

---

**The Man of Two Minds: Doubleness, Dislocation and Memory in Viet Thanh Nguyen's *The Sympathizer***

---

<sup>1</sup>**Pechimayum Merina**

Research Scholar, Department of English, Dhanamanjuri University

<sup>2</sup>**Ph. Sanamacha Sharma**

Professor, Department of English, Dhanamanjuri University, Imphal West, Manipur, India

---

Paper Received on 19-12-2025, Accepted on 21-01-2026

Published on 22-01-26; DOI:10.36993/RJOE.2025.11.01.113

---

**Abstract**

This paper explores the intricate intersection of doubleness, dislocation, and fractured memory identity in Viet Thanh Nguyen's Pulitzer Prize-winning novel *The Sympathizer*. Set within the context of the Vietnam War and its aftermath, the novel traces the story of a nameless protagonist, a half-Vietnamese and half-French double agent communist spy. The protagonist's fragmented identity reflects the ruptures caused by exile and ideological conflict. His condition as a "man of two minds" is represented not merely as a personal disposition, but as a structuring agent of his postcolonial identity and refugee experience. This paper posits that the protagonist's doubleness emerges as an avenue through which Nguyen critiques the monolithic nature of historical narratives and examines the psychological fallout of cultural amnesia. Using postcolonial theory (Bhabha, Said) and trauma studies (Caruth, Rothberg), it posits that dislocation destabilizes physical modes of belonging but disrupts memory itself, necessitating a negotiation between the act of remembering and forgetting as an act of survival. *The Sympathizer* reframes the refugee as not a passive victim of history but as a complex, agential subject whose fractured testimony serves as an act of agency and an act of resistance against ideological erasure.

**Keywords:** Doubleness, dislocation, memory, trauma, postcolonial, refugee literature, hybridity.

## **Introduction**

In the current refugee literature landscape, the double agent embodies the ambiguity, fragmentation, and fissured state we associate with being displaced or exiled. Viet Thanh Nguyen's *The Sympathizer* (2015) deftly deploys the double agent to investigate the psychological and cultural schisms created by war, exile, and competing empires, an approach that reflects Nguyen's own experience as a refugee of the Vietnam War and the subsequent displacement from his childhood home. After fleeing to America after the fall of Saigon, Nguyen grew up in the strange world of American cultural memory, which often erased or distorted Vietnamese voices. *The Sympathizer* emerges from an acute awareness of what he calls "the double consciousness of the refugee," in which survival requires a constant negotiation between belonging and exclusion. As Nguyen writes in his nonfiction book, *Nothing Ever Dies: Vietnam and the Memory of War* (2016), "All wars are fought twice, the first time on the battlefield and the second time in memory." This second war, in which the erasure and misrepresentation of lived experience forms the narrative arc, provides the primary drama of *The Sympathizer*. The unnamed protagonist in the novel represents a literal and symbolic example of a "man of two minds," or a double agent, who experiences the consequence of being half-French, half-Vietnamese and a communist spy in a South Vietnamese community in exile. Doubleness is the primary axis on which the novel muses on dislocation in two important ways: firstly, as it relates to being displaced, which we recognize goes beyond geographic dislocation but encompasses a wide range of emotional, ideological and cultural disorientation and secondly, as disremembered pasts or the problem of having created a distortion, repression or erasure of memory due to trauma and political violence.

This paper argues that the doubleness of the protagonist is not a deficit but rather a generative condition for a particular critique of American and Vietnamese nationalist ideologies. The fractured identity signals what Homi K. Bhabha calls the "unhomely," whereby "the borders between home and world are blurred, and, uncannily, the private and the public become part of each other" (Bhabha). His forced confession, written in a communist re-education camp, is also a demonstration of Cathy Caruth's theory of trauma as an unassimilated wound that splits the linearity of the narrative, making memory a field of struggle rather than derived evidence. Through this "man of two minds," Nguyen destabilizes the dominant historical interpolations from his own experience of the Vietnam War and the more complex,

ambiguous voices of people lost between the resistance and the destructive violence that followed the War. Building off the theories of Bhabha, Edward Said, Cathy Caruth, and Michael Rothberg, this paper will demonstrate how doubleness, dislocation, and fragmented memory enable a form of political and narrative resistance in *The Sympathizer*.

### **“I Am a Spy, a Sleeper, a Spook”: The Ontology of Doubleness and the Unhomely Identity**

The opening line of Viet Thanh Nguyen's *The Sympathizer* serves, rather obviously, as more than an opening statement; it is a declaration of a dismembered identity. “I am a spy, a sleeper, a spook, a man of two faces” (Nguyen 1) establishes the protagonist's being as one defined simply by an irretrievable doubling. This line does not simply perform the duty of elucidating the protagonist's occupation as a communist mole nestled among a South Vietnamese general's retinue in exile. It points to the ontological. His identity is not just divided; it is layered multipliciously by the intertwining pressures of biraciality, colonialism, and ideological division. He exists in a continual state of ruptured identity that again relates to Homi K. Bhabha's third space, a space of ambivalence, in which cultural significations intersect and become hybridized, and thus identity becomes a continued state of contention and negotiation based on those self-same cultural influences. From this precarious position, he is reading the world through the lens of history, ideology, and calls to loyalty that privilege unified claims of allegiance, which serve to impose his “unhomeliness” on both identity and experience that weighs heavily in every interaction and stays with him as pollution during his inner dialogue.

#### **I.i. The Ontological Foundations of Doubleness**

The narrator's doubleness is not simply a single fracture; it is a set of intersecting cleavages that make up his very being. The most primal of these is his biracial identity, since he is the offspring of a French Catholic priest and a Vietnamese mother. This heritage embodies the colonial encounter, the European colonizer and the colonized Asian, making his very body a “contact zone,” in Mary Louise Pratt's term. He is a physical monument to a violent history of imperialism and cultural imposition that he cannot leave behind nor reconcile. His self-descriptive litany “not just half-blood but half-breed; not just half-caste, but half-cooked” (Nguyen 304) is more than self-deprecation; it is the internalized language of colonial and racist ideology that connects hybridity to impurity. He has internalized the sick belief that to be mixed is to be incomplete, an unsuccessful version of two “originals” that are

both “pure.” This biological and cultural division is further complicated by his political and ideological division. He is a sleeper agent for the communist North and presents himself as a loyal captain in the South. This means he is always acting, and acting is not merely cosmetic; it requires a fairly deep psychic splitting. He must not only act as a staunch anti-communist, but he must think their thoughts, mourn with them, and win their trust, all while never disclosing his enduring and unchanging commitment to the cause of the ones he pretends to loathe. This, in essence, is the spy, a person whose very identity is based on the traitorous intimacy. His confession, “I was a spy, and a spy is different from everyone else. A spy must be like everyone else, which is why he is not like everyone else.” (Nguyen 62) captures this paradox. He is characterized by what appears to be his radical success of fitting in, and it is because of that he is seen as radically other. Politically, this doubling mirrors his racial doubling; he is internally and externally simultaneously a part of the community, but not part of the community for eternity. It is this complicated layering of divisions of “belonging” that makes Bhabha’s “third space” the most appropriate theoretical framework to think of his position. The third space is not a comforting synthesis or happy medium; rather, it is an ambivalent and interstitial space in which established norms and identities of culture become unsettled. For this character, there is no “authentic” Vietnamese-ness or “true” American-ness that he can comfortably claim. Instead, he occupies a liminal space in-between these constructed categories. His consciousness is a site of constant, often violent, negotiation of the value of East and West, tradition and modernity, communism and capitalism. He is not in a position of privilege or an elevated position, but a place of radical uncertainty and psychic fatigue. “I was a mind-body dualist, a believer in the separation of material and the spiritual, a devotee of Descartes. I had to, for I was of two minds” (Nguyen 65). The third space is a site, for him, of constant cognitive dissonance.

### **I.ii. The Unhomely World: Racial Hyper-visibility and Political Invisibility**

The most tangible expression of this ontological duplicity is the intense feeling of unhomeliness that permeates his existence. Bhabha’s account of unhomeliness as “the shock of recognition of the world-in-the-home, the home-in-the-world” (Bhabha 13) is masterfully expressed in the experience of the narrator. For him, home is not the lost Vietnam of his youth, now revolutionized and war-torn, nor America, the country of his exile that refuses to become less alien. He is, in a sense, homeless in both countries, a man whose “home” is the state of displacement itself. In the American context, this unhomeliness is instantiated through a harsh and

contradictory dynamic: racial over-visibility, political and historical invisibility. He is relentlessly “watched as a racial other,” his Asian body rendering him permanently foreign and suspect. And yet, precisely simultaneously, he is politically erased, his own experience of the Vietnam War omitted from the American story he comes to know. This paradox is distilled in his masterful remark: “I was invisible while being watched, a common condition for those of my race” (Nguyen 193). This describes the Orientalist stare so effectively critiqued by Edward Said. The West creates the “Orient” as a silent, passive subject to observe, categorize, and control. The narrator is a living oxymoron to this power relation. He looks at the West from inside; he knows its idioms, its biases, and its vulnerabilities. He is an assistant to a Hollywood director, “the Auteur,” who is directing a film about the war and whose job it is to offer the “authentic” Vietnamese voice, a voice which the Auteur quickly rejects and distorts. In this position, the narrator becomes the object of knowledge who has more information than the knower, the subaltern who speaks not only but whose speech is deliberately denied and co-opted. His encounters with the Auteur’s film, *The Hamlet*, are a potent metaphor for this erasure. The film, an obvious stand-in for films such as *Apocalypse Now*, is a Western fantasy imposed upon the Vietnamese terrain. The Vietnamese characters are all props, silent, suffering victims, or savage, faceless enemies. They possess no interiority, no complicated motivations, no backstory outside of their connection to the American soldiers. The efforts of the narrator to rectify this, to bring nuance and humanity, meet with condescension and outright hostility. He is informed, in effect, that his authenticity is only worthy insofar as it validates the pre-existing Western myth. This experience shows that in America, he is not regarded as a subject with his own narrative, but as an object in their narrative, a narrative from which his true self is radically excluded.

### **I.iii. Alienation and the Impossibility of Solidarity**

The doubleness of the narrator does not give him the exalted, objective view of an intellectual cosmopolitan. Rather, it sentences him to one of radical disconnection, rendering him “the ultimate unhomely subject of postcolonial displacement.” His hybridity renders him suspect to everybody. To the South Vietnamese refugees, he is a faithful advisor, yet his half-French heritage always makes him slightly different, a symbol of colonial history. He writes, “To be sure, everyone knew I was half, but a half was certainly better than a whole who was not even half” (Nguyen 24), a line that captures the fragile pecking order within the refugee population itself. His acceptance is conditional and tenuous. This suspicion applies even to his fellow

members of the communist cause. While he gives up everything for the Revolution, his North Vietnamese handlers never trust him completely. His Western schooling, his experience in America, and his mental outlook formed by dialectical thought and intellectual questioning make him a suspect. He is, in their minds, tainted by the enemy. This is finalized in his ghastly re-education, when he is tortured by his blood brother, Man, in efforts to expunge from him this doubleness and convert him into a single, orthodox communist self. The final irony and tragedy of his existence is that the cause that led him to live in falsehood and treachery cannot accommodate the hybrid, double personality that his service demanded him to turn into. His doubleness, the very instrument of his espionage, becomes the sin for which he is condemned. The protagonist's confession is less a declaration of his vocation than a witness to a broken modern condition. His doubleness as a "spy, a sleeper, a spook" is a figure for the postcolonial subject moving through a globalized world still divided by the remnants of empire and racism. He is a man eternally standing outside, his image divided between two mirrors that never produce a complete image. His unhomeliness is not the absence of a physical home, but a displacement of the self, a condition where the very notion of "home" as a place of belonging and recognition is an unobtainable fantasy. In his travels, Nguyen illustrates that in an age of compelled duality and binary allegiances, the man with two faces is doomed to perceive the reality of his own duality, a reality that provides no comfort, only the insistent, enlightening weight of seeing double in a world that insists he see singularly.

#### **"A Wound that Cries Out": Trauma, the Fragmented Memory of Confession and the Struggle for Narrative Control**

The strength of *The Sympathizer* is not just in its narrative but in its very form, which enacts the broken consciousness of its narrator. The novel is a coerced confession, dictated under force in a communist re-education camp, a place where memory is institutionally weaponized and "truth" is something dictated by the state. This narrative context refigures the activity of writing from one of contemplation to one of survival and resistance. In this suffocating background, the novel is a demonstration of Cathy Caruth's definition of trauma as "the story of a wound that cries out, that addresses us in the attempt to tell us of a reality or truth that is not otherwise available" (Caruth 4). The narrator's confession is exactly this wail: a disjointed, iterative, and strongly contradictory storytelling that formally imitates the persistent, unmanageable recurrence of traumatic memory. It is a work in which the battle over narrative control is the overarching drama, one mirroring the broader

political battles that organize the protagonist's existence. Moreover, the fractured form of *The Sympathizer* does not merely mirror trauma but actively dramatizes the impossibility of a stable subjectivity under conditions of ideological coercion. The narrator's voice is constantly split between competing allegiances—Vietnamese and American, communist and capitalist, victim and perpetrator—so that the text itself becomes a site of contested identity. This instability underscores how trauma is inseparable from political violence: the narrator's inability to produce a coherent confession reflects the broader impossibility of reconciling histories of war, exile, and colonial domination. In this way, the novel insists that narrative fragmentation is not a stylistic flourish but a political necessity, exposing how the very act of telling a story becomes entangled with survival, resistance, and the refusal to be fully subsumed by state power.

### II.i. The Confessional Form as Traumatic Symptom

The narrator's memory defies the reassuring coherence of a linear, chronological story. Rather, it bursts onto the page "as a confused battleground of loyalties and events out of which no peace of mind is possible" (Nguyen 292). This is the nature of traumatic memory. He is haunted not by a discrete, individual event but by a constant procession of ghosts: the face of Sonny, the security agent he slickly murders; the viscerally disturbing death of his friend Bon's wife and child in a plane wreck in their attempted flight, and the brutal, quotidian humiliations of being a racialized refugee in America. These recollections do not lie tidily in the past; they break into his present, both within the re-education camp and within the act of composing his confession. This fits exactly with Caruth's overall thesis that trauma lies not in the event as such, but in its "unassimilated" quality. It is repetitive, frequently belated, and compulsive return. The traumatic experience is so overwhelming that the mind can't take it in at the moment, so it reappears later as flashbacks, nightmares, and compulsive behaviors. The narrator's confession, penned out under the commandant's dictate to "confess everything," is the coerced space for this delayed processing. The past, all its turbulent and agonizing glory, comes back with insistence into the bleak present of the prison cell. Yet this process is tainted from the beginning by the circumstances of its creation. The narrator herself is keenly sensitive to this, declaring, "My confessions had to be truthful, but not necessarily honest. What mattered was if they confirmed the truth as the commandant understood it" (Nguyen 291). This difference between "truthful" and "honest" is significant. It



uncovers that the confession is not a therapeutic revelation of a hidden truth, but an act, a fresh coat of duplicity for survival.

## **II.ii. The Political Imposition of Memory and the “Abused” Past**

This official imposition of a “correct” memory points to the political nature of memory. The commandant’s insistence is a repetition of what Paul Ricoeur would call “the memory that is abusively commanded—organized by those with memory” (Ricoeur 85). The purpose of the camp is not to comprehend the narrator’s complicated experience but to overwrite it, to shape his past into a simplistic narrative that upholds the state’s ideological orthodoxy. His own messy experience is subject to the “epistemic violence” of being rewritten, a process that attempts to remove it of its complexity and his own agency. This conflict is not limited to the re-education camp; it is reflective of a larger cultural war regarding the memory of the Vietnam War. The narrator has already had one type of narrative imposition imposed upon him in America, serving as a consultant on the Hollywood movie *The Hamlet*. There, he saw the American entertainment industry simplify the great, intricate tragedy of his country into a setting for white savior syndrome, where Vietnamese individuals were either mute victims or anonymous opponents. Both American capitalism and Vietnamese communism are systems that require a single, workable past. One commercializes the war into a tale of American tragedy and heroism; the other consecrates it as an inevitable, morally unambivalent revolutionary victory. The narrator, trapped in these two compelling “remembering systems,” sees his own true memories and the trauma they hold being erased or manipulated by both sides.

In resisting these imposed narratives, the novel foregrounds the ethical urgency of memory as a contested terrain. The narrator’s fractured testimony becomes a counter-discourse, one that refuses both the commodification of war by American culture and the doctrinal rigidity of Vietnamese communism. His refusal to yield to either system’s “usable past” underscores the precarious position of the survivor who must continually negotiate between competing ideological demands. By dramatizing this struggle, Nguyen exposes how memory itself becomes a site of political violence and resistance, where the act of remembering is inseparable from the struggle for agency. The narrator’s fragmented confession thus insists on the irreducible complexity of lived experience, challenging the homogenizing force of official histories and reminding us that trauma resists being neatly assimilated into any singular narrative.



### **II.iii. Multidirectional Memory as a Traumatic Condition and an Ethical Stance**

It is in this sense that Michael Rothberg's idea of "multidirectional memory" becomes crucial to comprehending the narrator's mind. Rothberg contends that memory is not a game of zero sum where the memory of one tragedy has to be in conflict with or reduce another. Rather, he takes memory to be an active, productive process in which various traumas across history exist together, interact with each other, and shed light on each other in the same cultural landscape (Rothberg 11). The narrator's awareness is a living repository of multidirectional memory. His own life keeps together the traditions of French colonialism (in the person of his own father), American imperial intervention, and the fratricidal conflict of the Vietnamese civil war. He will not, and cannot, rank one story above another to produce a clean, ideologically sanitized narrative. This multidirectional nature is not a mark of enlightened postmodernism but is, in the narrator, the source of his deep and "fatal flaw", the capacity to "see any issue from both sides" (Nguyen 176). In the re-education camp, it is diagnosed as a sickness of the bourgeoisie, a failure of revolutionary dedication. It is, actually, a direct expression of his trauma. His intellect has been formed by seeing too many sides, too much misery on all sides, to ever believe in a single truth. The commandant wants a confession based on a one-way memory, the righteous path of the revolution. The narrator's lived experience, however, is multidirectional, which compels him to consider the humanity and the savagery on all sides. This internal struggle creates the "acceptably less than settled psychic instability" that characterizes his confession. His story spirals back on itself, contradicts previous testimony, and digresses into philosophical rumination because his memory will not be tamed into a linear track.

His very act of confession is his last, subtle act of defiance. By composing a document that is formally disordered and ideologically tainted, he frustrates the commandant's intention while appearing to complete it. He gives the required "truth," but imports into it the "honest" disorder of his experience. The broken narrative is therefore not merely a sign of trauma but a formal articulation of his irreducible doubleness. It is the literary form of a man whose soul is itself a battleground, a field upon which the struggle for memory, private, cultural, and historical, keeps raging long after the war has ended and the guns are silenced. With this masterful formal decision, Nguyen shows that in a world that requires unique, definitive narratives, the

most authentic story can be the one that is broken, incompatible, and continually undecided, a wound that shrieks, unable to be quieted.

### **“Everyone Is Complicit; Everyone Forgets”: Doubleness as Ethical and Political Resistance**

In the aftermath of deep trauma and systematic oppression, the doubleness of the protagonist undergoes its most fundamental transformation: it is no longer a cause of alienation but instead turns into a powerful site of political and ethical resistance. Viet Thanh Nguyen does not assign his hero the passive role of victim; rather, he shapes him into a subject of unrelenting, critical consciousness, an instrument for deconstructing the absolutist claims of any ideology. His confession, as coerced as it is, ends up being subverted into a gesture of insurgent self-writing. The statement, “I could live with doubt.” It was certainty that was murderous” (Nguyen 315), which forms the moral center of the book. In a world over-determined with violent, opposed certainties of capitalism and communism, his unyielding scepticism is neither weakness but a radical, revolutionary act. It is the refusal of the tidy narratives that legitimize killing, a pledge to the untidy, ambiguous reality of human life. This embrace of doubleness also destabilizes the binary logic through which both colonial and Cold War ideologies sought to organize human experience. By refusing certainty, the narrator resists being conscripted into the rigid categories of friend versus enemy, loyalist versus traitor, communist versus capitalist. His fractured consciousness becomes a mode of ethical vigilance, a refusal to allow any single narrative to monopolize truth. In this sense, Nguyen’s novel demonstrates how doubleness, often stigmatized as duplicity or betrayal, can instead be reimagined as a radical practice of survival and critique. It is precisely in the narrator’s oscillation between positions that the possibility of resistance emerges: a politics of ambiguity that unsettles the violent certainties on which systems of domination depend.

#### **III.i. The Ethics of Memory and the Second War**

This philosophical position is most directly aligned with Nguyen’s own argument in his book of nonfiction, *Nothing Ever Dies: Vietnam and the Memory of War*. There, he presents a compelling argument for an “ethical memory,” one that dares to see the common humanity and the widespread inhumanity in all parties to a conflict. He argues, “All wars are fought twice: the first time on the battlefield, the second time in memory” (Nguyen, *Nothing Ever Dies* 4). *The Sympathizer*’s narrator is a fighter in both of these wars. In the first battle, he used guns and lies; in the second, the battlefield of memory, he uses his story. His doubleness is his greatest strength in

this second war, allowing him to be able to do what Edward Said, when writing about culture and imperialism, referred to as a “contrapuntal reading.” A contrapuntal reading is keeping two or more things in tension, hearing the dominant account while, at the same time, hearing the repressed voices and histories that it represses (Said 66). The narrator does it unconsciously. He is listening to the American story of the Vietnam War as a high-minded, though tragic, cause and pitting it against the Vietnamese nationalist story of righteous anti-colonial resistance, bringing out the gaps, silences, and hypocrisies in both. He observes how the American discourse negates the Vietnamese as nuanced human agents and how the communist discourse negates the individual pain and ideological heterogeneity of the Vietnamese people for the sake of collective triumph. His confession, thus, is not a matter-of-fact recounting of events but a contrapuntal performance. He reports to the commandant in the voice of revolution, but inserts the dissonant notes of private trauma, moral complexity, and the appalling price of ideological cleanliness. He compels the reader and, in a sense, his captor, to listen simultaneously to both melodies, a dissonant harmony that is much closer to the truth of war than any single, victorious hymn.

### **III.ii. The Unhomely Body as a Critique of Nationalist Purity**

It is from here that his mixed heritage is converted into an asset and made a key asset at that. His own body, the result of a French colonizer and a Vietnamese mother, is a bodily rejection of the fantasy of national purity. Nationalisms, American or Vietnamese, tend to flourish on the illusion of a whole, healthy subject; the clean patriot, the unsoiled revolutionary. The narrator, as a “half-breed,” a “half-cooked” man of two faces, physically instantiates the impurity, mixing and colonial violence that such fantasies attempt to erase. His unhomely position enables him to deconstruct the foundational binaries—us/them, East/West, hero/villain, pure/impure—that sustain both imperial and nationalist authority. He is the living proof that such categories are fictions, and his narrative relentlessly uncovers the harm such fictions inflict. This deconstructive force is at its most radical form when he is being tortured. Under conditions of utter physical and mental devastation, he experiences the ultimate, most fundamental doubling: the separation of the self from the flesh experiencing pain. He learns, “The only way to survive torture is to be able to make yourself believe that it isn’t happening to you, even when it is” (Nguyen 344). This is not just dissociation as a psychopathological symptom; it is the final exercise of agency in a world designed to deprive him of all agency. By opening up an abyss between the witnessing consciousness and the body that suffers, he reserves a kernel

of inner freedom. His captors can shatter his body and command his words, but they cannot usurp the sovereign position of his “I,” the position from which he continues to surveil, analyze, and judge them. This internal exile is the peak of his doubleness, a survival strategy that is also a method of intense spiritual resistance.

### **III.iii. The Unresolved Conclusion: Fragmentation as a Moral Instrument**

In due course, the narrator fails to attain the singularity or redemption that a conventional narrative may promise. He is not “healed” or reconciled. Rather, he accepts his fragmentation in full, making it an exact moral and narrative tool. His confession does not end in a definitive political conclusion or moment of ideological conversion. Instead, it ends with him being returned to the world, still a man of two minds, still in doubt. Typically, he employs his story not to tell us what to think, but to indicate the uncomfortable, messy, and complicit core of human life during war. He incriminates everybody: himself, his friends, his enemies, the Americans, the Vietnamese. He eschews the pleasure of innocence. The novel’s last move is to make the reader suffer through this discomfiture, to spurn the simple certainties that enable war. By not offering a clear, coherent conclusion, Nguyen makes the novel form its ultimate argument. The fractured, contradictory, and unresolved character of the confession is the most authentic expression of a world torn apart by war. The doubleness of the protagonist, once the font of his suffering, is his strongest witness to the truth; a truth that is not one, but many; not sure, but suffused with a doubt that is the ultimate, best defense against the world’s murderous certainties. In this refusal of closure, Nguyen not only unsettles the reader but also redefines the very function of literature in the aftermath of violence. The novel insists that truth cannot be captured in a single voice or resolution; it must remain fractured, contested, and perpetually in doubt. Such doubleness becomes a radical ethics of narration, a way of bearing witness to war without reproducing its binaries. The protagonist’s unresolved confession thus stands as a reminder that survival itself is a form of resistance, and that the most honest stories are those that refuse to simplify the chaos of lived experience.

### **Conclusion**

*The Sympathizer* is an incisive study of the existential, political, and ethical aspects of a splintered identity. In the consciousness of his protagonist- a so-called “man of two minds”, Viet Thanh Nguyen deconstructs serially the singular, heroic stories conventionally forced upon war and exile. In their stead, he presents a more nuanced, ambivalent, and deeply human version, one which gives precedence to the

dirty truth of lived reality over the tidy fictions of ideology. The narrator's essential doubleness, his deeply dislocated condition, and his broken memory are not simply depicted as signs of trauma to be transcended. Rather, the novel shows them to be the very conditions of possibility for a powerful and subversive form of resistance. In a world that insists upon single loyalties, his unwillingness to be complete makes him the strongest of all. The novel's journey is one of recovery. Out of the bottom of a communist re-education camp, a place intended to impose ideological unity and erase memory of dissent, the narrator commits his boldest act of spying; he appropriates the act of confession. Compelled to compose a testimonial that will appease his captors, he instead creates a text that, in its very structure; digressive, contradictory, and self-querulous and defies the commandant's insistence on a linear, "truthful" account. His unyielding devotion to doubt rather than certainty, distilled in the decisive insight that "It was certainty that was murderous" (Nguyen 315), is promoted from a personal deficit to an ethical standard. In deciding to document the world in all its moral complexity, he fights what Nguyen, in *Nothing Ever Dies*, refers to as the "second war," the war about memory, and equips himself with the sole device that can do justice to the conflict's richness: a steadfast, critical mind.

By placing this "unhomely" topic at the center, Nguyen makes a colossal addition to the refugee, diaspora, and postcolonial literary canons. The main character is not just a fellow from elsewhere, a geography victim who yearns for a lost home. He is the embodiment of the "in-between," a full-time dweller of the agonistic and productive third space. His identity is a constant negotiation across worlds—Vietnam and America, communism and capitalism, colonizer and colonized—and his story demands that this place of hybridity is not one of deficiency but of special critical possibility. He is a bodily critique of the fantasy of untainted origins, his very being negating the foundational oppositions on which nationalist and imperialist regimes are built. Consequently, *The Sympathizer* advances a revolutionary thesis regarding historical truth: the best history is not one spoken in the voice of the winner or the loser, since each is interested in creating self-serving fictions. Real understanding comes from the peripheries, from the voices that have been disciplined, coerced, and erased. The novel argues that the best witnesses are exactly the doubles, the sympathizers, and the unhomely. These divided selves, through their coerced complicity and inability to forget, are the holders of a more complete, if more painful, truth. They recall what the winners want to mythologize, and the losers have to whiten.

They bear the weight of a multidirectional memory that conceives of suffering and perpetration on every side. The force of the novel is in its resistance to catharsis or facile redemption. The narrator is set free not as a restored or reconciled subject but as one who has completely internalized his fragmentation as a way of existing and perceiving. The confession concludes, but the conversation in his head doesn't cease. By putting his protagonist in a place of unresolved tension, Nguyen indicates that only an ethic of constant questioning is possible in the wake of deep historical trauma. The "sympathizer" therefore becomes more than a spy; he is a long-lasting archetype for our age, a testament that in the shadow of overwhelming pressure to take sides, the bravest thing one can do is to harbor two thoughts simultaneously, to look with two faces and to recall, with unflinching lucidity, everything everyone else is struggling to forget.

### **References:**

- Caruth, Cathy. *Unclaimed Experience: Trauma, Narrative, and History*. Johns Hopkins UP, 1996. Johns Hopkins University Press,  
"The Location of Culture: Summary and Study Guide." SuperSummary, n.d.,  
Accessed 15 Oct. 2025.
- Human Institute. "Memory, History, Forgetting." Human Institute, 11 Mar. 2023,
- Nguyen, Viet Thanh. *Nothing Ever Dies: Vietnam and the Memory of War*. Harvard UP, 2017.
- Nguyen, Viet Thanh. *The Sympathizer*. Corsair, Little, Brown Book Group, 2016.
- Pratt, Mary Louise. *Imperial Eyes: Travel Writing and Transculturation*. 2nd ed., Routledge, 2008.
- Ricoeur, Paul. *Memory, History, Forgetting*. Translated by Kathleen Blamey and David Pellauer, U of Chicago P, 2004.
- Rothberg, Michael. *Multidirectional Memory: Remembering the Holocaust in the Age of Decolonization*. Stanford UP, 2009.

### **How to Cite this article?**

Pechimayum Merina, Ph. Sanamacha Sharma, The Man of Two Minds: Doubleness, Dislocation and Memory in Viet Thanh Nguyen's *The Sympathizer*"Obsession and Gratification in Nabokov's *Lolita*: A Psycho-Literary Study of Humbert Humbert." Research Journal of English (RJOE), vol. 11, no. 1, Jan.–Mar. 2026, Oray's Publications. DOI:10.36993/RJOE.2025.11.01.113.