RESEARCH JOURNAL OF ENGLISH (RJOE)
www.rjoe.org.in | **Oray's Publications** | ISSN: **2456-2696**
An International Approved Peer-Reviewed and Refereed English Journal
Impact Factor: *8.373 (SJIF)* | **Vol. 11, Issue 1 (Jan/Feb/Mar;2026)**

The Adventure of the Egyptian Tomb: Superstition, Rational Detection, and Colonial Anxiety in Agatha Christie's *Poirot Narrative*

1.Mrs.K.Gayathri
Ph.D Research Scholar, PG & Research Department of English,Sri Sarada College for Women (Autonomous), Salem, Tamilnadu -636 016, Affiliated to Periyar university, Salem ,Tamilnadu- 636 011.
2. Dr. C.G. Sangeetha
Assistant Professor, PG & Research Department of English, Sri Sarada College for Women (Autonomous),Salem, Tamilnadu -636 016, Affiliated to Periyar university, Salem ,Tamilnadu- 636 011.

Paper Received on 28-12-2025, Accepted on 30-01-2026
Published on 3 1 -01-26; DOI:10.36993/RJOE.2026.11.01.204

Abstract
Agatha Christie's short story "The Adventure of the Egyptian Tomb" dramatizes a chain of deaths following an archaeological excavation near Cairo and frames them through the sensational discourse of an Egyptian curse. While the narrative constructs dread through the myth of "the Curse of Men-her-Ra," it ultimately affirms the logic of detective fiction by exposing the deaths as human-made and psychologically engineered. This article argues that Christie deploys the curse narrative as a culturally effective façade: it produces fear, suspends rational judgment, and becomes an instrument for criminal concealment. At the same time, the Egyptian setting invokes colonial anxieties surrounding excavation, cultural trespass, and the West's fascination with the "mystery" of the East. Through Poirot's performance of belief and his final exposure of motive, Christie reveals superstition not as primitive ignorance but as a powerful social force capable of shaping perceptions and enabling violence. By integrating psychological manipulation, imperial archaeology, and modern rational detection, the story becomes a compact yet complex text that critiques both the seductiveness of superstition and the vulnerabilities of modern scientific certainty.

Keywords: Agatha Christie, Hercule Poirot, superstition, curse narrative, colonial archaeology, psychology of fear, rational detection, Orientalism

Introduction

Agatha Christie's detective fiction continues to occupy a privileged place in both popular and academic literary spheres. While her works are frequently praised for ingenious plotting, her stories also operate as cultural artefacts shaped by twentieth-century anxieties. "The Adventure of the Egyptian Tomb," an early Hercule Poirot narrative, offers a rich case study in this regard. The story is structured around a sequence of deaths associated with an Egyptian excavation site, and the public's sensational reception of those deaths as proof of a curse. Christie's narrator Hastings opens with the claim that this case remains "one of the most thrilling and dramatic" adventures of Poirot's career, immediately emphasizing the sensational register of the story (Christie 1). The deaths occur shortly after an archaeological expedition uncovers "a series of funeral chambers," supposedly connected to King Men-her-Ra (Christie 1). Soon, Sir John Willard dies, followed by Mr. Bleibner's death by blood poisoning and later the suicide of Bleibner's nephew. The public begins to speak of "the magic power of dead and gone Egypt" and the "Curse of Men-her-Ra" (Christie 1). Christie constructs this early portion of the plot like a newspaper narrative, highlighting how modern media enables superstition to spread.

In detective fiction, such sensational atmosphere typically functions as misdirection. John G. Cawelti argues that mystery stories follow a formula in which "disorder threatens the social world" (Cawelti 90) but is ultimately resolved through the reassertion of rational explanation. Christie uses the curse motif precisely as that disorder: it destabilizes logic and offers fear as an alternative interpretive framework. Yet Hercule Poirot does not merely deny superstition. He observes its social force and acknowledges it as a genuine power. Poirot tells Lady Willard: "I, too, believe in the force of superstition, one of the greatest forces the world has ever known" (Christie 2). This key statement is central to the story's intellectual structure: superstition is not presented as truth, but as influence.

This article argues that Christie's "The Adventure of the Egyptian Tomb" works as a narrative about how irrational fear can be exploited by a criminal mind. The story simultaneously registers cultural and colonial tensions, since the tomb functions as a contested space: it is at once an archaeological discovery and a sacred site in the Western imagination. Edward Said observes that Western narratives often create an "Oriental" world that appears mysterious, dangerous, and irrational (Said

40). Christie's Egypt participates in this imaginative geography, where the desert and tomb become symbols of timeless secrecy. However, in Christie's story, the real danger emerges from within modernity itself: from professional expertise abused, from scientific authority corrupted, and from psychological manipulation.

Research Gap and Research Questions

While existing Christie scholarship frequently foregrounds clue-patterning, closed-world settings, and the genre's restoration of order, comparatively less attention has been given to the way curse discourse functions as a socio-cultural mechanism that (i) circulates through sensational journalism, (ii) registers colonial unease over archaeological trespass, and (iii) enables criminal concealment by suspending rational judgment. This article addresses that gap by treating the curse not as mere atmospheric ornament but as culturally productive discourse.

Research Questions: (1) How does Christie construct superstition as a "force" within a modern rational society? (2) How does Poirot deploy rational detection while strategically performing belief? (3) How does the Egyptian setting encode colonial fascination and anxiety? (4) How does the narrative link superstition to capitalist motive and inheritance? This paper argues that the story's curse is best understood as an ideological screen behind which modern crime, professional power, and economic desire operate.

Methodology and Theoretical Framework

The article employs qualitative close reading of Christie's text, focusing on narrative voice, the circulation of superstition, and the ethics of professional authority. The theoretical framework integrates detective fiction theory, myth criticism, and postcolonial critique. This combination allows the analysis to connect the detective solution to wider cultural anxieties of modernity and empire, while also accounting for the persistence of myth even after rational explanation.

Discussions

The Curse as Cultural Discourse: Media Sensation and Collective Fear

Christie's story begins not with an actual curse but with a social narrative that produces the curse. The narrator describes how newspapers revive old myths: "The more sensational newspapers immediately took the opportunity of reviving all the old superstitious stories" associated with Egyptian artifacts (Christie 1). This framing foregrounds the media as an agent of superstition. The public does not create fear spontaneously; it absorbs fear through repetition. Roland Barthes describes myth as

a cultural speech that transforms events into symbols, allowing society to interpret reality through a narrative structure rather than through facts (Barthes 109). Christie's curse operates in this way. The deaths are individually explicable, but collectively they are turned into "an almost unbelievable coincidence" by Lady Willard (Christie 2). The curse becomes an explanatory myth that offers coherence where there is randomness.

Lady Willard embodies the reader's uncertainty. She admits that the deaths may be superstition, yet she cannot escape her fear. She asks Poirot directly for his opinion "on the supernatural" (Christie 1). Christie uses this moment to stage a debate between rationality and myth. Yet Poirot does not dismiss her. Instead, he reframes superstition as a psychological and social phenomenon. His response emphasizes the cultural power of belief rather than its truth. The curse narrative thus becomes both atmosphere and thematic commentary. It reveals how modern society, despite "boasted science," remains susceptible to fear-based thinking (Christie 2). Christie suggests that superstition is not a leftover of primitive past but a persistent element of modern human consciousness.

Poirot and the Reassertion of Rational Detection

Poirot's investigative method embodies modern detective logic: he collects information, studies human behavior, and reconstructs motive. Yet Christie adds complexity by showing Poirot adopting a performative relationship with superstition. He carries a book titled "The Magic of the Egyptians and Chaldeans" (Christie 9). This gesture appears to align Poirot with occult belief, but it functions strategically. Detective fiction theorists note that detectives often manipulate appearances to expose truth. Martin Priestman suggests that crime fiction depends on "misdirection, concealment, and the eventual revelation of the real narrative beneath the false one" (Priestman 7). Poirot's theatrics are misdirection aimed at the criminal. Christie therefore presents a detective who understands that reason must sometimes adopt the language of myth in order to dismantle it.

Poirot recognizes that superstition can serve as camouflage for crime. This insight is explicitly stated near the conclusion, where Poirot clarifies Hastings' misunderstanding: "What I meant was that I believe in the terrific force of superstition" (Christie 13). Here the story articulates its central moral: superstition is powerful enough that a murderer can operate under its cover. Poirot adds that once a curse is believed, "you might almost stab a man in broad daylight, and it would still be put

down to the curse" (Christie 13). This statement transforms the story from supernatural thriller into psychological critique.

Scientific Authority and the Corruption of Modern Expertise

One of the most disturbing elements of the story is that the criminal is not an outsider but a professional insider. Dr. Ames represents scientific authority. He dismisses superstition confidently: "I am a scientific man, and I believe only what science teaches" (Christie 9). Christie uses this declaration to highlight modern faith in science. Yet the doctor's role becomes ironic and tragic: the very figure meant to protect the expedition becomes the agent of death. This twist reveals Christie's broader theme: modernity is vulnerable not only to superstition but also to the misuse of reason and expertise. Knowledge becomes dangerous when it is detached from ethics. The doctor weaponizes his medical expertise to induce fear and death, including the psychological manipulation of young Rupert Bleibner through the false claim of disease. Poirot explains: "Young Bleibner wrote plainly enough: 'I am a leper,' but nobody realized that he shot himself because he believed that he had contracted the dread disease of leprosy" (Christie 14).

Here Christie shows that the crime depends not on magic but on psychology. Dr. Ames understands that fear of illness can be as destructive as illness itself. In this context, superstition functions as one layer of manipulation, and scientific authority becomes another. Michel Foucault argues that modern institutions produce forms of power through knowledge, where expertise can discipline bodies and minds (Foucault 27). Christie's narrative resembles this critique: Dr. Ames uses medical knowledge as power.

Egypt and Colonial Anxiety: Archaeology as Cultural Trespass

Although the story's plot is solved through rational detection, its setting in Egypt is not neutral. Christie's desert landscape and tomb imagery are deeply tied to colonial imagination. Hastings describes how "the charm of Egypt had laid hold" of him, emphasizing fascination (Christie 5). This fascination is an example of Orientalist attraction, where the East is desired as exotic spectacle (Said 40). Yet fascination is paired with fear. The tomb becomes a moral and symbolic space. The myth of the curse expresses anxiety about intrusion. The narrative constantly reminds the reader that Western characters have entered and disturbed a sacred ancient space. Even if the curse is disproved, the fear associated with it reflects cultural guilt.

Sir Guy Willard and others insist that belief in a curse is "absurd," but their repeated denials reveal insecurity. This denial resembles what postcolonial critics identify as

the colonizer's anxiety: a fear that the colonized past or culture may resist possession. The story ends by noting that people continue to treat the deaths as "proof of the vengeance of a bygone king upon the desecrators of his tomb" (Christie 15). Even after rational explanation, myth persists. Christie therefore suggests that superstition is culturally resilient. It cannot be fully erased by logic because it satisfies emotional needs and cultural narratives.

Performance, Ritual, and the Detective's Psychological Warfare

The "Anubis" episode demonstrates Christie's use of theatricality. Hastings sees a figure he recognizes as "the dog-headed figure" carved on the tomb walls (Christie 11). Poirot intensifies the scene by naming it: "Anubis, the jackal-headed, the god of departing souls" (Christie 11). This moment plays like Gothic horror. Yet Poirot later reveals it as staged: "The figure of Anubis you saw to-night was Hassan, dressed up by my orders" (Christie 14). This performance has a clear purpose: it tests the criminal's reaction. Christie portrays detection as psychological warfare. Poirot uses superstition as bait. Dr. Ames, however, is too rationally criminal to be frightened. Poirot observes that "it would take more than the supernatural to frighten him" (Christie 14). This aspect of the story suggests that Christie's detective fiction is not only about evidence but also about acting, strategy, and social manipulation. Poirot's "little grey cells" are not just logical tools; they are instruments of understanding human behavior (Christie 14).

Christie's Central Message: The True Curse is Human Credulity

The most important thematic statement occurs at the end. Poirot explains that superstition becomes a weapon because humans are predisposed to supernatural thinking. He says that belief in the curse is "so strongly is the instinct of the supernatural implanted in the human race" (Christie 13). This view aligns with modern cultural criticism that identifies superstition not as irrational stupidity but as part of human meaning-making. Yet Christie treats it as dangerous because it can be exploited. The true curse in the story is not Men-her-Ra but human credulity.

Poirot's final rational explanation exposes how the entire mystery is rooted not in supernatural vengeance but in calculated economic greed. Christie designs the "curse" discourse as a convenient illusion that hides a very modern motive: financial gain through inheritance. While the deaths appear accidental and unrelated, Poirot gradually reveals that the murderer deliberately cultivates an atmosphere of fear so that suspicion shifts away from human agency. In other words, superstition becomes a protective cloak for crime. Poirot explicitly states that once a chain of deaths is

labeled supernatural, society tends to suspend ordinary judgment, because "you might almost stab a man in broad daylight, and it would still be put down to the curse" (Christie 13). This narrative strategy demonstrates Christie's critique of public psychology: the more the community embraces superstition, the easier it becomes for a criminal to operate undetected. The most disturbing aspect of this plot is that the criminal is not merely opportunistic, but economically strategic.

Findings

The study yields five key findings. First, Christie constructs superstition not as literal supernatural truth but as a cultural discourse produced and legitimized through sensational media circulation, as shown in the narrator's observation that newspapers revived "old superstitious stories" about Egyptian artifacts (Christie 1). Second, Poirot's investigation demonstrates that rational detection is not simply the rejection of superstition; rather, it involves understanding superstition as a powerful social force, which Poirot confirms when he states that he believes in "the terrific force of superstition" (Christie 13). Third, the Egyptian setting functions ideologically as an Orientalised space, where fascination ("the charm of Egypt") coexists with fear and moral anxiety, revealing colonial unease about excavation and cultural intrusion (Christie 5). Fourth, the story critiques modern scientific authority by portraying Dr. Ames as both a "scientific man" and the criminal agent, thereby revealing how expertise can be weaponized when ethics fail (Christie 9). Finally, Christie connects superstition with capitalist modernity by exposing inheritance-driven greed as the true motive, making the curse narrative a strategic façade through which economic desire and criminal concealment operate (Christie 13).

Conclusion

Agatha Christie's "The Adventure of the Egyptian Tomb" remains a compelling narrative because it operates at multiple cultural levels. On one level, it is a classic Poirot mystery that restores order through rational explanation. On another level, it reveals how superstition functions as a social and psychological force capable of suspending logic and enabling crime. Poirot's most significant contribution is not simply proving that the curse is false, but demonstrating how belief in it becomes dangerous. The story articulates this clearly through Poirot's observation that once superstition is established, even obvious violence will be attributed to supernatural causes (Christie 13).

Further, the story's Egyptian setting situates the plot within the cultural environment of colonial archaeology. The tomb functions as a symbolic site of fascination and fear, reflecting Western desire for ancient heritage and simultaneous anxiety about cultural trespass. Even when Poirot resolves the case, the public continues to believe in the curse, demonstrating the persistence of myth beyond evidence. Ultimately, Christie's narrative critiques both superstition and the corruptibility of modern expertise. Dr. Ames represents the terrifying possibility that science itself can become criminal. The story thus offers a profound cultural lesson: modern rationality does not eliminate irrational fear; instead, it coexists with it. The "curse" survives not as fact but as belief, and Christie shows that belief can be as lethal as any poison.

References:

Barthes, Roland. Mythologies. Translated by Annette Lavers, Hill and Wang, 1972.

Cawelti, John G. Adventure, Mystery, and Romance: Formula Stories as Art and Popular Culture. University of Chicago Press, 1976.

Babu, M. V. "The Impact of Sanskrit on the English Language: Tracing Linguistic Connections across Civilizations." *Research Journal of English (RJOE),* vol. 10, no. 2, 2021, pp. 181–183.

Christie, Agatha. "The Adventure of the Egyptian Tomb." The Adventure of the Egyptian Tomb, www.libraryofshortstories.com. Accessed 26 Jan. 2026.

Foucault, Michel. The Archaeology of Knowledge. Translated by A. M. Sheridan Smith, Routledge, 2002.

Priestman, Martin, editor. The Cambridge Companion to Crime Fiction. Cambridge University Press, 2003.

Said, Edward W. Orientalism. Vintage Books, 1979.

How to Cite this article?

Gayathri, K., and C. G. Sangeetha. **"The Adventure of the Egyptian Tomb: Superstition, Rational Detection, and Colonial Anxiety in Agatha Christie's Poirot Narrative."** *Research Journal of English (RJOE),* vol. 11, no. 1, Jan.–Mar. 2026, Oray's Publications, doi:10.36993/RJOE.2026.11.01.204.