

Communicative Tasks in EFL Classrooms: Benefits, Challenges, and Contextual Realities

Osman Mohammed Mohammed Saeed Haj Ali¹

Osman050saeed@gmail.com

<https://orcid.org/0009-0006-1334-7750>

Elnazeer Ali Rhama Ali²

elnazeerali89@gmail.com

Assistant Professor in English

Department of Foreign Languages, College of Arts and Humanities

Jazan University, Saudi Arabia.

Paper Received on 10-01-2026, Accepted on 11-02-2026

Published on 12-02-26; DOI:10.36993/RJOE.2026.11.01.403

Abstract

Communicative tasks are central to Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) and are widely promoted as effective tools for developing learners' communicative competence in English as a Foreign Language (EFL) contexts. These tasks aim to engage learners in meaningful interaction through activities such as role-plays, information-gap tasks, problem-solving, and group discussions. While research strongly supports their pedagogical value, many studies also report serious challenges in their classroom implementation. This paper synthesizes key findings from major studies on CLT in EFL contexts to explore the benefits, challenges, and classroom realities of using communicative tasks. Drawing on a critical literature-based approach, the study identifies how communicative tasks enhance fluency, motivation, learner autonomy, and interaction. At the same time, it highlights constraints related to teachers' training, large classes, exam-oriented systems, cultural expectations, and assessment practices. The paper argues that although communicative tasks are highly beneficial, their success depends on contextual adaptation rather than rigid application of CLT principles. The study concludes by proposing a flexible and eclectic approach to communicative task implementation in EFL classrooms that balances fluency and accuracy while responding to institutional and cultural realities.

Keywords: Interaction Hypothesis, Sociocultural Theory, communicative tasks, of CLT principles

1. Introduction

1.1 Background of the Study

Over the past several decades, Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) has gained widespread recognition as one of the most influential approaches in English language education. Unlike traditional methods that focus primarily on grammatical accuracy and teacher-centered instruction, CLT emphasizes meaningful communication, learner interaction, and real-life language use. A core component of CLT is the use of communicative tasks, which require learners to use the target language to achieve a goal, solve a problem, or exchange information.

There have been differences in the understanding of communicative language teaching among scholars, language teaching professionals and language learners because of the theoretical foundation on which it has been premised. Richards explained communicative approach as a set of principles about goals of language teaching, how learners learn a language, the kind of classroom activities that best facilitate learning, the roles of teachers and learners, and the role of instructional materials in EFL classroom (Richards, 2006). The concept of communicative competence was introduced by Hymes for the first time in the mid-1960s and tremendous number of scholars and researchers has contributed to the development of theoretical assumptions and practical implementation of communicative approach to language teaching. These may include: (Savignon, 2002); (Canale, 1983); (Littlewood, 1981); (Nunan, 1989) & (Widdowson, 1990).

In EFL classrooms, communicative tasks such as role-plays, information-gap activities, interviews, and group discussions are designed to simulate authentic communication. These tasks encourage learners to negotiate meaning, express ideas, and develop both fluency and confidence in using English. As a result, communicative tasks are widely viewed as effective tools for developing communicative competence, which includes not only grammatical knowledge but also sociolinguistic and pragmatic ability.

However, CLT and communicative tasks have been strongly promoted in theory, their classroom implementation in EFL contexts has often proven difficult. Many EFL settings are characterized by large class sizes, limited resources, exam-driven curricula, and strong traditions of teacher-centered instruction. These contextual realities can create tension between the ideals of CLT and the actual practices of teachers and learners.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

Even if there has been theoretical appeal of communicative tasks yet numerous studies indicate that EFL teachers struggle to use them effectively. Teachers often face difficulties related to classroom management, time constraints, mixed-ability learners, and lack of training in task design and assessment. Not only that, students may be reluctant to participate in communicative activities due to low proficiency, fear of making mistakes, or cultural expectations that prioritize accuracy and teacher authority over interaction.

More than that many EFL systems emphasize grammar-based examinations, which discourage teachers from allocating time to communicative activities that are not directly tested. Due to this, communicative tasks may be reduced to short, superficial activities rather than integrated meaningfully into lessons. This gap between CLT theory and classroom practice raises important questions about how communicative tasks can be realistically and effectively implemented in EFL contexts.

1.3 Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this paper is to explore the **benefits, challenges, and classroom realities** of communicative tasks in EFL teaching and learning. By synthesizing findings from key studies on CLT in EFL contexts, the paper aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of:

- How communicative tasks contribute to language learning
- What obstacles hinder their successful implementation
- How teachers adapt communicative tasks to fit their classroom contexts

1.4 Research Questions

This study is guided by the following questions:

1. What benefits do communicative tasks offer to EFL learners?
2. What challenges do teachers and students face using communicative tasks?
3. How do contextual and institutional factors shape the classroom use of communicative tasks?

1.5 Significance of the Study

This paper bridges the gap between CLT theory and EFL classroom practice. By examining both the advantages and limitations of communicative tasks, the study provides practical insights for teachers, curriculum designers, and students too. It also supports the idea that successful language teaching requires flexibility, contextual

sensitivity, and an eclectic approach rather than strict adherence to a single method at all.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Communicative Language Teaching and the Role of Tasks

Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) emerged in the 1970s as a response to traditional language teaching methods that emphasized grammatical form over meaning. It was influenced by sociolinguistic theory and the concept of communicative competence, CLT views language primarily as a tool for communication rather than as a system of rules to be memorized. Hymes' (1972) notion of communicative competence expanded the concept of language ability to include not only grammatical accuracy but also the ability to use language appropriately in social contexts.

In CLT, communicative tasks play a pivotal role. A task is commonly defined as an activity in which learners use the target language to achieve a meaningful outcome. Nunan (1989) explains that tasks involve learners in comprehending, producing, and interacting in the target language while their attention is focused on meaning rather than form. Examples include role-plays, simulations, problem-solving activities, and information-gap tasks. These activities are designed to mirror real-life communication and require learners to negotiate meaning, clarify ideas, and collaborate with others.

Ellis (2003) argues that tasks provide rich opportunities for language development because they encourage authentic interaction and push learners to express meaning even when their linguistic resources are limited. Through such interaction, learners notice gaps in their language ability and attempt to modify their output, which contributes to second language acquisition. In this sense, communicative tasks are not only teaching tools but also learning mechanisms.

2.2 Theoretical Foundations of Communicative Tasks

Several theoretical perspectives also support the use of communicative tasks in language learning too. One of the most powerful is the Interaction Hypothesis, which suggests that language acquisition occurs through interaction and negotiation of meaning. When learners engage in communicative tasks, they are more likely to request clarification, check understanding, and reformulate their utterances. These processes make input more comprehensible and encourage modified output, both are essential for language development.

Another key perspective is Sociocultural Theory. Social interactional activities are tasks that require learners to choose language which is functionally effective and appropriate to the social situation in which the interaction is taking place (Richardes, 2006) and it emphasizes the social nature of learning. From this view, language is acquired through collaboration and guided participation. Communicative tasks often involve pair and group work, allowing learners to scaffold each other's understanding and use of language. More proficient learners can support less proficient peers, and meaning is co-constructed through interaction.

Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) developed from CLT, places tasks at the center of the curriculum. In TBLT, lessons are organized around communicative tasks rather than grammatical structures. Although not all EFL contexts fully adopt TBLT, many CLT classrooms integrate task-based elements to promote meaningful communication.

2.3 Benefits of Communicative Tasks in EFL Classrooms

One big advantage of communicative tasks is that they help students speak more smoothly and naturally. Since learners have to use English to finish real activities, they get lots of chances to practice talking in a natural way. Instead of worrying too much about making mistakes, they focus on getting their message across. With time, this builds confidence and makes their speech sound easier and more fluent.

One more important benefit is learner motivation. Communicative tasks are often interactive, meaningful, and engaging. When learners discuss real-life topics, solve problems, or role-play situations they might encounter outside the classroom, they tend to find the learning process more enjoyable. This sense of relevance increases their willingness to participate and take risks in using the language.

It has been observed communicative tasks promote learner autonomy and cooperation. Instead of depending mainly on the teacher, students work together to achieve goals their goal. They make decisions, share responsibilities, and support each other's learning. This shift from teacher-centered to learner-centered instruction helps students become more independent and reflective learners.

At last, communicative tasks add to the development of pragmatic and sociolinguistic competence. Learners practice not only what to say, but how, when, and to whom to say it. For example, role-plays involving requests, apologies, or negotiations help students understand how language use varies according to context and relationship.

2.4 Challenges of Implementing Communicative Tasks in EFL Contexts

Since the last four decades, communicative approach has got a wider recognition by many EFL countries for its potential capacity to compensate the drawbacks of the traditional methods and improves learner's language use in real context. Thus the realization of goals of communicative approach therefore is substantiated through the application of practice activities that elicit communicative competence in authentic context (Larson-Freeman, 2000, p. 143). With so many benefits, communicative tasks also present many challenges in EFL classrooms. One of the most frequently observed issues is **teacher preparedness**. Many EFL teachers have limited training in CLT and task design. That's why they may feel unsure about how to create effective tasks, manage group work, or assess communicative performance.

Classroom management too is another major concern. Communicative tasks often need movement, noise, and multiple interactions at the same time. In large classes, this can be difficult to control. Teachers may worry about losing authority or failing to cover the syllabus content. It seems that there is no precise definition of what large class size is among practitioners, for the number of students considered small in one country could be seen as large in the others. Hence, irrespective of conceptual inconsistency of large class size, there is strong correlation between large class and instructional methods (McKeachie, 1986).

Student-related factors also affect the success of communicative tasks. Learners with low proficiency may struggle to express themselves in English and may resort to using their first language. For instance, if students were moulded in teacher dominated approach, it takes time for them to enjoy communicative activities for the fear of losing face by making mistakes and lack the confidence to express themselves in less than perfect English (Mirdehghan, HoseiniKargar, Navab, & Mahmoodi, 2001) & (Jones, 2007). Others may feel anxious about speaking in front of peers or making mistakes. In some cultures, students expect the teacher to dominate the lesson and may view pair or group work as inefficient or confusing.

2.5 Institutional and Cultural Realities

As it is evident, the education system strongly influences classroom practice in many EFL contexts. One of the most powerful restrictions is the curriculum that is framed exam-oriented. National or institutional exams focus mainly on grammar, reading, and writing that is why teachers are under pressure to teach what is tested. Communicative tasks, especially speaking activities, may receive little attention

because they are not directly assessed. With regards to this, (Li, 1998) explicated that grammatical, non-communicative syllabus contributes little or no to the facilitations of learners' communicative abilities.

Another factor is cultural expectations that also play a role. Communicative language instruction is a pedagogical craft of western countries where English is the primary language of most people, 'which hardly fits in to a foreign language context' (Littlewood, 2007, p. 245). As a matter of fact, there seems a clash to exist between educational values, traditions and beliefs in many settings of non native users of English. This makes the practice of communicative principles and activities more intricate and difficult to manage (Bax, 2003); (Hu, 2002) & (Harmar, 2003) The teacher is seen as the main authority and source of knowledge. Students may be uncomfortable with the idea of negotiating meaning with peers or taking control of their own learning. This can limit participation in communicative tasks and reduce their effectiveness.

Thus, many teachers adopt an eclectic approach, combining communicative tasks with more traditional practices rather than fully implementing CLT, they adapt tasks to fit their context. This adaptation reflects the reality that no single method can be applied universally without considering local conditions.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design @ Data Sources

This study employs a qualitative literature-based methodology. A range of authoritative sources, including books, peer-reviewed journal articles, and methodological studies on CLT and EFL teaching, were reviewed and analyzed. The selection of sources focused on works that addresses communicative competence, classroom interaction, task-based learning, and challenges of CLT implementation in non-native English contexts focusing on:

- Teachers' beliefs and practices of CLT
- Classroom implementation of communicative tasks
- Challenges in EFL contexts

These studies were selected because they address similar themes but from different regional and pedagogical perspectives.

3.2 Analytical Framework

The books, peer-reviewed journal articles were analyzed thematically using an analytical framework based on four main categories: the benefits of communicative tasks, the challenges involved in their implementation, the realities

of using them in actual classroom settings, and the pedagogical strategies teachers use to adapt communicative tasks to their specific teaching contexts.

4. Findings / Synthesis

4.1 Benefits Identified

With all these process of research, communicative tasks were found to increase students' opportunities to speak, improve their fluency and confidence, encourage the use of authentic language, and foster collaboration as well as learner autonomy. In this way, students became more active participants in the learning process rather than passive receivers of knowledge.

4.2 Major Challenges

There have been many challenges in implementing this method but, key obstacles included teachers' limited training in Communicative Language Teaching, large class sizes, exam-oriented curricula, students' fear of making mistakes, and general time constraints etc. Teachers also reported many difficulties in balancing strict syllabus demands with the need to provide meaningful communicative practice in the classroom.

4.3 Classroom Realities

The studies revealed a gap between CLT theory and classroom practice. Teachers often adapted communicative tasks to fit local realities, combining them with grammar-focused instruction.

5. Discussion

The findings confirm that communicative tasks are powerful pedagogical tools if they are implemented effectively, but their success also depends largely on how well they are adapted to the teaching context. A rigid application of CLT principles often fails in exam-driven or culturally conservative environments, where teachers must act as mediators who balance fluency with accuracy, innovation with tradition, and communication with examination demands. This supports the view that an eclectic and flexible pedagogy is more realistic and effective than strict method-based teaching.

6.1 Conclusion

Communicative tasks significantly enhance EFL learners' interaction, motivation, and communicative competence, yet their classroom impact is often limited by systemic and cultural challenges. Successful implementation therefore requires strong contextual sensitivity and a high degree of teacher autonomy in selecting and adapting methods to suit learners' needs and institutional realities.

6.2 Pedagogical Implications

Practical implications include the need for focused teacher training in communicative task design, the use of more flexible approaches to assessing speaking skills, and the gradual integration of communicative activities into the curriculum to ensure they align with learners' abilities and institutional expectations.

6.3 Limitations and Future Research

This study is based on secondary sources. Future research should use classroom observation and interviews to explore teachers' real practices.

References:

- Canale, M., & Swain, M. (1980). Theoretical bases of communicative approaches to second language teaching and testing. *Applied Linguistics*, 1(1), 1–47.
- Ellis, R. (2003). *Task-based language learning and teaching*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hymes, D. (1972). On communicative competence. In J. B. Pride & J. Holmes (Eds.), *Sociolinguistics* (pp. 269–293). Harmondsworth: Penguin.
- Hu, G. (2002). Potential Cultural Resistance to Pedagogical Imports: The Case of CLT in China. *Language, Culture and Curriculum*, 15 (2), 93-105.
- Larson-Freeman, D. (2000). *Techniques and Principles in Language* (2nd ed.). (R. N. E. Rytherford, Ed.) Oxford: OUP.
- Littlewood, W. (2007). Communicative and task-based language teaching in East Asian classrooms. *Language Teaching*, 40(3), 243–249. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444807004363>
- Li, D. (1998). It is always more difficult than you plan and imagine: Teachers perceived difficulties in introducing the communicative approach in South Korea. *TESOL*, 32 (4), 677-703.
- McKeachie, W. (1986). Class size, large class size, and multiple sections. *Academe*, 66, 24-27.
- Mirdehghan, M., Hoseini Kargar, N., Navab, S., & Mahmoodi, T. (2001). Cultural barriers: Pros and cons on ELT in Iran. *International Journal of Linguistics*, 1 (1), 15-23.
- Nunan, D. (1989). *Designing tasks for the communicative classroom*. Cambridge:

RESEARCH JOURNAL OF ENGLISH (RJOE)

www.rjoe.org.in | Oray's Publications | ISSN: 2456-2696

An International Approved Peer-Reviewed and Refereed English Journal

Impact Factor: 8.576 (SJIF) | Vol. 11, Issue 1 (Jan/Feb/Mar;2026)

Cambridge University Press.

Richards, J. C. (2006). *Communicative language teaching today*. Cambridge:

Cambridge University Press.

How to Cite this article?

Haj Ali, Osman Mohammed Mohammed Saeed, and Elnazeer Ali Rhama Ali. "Communicative Tasks in EFL Classrooms: Benefits, Challenges, and Contextual Realities." *Research Journal of English* (RJOE), vol. 11, no. 1, Jan.–Mar. 2026, Oray's Publications, pp. 394–403, doi:10.36993/RJOE.2026.11.01.403.