
Narratives of Dislocation: Postcolonial Identity in *Midnight's Children* and *A Matter of Time*

¹**Cn.Vedaviveka M.A.,**

Ph.D Scholar in English,M.V.Muthiah Government Arts College (W), DGL

Affiliated to Mother Teresa Women's University, Kodaikanal

²**Dr. K.M. Sumathi M.A., Ph.D**

Research Supervisor and Co-author,Principal (FAC) ,Government Arts and Science
College Vendasandur, Dindigul

PaperReceivedon 08-07-2025,Acceptedon10-08-2025

Publishedon 10-08-25;DOI:10.36993/RJOE.2025.10.3.394

Abstract

Salman Rushdie's *Midnight's Children* is a seminal postcolonial novel that blends magical realism with India's historical, cultural and political transformations. This paper explores how postcolonial themes such as fragmented identity, hybridity and historical narration shape the characters and structure of the novel. Through the protagonist Saleem Sinai, Rushdie critiques colonial legacies, questions national identity and reconstructs history through a personal, nonlinear lens. In comparison, Shashi Deshpande's *A Matter of Time* presents a domestic yet profound reflection of postcolonial Indian womanhood, focusing on silence, trauma and generational memory. This article examines how both authors represent identity within the broader frame of India's postcolonial context, revealing the tensions between personal freedom and cultural history.

Keywords: Postcolonialism, Identity, Hybridity, History, Nation, Gender and Memory

Introduction:

Postcolonial Themes in *Midnight's Children*

At the heart of *Midnight's Children* lies the theme of fragmentation of identity, body, and nation. Saleem Sinai, as the narrator, suffers from a physically disintegrating body that parallels the disintegration of India post-Independence. His telepathic connection to the other *Midnight's Children* symbolizes the diverse voices of a newly born nation. However, as the novel progresses, their unity collapses, mirroring the political failures and disillusionments of postcolonial India. Rushdie's storytelling technique itself is postcolonial it breaks linearity, mixes fantasy with reality, and blurs historical accuracy with personal memory. This

challenges the Western narrative form imposed during colonial rule and asserts an Indian mode of storytelling that is cyclical, chaotic, and deeply subjective.

Hybridity is another dominant theme. Saleem is a product of multiple cultural and religious backgrounds. His identity is never fixed but constantly shifting, which reflects the postcolonial condition of being “in-between”. Rushdie portrays this hybridity as both a strength and a burden offering richness of experience but also confusion and alienation.

In contrast, *A Matter of Time* offers a subtler depiction of postcolonial identity. Deshpande focuses on women whose lives are shaped by tradition, silence, and emotional resilience. The central character, Sumi, must rebuild her life after her husband Gopal leaves her without explanation. While there are no overt references to colonialism, the legacy of patriarchy both colonial and indigenous is keenly felt in the novel's treatment of gender roles and emotional repression.

Hybridity and structure

Rushdie uses hybridity as both theme and structure. Saleem's family includes Muslims, Hindus, Anglophiles, and nationalists, and the narrative itself mixes history with fantasy, reality with myth. This mirrors Homi Bhabha's concept of the “Third Space,” where hybrid identities emerge from the collision of colonizer and colonized cultures. Saleem is never purely one thing; he is constantly becoming, shaped by the shifting sands of India's post-Independence journey. His telepathic connection to other “midnight's children” across the subcontinent is symbolic of a collective postcolonial consciousness — one that eventually fractures under the weight of political disillusionment and state violence.

Mapping the self

Sinai, the central figure in *Midnight's Children*, is born at the exact moment of India's independence — an event that marks him as both an individual and a national symbol. His body becomes a metaphorical site where the trauma of partition, political upheaval, and cultural dislocation are inscribed. Saleem's identity is fragmented not only physically — as seen in his frequent nosebleeds and eventual bodily deterioration — but also psychologically, as he grapples with multiple lineages, languages, and loyalties. This fragmentation echoes the fractured postcolonial condition, where the self is caught between inherited colonial frameworks and the urgent need for indigenous redefinition.

Narrative Voice, Memory and History

Rushdie's use of the unreliable narrator in *Midnight's Children* is a direct challenge to colonial historical narratives. Saleem confesses that his memory is flawed, that he sometimes confuses events or invents them. Yet it is through this imperfection that Rushdie reveals how history is always subjective specially in postcolonial societies where voices were silenced under imperial rule. Saleem's reconstruction of his life becomes a metaphor for rewriting national history from an Indian perspective. The novel's fragmented structure, frequent digressions and

metafictional interruptions reflect the disorder of post-Independence India. Saleem insists that storytelling is as important as truth, emphasizing narrative agency as a tool of resistance.

Deshpande's *A Matter of Time* also engages with memory, but on a personal and generational level. Her female characters—Sumi, Kalyani, and Aru—grapple with inherited trauma and unspoken pain. The novel is not loud or grand, but its silence speaks volumes. Sumi's quiet strength and her daughters' questioning voices reflect a subtle resistance to cultural expectations. Where Rushdie uses spectacle, Deshpande uses silence. Yet both authors reclaim narrative power: one through exaggeration and chaos, the other through introspection and emotional truth. Their different narrative styles represent two distinct approaches to postcolonial identity public versus private, national versus familial, male versus female.

Magic Realism as Resistance

Rushdie employs magic realism not merely as a stylistic device but as a tool of resistance against colonial narrative traditions. The children born at midnight possess supernatural powers, symbolizing the untapped potential of a newly liberated nation. However, their eventual failure to unite reflects the fragmentation and sectarianism that plagued the subcontinent after independence. The blending of fantasy and reality allows Rushdie to transcend linear historical accounts and present a more inclusive, pluralistic version of the past.

Unreliable Narrator and Fragmented History

Saleem is an unreliable narrator. This reflects the fragmented, contested history of postcolonial India — a metaphor for how official narratives can never truly capture the chaos of the colonized world. In postcolonial literature, the theme of fragmented history often manifests through the lens of an unreliable narrator a narrative device that both Salman Rushdie and Shashi Deshpande use powerfully in *Midnight's Children* and *A Matter of Time*, respectively. In Rushdie's novel, Saleem Sinai's narration is self-contradictory, nonlinear, and full of digressions, mirroring the chaotic, uncertain nature of Indian history post-independence. Saleem himself admits to memory lapses and embellishments, forcing readers to question the authenticity of the national narrative he represents.

Similarly, Deshpande's *A Matter of Time* employs subtle, introspective narration that blurs emotional truth with silence and internalized trauma. Her characters especially Sumi and her daughters do not offer grand historical commentary, but rather fragmented deeply personal experiences of womanhood, silence, and generational pain. The unreliable narration in both novels is not a flaw but a form of resistance, challenging the reader to confront the idea that history is not a fixed truth but a mosaic of memories, omissions and reinterpretations. Through this both authors critique dominant cultural narratives and reassert the value of marginalized voices in the postcolonial context.

Narrative Voice, Memory and History

Rushdie's use of the unreliable narrator in *Midnight's Children* is a direct challenge to colonial historical narratives. Saleem confesses that his memory is flawed, that he sometimes confuses events or invents them. Yet it is through this imperfection that Rushdie reveals how history is always subjective specially in postcolonial societies where voices were silenced under imperial rule. Saleem's reconstruction of his life becomes a metaphor for rewriting national history from an Indian perspective. The novel's fragmented structure, frequent digressions, and metafictional interruptions reflect the disorder of post-Independence India. Saleem insists that storytelling is as important as truth, emphasizing narrative agency as a tool of resistance.

Deshpande's *A Matter of Time* also engages with memory, but on a personal and generational level. Her female characters—Sumi, Kalyani, and Aru—grapple with inherited trauma and unspoken pain. The novel is not loud or grand, but its silence speaks volumes. Sumi's quiet strength and her daughters' questioning voices reflect a subtle resistance to cultural expectations. Where Rushdie uses spectacle, Deshpande uses silence. Yet both authors reclaim narrative power: one through exaggeration and chaos, the other through introspection and emotional truth. Their different narrative styles represent two distinct approaches to postcolonial identity public versus private, national versus familial, male versus female.

Conclusion

Midnight's Children and *A Matter of Time* present two distinct but equally powerful postcolonial narratives. Rushdie's novel takes on the vastness of India's national identity, using magical realism and fragmented storytelling to critique colonialism and celebrate cultural hybridity. Saleem Sinai's voice becomes a substitute for the nation itself chaotic, pluralistic, wounded, yet enduring. Deshpande, on the other hand, narrows her focus to the domestic space, exploring how women navigate postcolonial India's cultural and emotional expectations. Her characters resist not with rebellion, but with resilience. The trauma they carry is inherited and internal, but their quiet assertion of selfhood reflects a deeper postcolonial struggle one rooted in everyday life. Together, these texts show that identity in postcolonial literature is not singular or linear. It is fragmented, layered, and deeply personal. Through narrative experimentation, memory, and voice, both authors reclaim the power to tell their own stories whether from the margins of a nation or within the silence of a household.

References:

Rushdie, Salman. *Midnight's Children*. Jonathan Cape, 1981.

Deshpande, Shashi. *A Matter of Time*. Penguin Books, India, 1996.

RESEARCH JOURNAL OF ENGLISH (RJOE)

www.rjoe.org.in | Oray's Publications | ISSN: 2456-2696

An International Approved Peer-Reviewed and Refereed English Journal

Impact Factor:8.373 (SJIF) | Vol. 10, Issue 3(July, August& September;2025)
