Seeing Otherwise: Myth, Memory, and Female Vision in *The Palace of Illusions* and *The Forest of Enchantments*

[1]Abhabya Ratnam
Research Scholar,Department of English,Patna University
[2]Dr. Samir Kumar Sharma
Research Supervisor & Professor,Department of English,Patna University

Abstract

Contemporary retellings of Indian epics have become powerful sites for recovering silenced female voices within patriarchal mythic traditions. Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni's *The Palace of Illusions* and *The Forest of Enchantments* reimagine the *Mahabharata* and the *Ramayana* through women's perspectives, offering alternative ways of seeing and remembering myth. This paper examines how Divakaruni reconstructs myth, memory, and female vision to challenge inherited stereotypes of womanhood and passive femininity. By positioning Draupadi and Sita as narrators of their own experiences, the novels reverse the traditional patriarchal gaze, transforming women from objects of representation into subjects of interpretation. The study explores how memory functions as a tool of self-assertion, how myth is reshaped to expose gendered power structures, and how female vision destabilizes canonical authority. Drawing on feminist literary theory and gaze studies, the paper argues that Divakaruni's retellings do not merely rewrite epics but reconfigure cultural consciousness, creating space for marginalized voices to redefine myth in contemporary discourse.

Introduction:

Indian epics such as the *Mahabharata* and the *Ramayana* have shaped cultural consciousness, moral imagination, and social values for centuries. Myths

_____

play a central role in forming cultural memory, social ethics, and shared identity, and in the Indian tradition these epics have long served as moral and philosophical guides. However, their transmission has largely taken place through patriarchal perspectives that privilege male heroism, divine authority, and narratives of power. Female characters are often confined to roles defined by sacrifice, obedience, and endurance, while their inner lives and personal voices remain marginal within the dominant narrative tradition. As a result, women in these epics have been remembered through ideals constructed by male centered narratives rather than through their own experiences or reflections, reinforcing gender hierarchies within cultural consciousness.

In recent decades, contemporary writers have begun to challenge these inherited narrative structures by revisiting mythological stories from viewpoints that were previously ignored or silenced. This shift reflects a broader effort to question long accepted assumptions about gender, authority, and tradition. Retelling myth from alternative perspectives allows writers to recover suppressed voices and reexamine cultural inheritance through new interpretive lenses. Such revisions do not reject tradition but seek to reopen it to dialogue, diversity, and critical reflection.

Among the most significant contributions in this direction are Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni's novels *The Palace of Illusions* and *The Forest of Enchantments*. These works retell the *Mahabharata* and the *Ramayana* through the voices of Draupadi and Sita, granting narrative authority to women who were previously positioned at the margins of epic storytelling. In this regard, Chayanika Roy has rightly opined that:

The female gaze, unlike the male gaze, has different power dynamics and does not objectify men to be a subject of their desires and pleasures of looking. (Roy 114)

By allowing these heroines to narrate their own experiences, Divakaruni reshapes familiar myths through emotion, recollection, and female awareness. Her retellings recover suppressed perspectives and reinterpret legendary events from within female experience rather than external observation, thereby challenging patriarchal narrative order and reclaiming myth as a space for female self expression.

_____

This paper explores how Divakaruni reconstructs myth, memory, and female vision to challenge traditional representations of femininity. It argues that her retellings transform women from passive subjects of fate into active interpreters of their own histories. Through feminist revision of epic narratives, Divakaruni exposes the power structures that have historically regulated women's bodies, choices, and voices. By reimagining myth from a female standpoint, her work becomes a powerful intervention in cultural memory and narrative authority, opening traditional narratives to alternative ways of seeing and understanding gender, power, and cultural inheritance.

Myths function as powerful cultural frameworks through which societies transmit values, belief systems, and social hierarchies across generations. In the Indian tradition, the classical versions of the *Mahabharata* and the *Ramayana* have played a central role in shaping moral consciousness and social expectations. These epics construct distinct gendered ideals that continue to influence perceptions of womanhood and masculinity. Draupadi is portrayed as a woman bound by destiny and marital duty, her identity defined by her association with five husbands and the political conflicts of men. Sita is idealized as the embodiment of purity, devotion, and obedience, her worth repeatedly tested through trials that demand endurance rather than self-determination. Such portrayals reinforce patriarchal values by privileging male agency, authority, and honor, while limiting female autonomy and self-expression.

Traditional epic narratives rarely grant women narrative authority or psychological depth. While the suffering of female characters is acknowledged, their perspectives are mediated through male voices and moral frameworks. Their experiences are interpreted rather than articulated, observed rather than expressed. Feminist scholars argue that such mythic traditions naturalize gender inequality by presenting patriarchal norms as sacred or divinely sanctioned. As a result, women in epic narratives become symbols of virtue, sacrifice, or temptation rather than individuals with self-awareness and interpretive power. Their silence becomes an accepted part of cultural memory, reinforcing unequal power relations within social consciousness.

_____

Divakaruni challenges this patriarchal inheritance by foregrounding the suppressed voices of epic women and restoring narrative agency to them. Her novels raise critical questions about the silences embedded within canonical texts. What would Draupadi reveal about her humiliation in the royal court if she could narrate her own experience. How would she interpret her exile, her anger, and her longing for justice. What would Sita express about her abandonment, her loneliness, and her moral trials if she were allowed to define her own worth rather than be judged by others. By posing these questions through fiction, Divakaruni opens the epics to reinterpretation and challenges their assumed neutrality. Her retellings invite readers to reconsider inherited myths not as fixed truths but as narratives shaped by power, perspective, and selective memory.

Memory plays a central role in Divakaruni's narrative strategy, serving as a vital instrument through which silenced voices reclaim their histories. In both *The Palace of Illusions* and *The Forest of Enchantments*, Draupadi and Sita reconstruct their lives through reflection, recollection, and emotional testimony. Memory becomes more than a narrative technique; it functions as an act of resistance against histories shaped and recorded by patriarchal authority. By remembering and narrating their experiences in their own voices, these women recover events that have been misrepresented, minimized, or erased from traditional accounts. Memory thus allows them to reenter cultural history not as objects of narration but as self- aware subjects who interpret their own past.

In *The Palace of Illusions*, Draupadi revisits defining moments of her life, including her birth, her marriage, her public humiliation in the royal court, and her years of exile. Through her recollections, she challenges the conventional belief that her suffering was a consequence of divine destiny. Instead, she interprets these events as outcomes of political ambition, male pride, and social injustice. Her act of remembering exposes the structures of power that controlled her life and denied her autonomy. By reexamining her experiences through memory, Draupadi asserts her identity beyond the roles imposed upon her as daughter, wife, and queen, and claims the authority to define herself on her own terms. In the words of Chayanika Roy:

The female gaze that Divakaruni portrayed through the figure of Draupadi is not about pleasure or even power; it is about presence. (Roy 114)

_____

In *The Forest of Enchantments*, Sita similarly reconstructs her life through memory, transforming experiences of exile and trial into moments of inner discovery and self- understanding. She reflects on solitude, motherhood, emotional endurance, and moral strength, thereby revealing dimensions of her character absent from traditional tellings. Memory enables her to express emotional truths that patriarchal narratives overlook or silence. By reclaiming her past through narration, Sita redefines herself not as a passive sufferer of fate but as a conscious agent capable of reflection, judgment, and self -affirmation.

Thus, memory in Divakaruni's fiction becomes a powerful means of self -assertion. It challenges official history and unsettles the authority of inherited narratives. Through the act of remembering, women write themselves into cultural consciousness, transforming myth from a record of imposed identities into a space of reclaimed voice and renewed meaning.

Traditional epic narratives often construct women as objects of observation, judgment, and possession rather than as speaking subjects with interpretive authority. In the *Mahabharata* and the *Ramayana*, female bodies and actions become sites upon which social morality and patriarchal honor are publicly tested. Draupadi's disrobing in the royal court and Sita's trial by fire stand as powerful moments where women are reduced to spectacles of public scrutiny. Their bodies become symbols through which male pride, political rivalry, and moral codes are asserted and validated. These episodes reflect a patriarchal gaze that regulates female identity through visual control, social judgment, and collective surveillance, leaving little space for women to articulate their own experiences.

Divakaruni reverses this traditional gaze by granting narrative authority to Draupadi and Sita. In her retellings, these women are no longer objects viewed and interpreted through male perspectives. Instead, they become subjects who observe, question, and evaluate the world around them. They reflect on the actions of kings, warriors, sages, and even divine figures, exposing contradictions within institutions that claim moral and spiritual authority. This narrative shift transforms the position of the reader as well. Rather than witnessing women through patriarchal eyes, the reader encounters epic events through female consciousness, gaining access to

emotional realities and moral insights that canonical narratives exclude. In this context Laura Mulvey has rightly opined about the theory of male gaze that:

Woman, then, stands in patriarchal culture as a signifier for the male other, bound by a symbolic order in which man can live out his fantasies and obsessions through linguistic command by imposing them on the silent image of a woman still tied to her place as the bearer of meaning, not maker of meaning (Mulvey 58).

By reversing the gaze, Divakaruni dismantles hierarchical power relations embedded in traditional epic storytelling. Her female narrators challenge institutions such as marriage, kingship, and divine command that have historically justified the control of women's bodies and choices. The authority to interpret myth thus moves from patriarchal tradition to female consciousness. In doing so, Divakaruni not only recovers suppressed perspectives but also redefines the act of seeing and knowing within mythic discourse, turning women from passive recipients of judgment into active interpreters of cultural truth. Divakaruni humanizes mythic women by granting them emotional depth and psychological complexity that traditional narratives largely deny them. Instead of remaining distant symbols of virtue, sacrifice, or obedience, Draupadi and Sita emerge as fully realized individuals capable of anger, doubt, longing, desire, and self-reflection. This emotional realism challenges the rigid archetypes of ideal womanhood that have shaped cultural expectations for centuries. By exploring the inner lives of these epic heroines, Divakaruni shifts the focus from external moral judgment to internal emotional experience, thereby transforming static mythic figures into dynamic human personalities.

In *The Palace of Illusions*, Draupadi expresses frustration at being treated as property within political and marital arrangements over which she has little control. She longs for recognition not only as a queen or wife but as a woman with independent feelings and aspirations. Her voice reveals the emotional cost of being bound by duty while yearning for self- fulfillment. In *The Forest of Enchantments*, Sita reflects on solitude, moral conflict, and inner resilience. Her experiences of exile and trial become moments of introspection through which she discovers her own strength and sense of self beyond social validation. In both narratives, the heroines articulate emotions that canonical texts suppress, revealing the psychological burden of silence and endurance.

_____

By presenting Draupadi and Sita as evolving individuals rather than fixed ideals, Divakaruni bridges the distance between myth and modern experience. This humanization allows contemporary readers to relate to epic women not as unreachable icons of virtue but as individuals who struggle for dignity, autonomy, and meaning. Through emotional authenticity and psychological insight, Divakaruni reclaims epic heroines as women whose personal journeys reflect universal human desires for identity, respect, and self- realization. Divakaruni's retellings do not merely modernize ancient epics by placing them in contemporary language or sensibility. Rather, they reconfigure cultural meaning by revealing how tradition has selectively preserved certain voices while systematically silencing others. The canonical versions of the *Mahabharata* and the *Ramayana* have long been treated as repositories of cultural truth, yet they reflect specific power structures that determine whose experiences are remembered and whose are forgotten. By rewriting these epics from female perspectives, Divakaruni exposes the partiality of inherited narratives and invites readers to reconsider what has been accepted as unquestioned cultural knowledge.

When myth is retold from alternative viewpoints, cultural identity itself becomes open to reinterpretation and negotiation. Divakaruni participates in a broader literary and cultural movement that seeks to reclaim myth as a shared and democratic space rather than a fixed patriarchal inheritance. Her narratives suggest that heritage is not a closed tradition guarded by authoritative voices but a living discourse shaped by multiple tellers and diverse experiences. In restoring suppressed voices to the center of mythic storytelling, Divakaruni allows marginalized perspectives to redefine cultural memory and challenge established hierarchies of meaning. In this regard, Keerthika M and Dr. A. D. Sudha has rightly observed that: Divakaruni's modern consciousness, anchored in ancient circumstances, creates a narrative bridge between tradition and transformation. (Keerthika and Sudha 78)

Through this process, Divakaruni demonstrates that myth is not a static relic of the past but a dynamic narrative continually reshaped by those who engage with it. Her retellings affirm that storytelling is an act of power and that reclaiming the right to narrate is essential for transforming cultural consciousness. In giving epic women, the authority to speak, Divakaruni reshapes both the content and the purpose of myth, turning it into a space of dialogue, resistance, and renewed cultural imagination. Thus,

_____

Divakaruni's *The Palace of Illusions* and *The Forest of Enchantments* mark significant contributions to contemporary mythological fiction by reimagining the *Mahabharata* and the *Ramayana* through female perspectives. By reconstructing myth through memory and female vision, Divakaruni challenges the patriarchal structures that have traditionally shaped epic narratives and cultural understanding. Her retellings transform Draupadi and Sita from symbolic figures into self aware narrators who question destiny, duty, and injustice, thereby shifting narrative authority from male dominated traditions to female experience.

Through feminist reinterpretation and the reversal of narrative control, Divakaruni reclaims myth as a space where suppressed voices can finally speak. Her novels do not simply retell ancient epics but reshape cultural consciousness by exposing gendered power relations and redefining the representation of womanhood. By foregrounding emotional depth, psychological insight, and reflective memory, Divakaruni opens new possibilities for understanding identity, agency, and resistance within mythic discourse. Ultimately, Divakaruni's retellings affirm that history, myth, and memory are not fixed inheritances but living narratives open to reinterpretation. In restoring the right to narrate to epic women, her work renews cultural imagination and encourages readers to question inherited traditions, making myth a dynamic space of dialogue, inclusion, and transformation.

References:

Divakaruni, Chitra Banerjee. The Palace of Illusions. Picador India, 2008.

The Forest of Enchantments. Harper Collins Publishers India, 2019.

Keerthika, M., and A. D. Sudha. "Myth, Memory, and Migration: Tracing Draupadi's Double Diasporic Identity in Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni's The Palace of Illusions." Journal of Foreign Languages, Cultures & Civilizations, vol. 13, 2025, pp. 74–82.

Mulvey, Laura. Visual Pleasure and Narrative Cinema. University of Glasgow, 1975. 20.

_____

Roy, Chayanika. "Reversing the Gaze: Subversion and Re interpretation of Mythical Stereotypes in Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni's The Palace of Illusions." The Creative Launcher, vol. 6, no. 2, 2021, pp. 113–118.