and repeatedly states

her innocence. The terrible fact is that, in the patriarchal world of the play, her knowledge of herself is not enough to save her.

5.Emilia: Patriarchal Marriage and the Recovery of Female Voice

Emilia provides a sharp contrast to Desdemona. She is far less idealistic about marriage. Yet her own relationship with Iago shows the influence of patriarchal authority. When she finds Desdemona's handkerchief, Emilia remembers that her "wayward husband" has repeatedly asked her to steal it. Although she does not know his purpose, she decides to give it to him, saying, "I nothing but to please his fantasy" (Othello 3.3.334–43). Her action is important because it shows how the desire to satisfy a husband can affect a woman's judgement. Emilia does not intend to harm Desdemona, but her attempt to please Iago unknowingly helps his plan.

Iago's treatment of Emilia also reveals the unequal nature of their marriage. When she offers him the handkerchief, he jokes about having "a foolish wife" and later snatches the object from her (Othello 3.3.344–63). Emilia questions him and asks for the handkerchief back when she suspects that he may have some serious purpose, but Iago simply orders her to leave (Othello 3.3.360–68). The exchange is brief, yet it shows that Iago expects obedience without explanation. Emilia is useful to him when she possesses something he wants, but her questions are treated as an inconvenience. The marriage gives Iago access to her loyalty while offering Emilia very little knowledge of his intentions.

Unlike Desdemona, however, Emilia openly questions the unequal standards applied to husbands and wives. In the bedchamber scene, she argues that husbands may themselves be responsible when marriages fail. Men may neglect their duties, become jealous, restrict their wives, or strike them. She then declares, "Let husbands know / Their wives have sense like them" (Othello 4.3.104–05). Emilia insists that women can see, feel, desire, and experience weakness just as men do (Othello 4.3.105–15). Her argument challenges the belief that male desire is understandable while female desire is a moral failure. Rackin notes that feminist Shakespeare criticism has repeatedly drawn connections between female speech, sexuality, and transgression (Rackin 10). Emilia's speech is powerful precisely because she refuses the silence traditionally associated with acceptable femininity.

Emilia's understanding of marriage is therefore very different from Desdemona's. Desdemona continues to defend Othello even after he has fatally attacked her, but Emilia increasingly recognizes that obedience has limits. This

change becomes clear after Desdemona's death. When Othello calls Desdemona false, Emilia immediately tells him, "Thou dost belie her," and insists that Desdemona was "heavenly true" (Othello 5.2.162–66). Othello threatens her, but Emilia answers, "Do thy worst!" and declares that she does not care for his sword (Othello 5.2.194–202). At this moment, fear no longer controls her speech.

The most important stage in Emilia's construction of identity comes when she discovers Iago's role in Desdemona's death. Iago commands her, "Charm your tongue," but Emilia refuses: "I will not charm my tongue. I am bound to speak" (Othello 5.2.219–20). The word "bound" is especially significant. Earlier, Emilia appears bound by the expectations of marriage and by her desire to please Iago. Now she identifies a different duty—the duty to speak the truth. When Iago orders her to go home, she openly states, "'Tis proper I obey him, but not now" (Othello 5.2.231–33). Shakespeare makes her resistance unmistakable. Emilia understands the rule of wifely obedience, but consciously decides that the rule cannot be followed when it protects murder and deception.

Her final refusal to remain silent directly exposes Iago. Despite Iago's command that she hold her peace, Emilia declares, "I will speak as liberal as the north" and insists that heaven, men, and devils may cry shame against her, yet she will still speak (Othello 5.2.260–63). In discussing Renaissance gender narratives, Rackin draws attention to the recurring image of the rebellious woman whose transgression is expressed through speech and through the exercise of her tongue outside male control (Rackin 9). Emilia becomes exactly such a woman in the final scene. Her speech destroys Iago's carefully constructed false story and restores the truth of Desdemona's identity.

Emilia pays for this act of speech with her life. Iago kills her soon after she reveals the truth about the handkerchief. Yet her death does not silence the truth she has spoken. Her final words continue to defend Desdemona: "Moor, she was chaste. She loved thee, cruel Moor" (Othello 5.2.299). Emilia begins the play as a wife trying to please her husband and ends it by publicly opposing him. Her development shows that female identity in Othello is not entirely fixed by marriage. Emilia recognizes the system of obedience in which she lives, but she finally chooses truth over the role of the silent wife. In doing so, she becomes the character who gives Desdemona the public defence that Desdemona herself was denied.

6.Comparative Discussion: Female Identity, Obedience, and Resistance

When Ophelia, Gertrude, Desdemona, and Emilia are considered together, a common pattern becomes visible. None of these women exists outside relationships

with men. Ophelia is identified as Polonius's daughter and Hamlet's beloved; Gertrude as Hamlet's mother and Claudius's wife; Desdemona as Brabantio's daughter and Othello's wife; and Emilia as Iago's wife. This reflects Belsey's argument that Renaissance constructions of woman frequently placed her in relation to man and within the power structures of the family (Belsey 9). The four women have different personalities, but the language through which others understand them repeatedly returns to daughterhood, marriage, motherhood, chastity, and obedience.

The strongest parallel appears between Ophelia and Desdemona. Both begin as daughters whose relationships with men create conflict with paternal authority. Yet their responses are almost opposite. Ophelia accepts Polonius's command with "I shall obey, my lord" (Hamlet 1.3.145), while Desdemona publicly tells Brabantio that her duty is now divided and chooses Othello (Othello 1.3.209–14). At first, Desdemona seems to possess the freedom that Ophelia lacks. However, marriage does not finally protect her independence. Her declaration to Othello, "I am obedient" (Othello 3.3.99), shows that the language of submission returns in a new relationship. The comparison suggests that patriarchal authority may change its form without disappearing. For Ophelia, it is exercised mainly by the father; for Desdemona, it eventually becomes most destructive through the husband.

Gertrude and Emilia offer another revealing contrast. Both are married women, but their identities develop differently under male judgement. Gertrude is spoken about more often than she speaks about herself. Hamlet's anger turns her remarriage into evidence of female "frailty" (Hamlet 1.2.150), and the audience is repeatedly encouraged to examine her through his suspicions. Emilia, by contrast, gradually rejects the interpretations imposed by men. Her declaration that wives "have sense like them" directly questions male privilege (Othello 4.3.105). By the final scene, she refuses Iago's order to silence herself and announces, "I am bound to speak" (Othello 5.2.220). The movement from Gertrude's uncertain self-representation to Emilia's public speech demonstrates different degrees of female control over identity.

Speech is therefore central to the construction of female identity in both tragedies. In her discussion of influential feminist criticism, Rackin draws attention to recurring connections between chastity and silence and to anxieties surrounding women who exercise their tongues outside male control (Rackin 9–10). Ophelia's speech becomes most disruptive only during madness. Desdemona speaks clearly but eventually finds that her words cannot overcome Othello's suspicion. Gertrude speaks comparatively little about the accusations made against her. Emilia, however,

turns speech into open resistance. The difference is important: Shakespeare does not give all four women the same form of agency. Instead, their ability to speak and to be believed varies according to the male structures surrounding them.

The four characters also reveal that female identity in the plays is not completely stable. Judith Butler questions the idea that the coherence and continuity of identity are natural features of personhood, arguing instead that they are connected to regulatory practices and socially maintained norms of intelligibility (Butler 22). This idea helps explain why Shakespeare's women appear to change when they move between social roles. Desdemona shifts from defiant daughter to obedient wife. Emilia moves from a wife attempting to please Iago to a woman who publicly disobeys him. Ophelia moves from controlled speech to the unsettling language of madness, while Gertrude occasionally acts against male command despite being repeatedly defined through her relationships. These changes do not mean that the women simply escape patriarchy. They show that identity is continually negotiated within it.

The endings of both tragedies finally reveal the violence behind male attempts to define women. Ophelia dies after the collapse of the relationships that have structured much of her life. Gertrude is poisoned within the court dominated by Claudius's deception. Desdemona is murdered because Othello accepts a false identity for her—the adulterous wife—over her repeated declarations of innocence. Emilia is killed when she rejects the role of the obedient wife and exposes Iago. Significantly, the deaths of Desdemona and Emilia occur around questions of female speech, chastity, and truth. Rackin discusses the influential critical connection drawn between the silencing of women's voices and the restriction of women's activities (Rackin 10). In Othello, that connection becomes literal: both women speak the truth, and both are violently silenced.

The comparison of these four women therefore complicates any simple description of Shakespeare's tragic women as either passive victims or feminist rebels. Ophelia obeys but also speaks disturbingly in madness. Gertrude is judged through male perspectives but occasionally acts independently. Desdemona makes a bold marital choice yet remains deeply committed to wifely obedience. Emilia initially assists Iago without understanding his purpose but finally becomes the strongest female voice against him. Their differences are as important as their similarities. Shakespeare presents female identity as something formed under patriarchal pressure, but he also shows the moments when women question, contradict, or refuse the identities assigned to them.

7.Conclusion

The study of Ophelia, Gertrude, Desdemona, and Emilia shows that female identity in Hamlet and Othello is closely shaped by patriarchal relationships. Fathers, husbands, and sons repeatedly influence how these women are expected to behave and how their actions are interpreted. Obedience, chastity, marriage, and reputation become important measures of female worth. Yet Shakespeare does not present the four women as identical victims of the same system. Each responds differently to the authority surrounding her.

Ophelia's obedience leaves little space for an identity independent of her father and Hamlet, while Gertrude is largely understood through Hamlet's judgement of her marriage and sexuality. Desdemona begins with a clear act of personal choice, but her identity is gradually replaced by Othello's false image of her as an unfaithful wife. Emilia follows a different path. Although she initially seeks to please Iago, she finally rejects marital obedience when it demands silence and becomes the person who exposes his deception.

The comparison also demonstrates the importance of female speech in both tragedies. Speaking does not always give women power. Ophelia's words are dismissed as madness, Desdemona's declarations of innocence are not believed, and Gertrude is rarely given the opportunity to explain the choices for which she is condemned. Emilia's final resistance is the clearest example of a woman claiming authority over her own voice, but even this act is answered with violence and death. Shakespeare thus presents a tragic world in which women may speak the truth and still remain unheard or punished.

Ultimately, Hamlet and Othello reveal the instability of female identity under patriarchal judgement. The women are repeatedly named, judged, and interpreted by men, but their characters cannot be fully contained within those interpretations. Their obedience, contradictions, choices, and moments of resistance reveal more complex identities. Through these women, Shakespeare exposes the human cost of a social order in which a woman's understanding of herself can be overshadowed by the identity that others construct for her.

Works Cited

Belsey, Catherine. The Subject of Tragedy: Identity and Difference in Renaissance Drama. Routledge, 2014.

Butler, Judith. Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity. Routledge, 1999.

Greenblatt, Stephen. Renaissance Self-Fashioning: From More to Shakespeare. University of Chicago Press, 2005.

Rackin, Phyllis. Shakespeare and Women. Oxford University Press, 2005.

Shakespeare, William. Hamlet. Edited by Barbara A. Mowat and Paul Werstine, Folger Shakespeare Library, Simon & Schuster, 2003.

Shakespeare, William. Othello. Edited by Barbara A. Mowat and Paul Werstine, Folger Shakespeare Library, Simon & Schuster, 2004.