Oray's Publications
Impact Factor: 4.845(SJIF) **Research Journal Of English (RJOE)** Vol-4, Issue-4, 2019
www.rjoe.org.in An International Peer-Reviewed English Journal ISSN: 2456-2696
Indexed in: International Citation Indexing (ICI), International Scientific Indexing (ISI), Directory of Research Journal Indexing (DRJI) Google Scholar & Cosmos.

Intersectionality in Feminist Literature: Converging Oppressions and Expanding Representation

Dr Neha Sharma
Associate Professor,Department of English,SMM college, palwal

Abstract:
This paper explores the critical framework of intersectionality within feminist literary studies, emphasizing its origins, evolution, and application to the analysis of literature. Originating from Black feminist critique and formalized by Kimberlé Crenshaw, intersectionality challenges singular narratives by recognizing the interlocking nature of oppression related to race, gender, class, and sexuality. By examining the works of theorists such as Patricia Hill Collins, bell hooks, Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak, and Chandra Talpade Mohanty, the paper argues that intersectional analysis enables a more nuanced, inclusive reading of literature. It further addresses contemporary debates surrounding intersectionality, while highlighting its continued relevance in feminist literary criticism through analysis of texts by authors like Toni Morrison, Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie, and Zora Neale Hurston.

Keywords: Intersectionality, feminist literary criticism, race and gender, Black feminism, postcolonial feminism, Toni Morrison

1. Introduction

Intersectionality has become an indispensable analytical framework within feminist theory and literary criticism. Coined and popularized by legal scholar Kimberlé Crenshaw in the late 1980s and early 1990s, intersectionality emerged as a response to the limitations of identity politics that treated race, class, and gender as isolated categories. Crenshaw's seminal essay *Mapping the Margins: Intersectionality, Identity Politics, and Violence Against Women of Color* (1991) highlighted how Black women, in particular, face unique forms of oppression that are not adequately addressed when race and gender are examined separately. She wrote, "the intersection of racism and sexism factors into Black women's lives in ways that cannot be captured wholly by looking at the race or gender dimensions of those experiences separately" (Crenshaw, 1991, p. 1244). This insight laid the groundwork for a more nuanced understanding of identity, power, and marginalization.

Rooted in Black feminist thought, intersectionality challenges traditional feminist approaches that prioritized the experiences of white, middle-class women. Scholars like Patricia Hill Collins (1990) had already drawn attention to the complex interplay between race, class, gender, and nation in shaping the experiences of Black women. In *Black Feminist*

Thought, Collins described these forms of oppression as "interlocking systems," emphasizing that social hierarchies are not additive but mutually constitutive. According to her, "each system needs the others to function," meaning that racism, classism, and patriarchy cannot be fully understood in isolation (Collins, 1990, p. 222).

In the field of literature, intersectionality has reshaped how texts are read and interpreted. Feminist literary critics now recognize that the experiences of women portrayed in literature cannot be understood through a single-axis framework. Instead, intersectional readings consider how factors such as race, sexuality, caste, religion, nationality, and class intersect to shape women's subjectivities, agency, and representation in literary narratives. Works by women of color, queer authors, and writers from marginalized communities are now increasingly analyzed through this multidimensional lens, correcting earlier tendencies to universalize the female experience.

For instance, scholars examining African American literature often foreground how race and gender are inseparable in the works of authors like Zora Neale Hurston, Alice Walker, and Toni Morrison. Morrison's novels, particularly *Beloved* (1987), vividly depict how slavery and its aftermath shaped the gendered experiences of Black women, while Hurston's *Their Eyes Were Watching God* (1937) explores the intersections of race, gender, and voice in a patriarchal society. These texts demand intersectional interpretation to fully grasp the layered oppression and resistance their protagonists embody. The relevance of intersectionality extends beyond Western contexts. In postcolonial literature, theorists such as Chandra Talpade Mohanty (1988) have critiqued the homogenization of "Third World women" in Western feminist discourse. In her influential essay *Under Western Eyes*, Mohanty argues that Western feminism often universalizes women's experiences without accounting for differences of culture, history, and geopolitical location. She warns that this tendency can produce a "false sense of the commonality of oppression," thereby masking the specific and localized struggles of women in the Global South (Mohanty, 1988, p. 64). Her intervention was instrumental in expanding the scope of feminist literary criticism to include transnational and decolonial perspectives that foreground intersectional difference.

Furthermore, bell hooks (2000), although not using the term "intersectionality" explicitly, consistently critiqued mainstream feminism's failure to address race and class. She called for a revolutionary feminism that recognizes and dismantles what she termed "imperialist white-supremacist capitalist patriarchy" (hooks, 2000, p. 42). hooks's work aligns with the intersectional imperative by insisting that feminist struggles cannot be separated from broader systems of economic and racial domination. In sum, intersectionality has significantly deepened feminist literary studies by foregrounding the multiplicity of women's identities and experiences. It offers a framework through which critics can engage with literature in a more inclusive, critical, and ethically responsible manner. As McCall (2005) notes in her article *The Complexity of Intersectionality*, this approach allows for a

more textured and realistic analysis of social identities and structures of domination within cultural texts. Feminist literary scholarship today increasingly acknowledges that without intersectionality, readings of gendered experience risk flattening difference and perpetuating exclusion.

2. Theoretical Origins of Intersectionality

The concept of intersectionality emerged from the intellectual traditions of Black feminist thought, as a response to the inadequacy of single-axis frameworks in capturing the complexity of oppression. Rather than treating categories such as race, gender, and class as separate or hierarchical, intersectionality insists that these axes of identity are interdependent and mutually shaping. The roots of this theory lie in decades of activism and scholarship by women of color who challenged the white, middle-class bias of mainstream feminism.

One of the earliest and most influential articulations of this framework can be found in **Patricia Hill Collins's** groundbreaking work *Black Feminist Thought: Knowledge, Consciousness, and the Politics of Empowerment* (1990). Collins argued that Black women occupy a unique "outsider-within" location in both social life and knowledge production, granting them a distinctive epistemological perspective (Collins, 1990, p. 11). She emphasized that race, class, and gender operate not as discrete categories but as "interlocking systems of oppression" that collectively determine social outcomes. This formulation laid the foundation for a more integrated understanding of structural inequality and collective resistance.

Kimberlé Crenshaw built upon these foundations in the legal domain, most notably in her 1991 article *Mapping the Margins: Intersectionality, Identity Politics, and Violence Against Women of Color*. In this text, Crenshaw critiques the legal system for its failure to account for the compounded discrimination faced by Black women. For example, she notes how anti-discrimination law often requires plaintiffs to identify either race- or gender-based discrimination, but not both simultaneously—thereby erasing the experiences of those who exist at their intersection (Crenshaw, 1991, p. 1244). Crenshaw distinguishes between **structural intersectionality**—the ways in which women of color experience social institutions differently—and **representational intersectionality**, which examines how cultural imagery and narratives contribute to their marginalization. Her work thus formalized the term "intersectionality" and propelled it into feminist, legal, and critical theory discourse.

Though she did not employ the term "intersectionality," **bell hooks** offered an equally foundational critique of how feminism often failed to consider race and class. In her numerous writings—including *Ain't I a Woman? Black Women and Feminism* (1981) and *Feminism is for Everybody* (2000)—hooks highlighted the exclusionary tendencies of mainstream feminist movements. She argued that any feminism which failed to dismantle what she famously termed the "imperialist white-supremacist capitalist patriarchy" could not be truly liberatory (hooks, 2000, p. 42). Her insistence on a holistic critique of domination anticipated the intersectional turn in feminist scholarship and underscored the political necessity of addressing multiple, overlapping systems of power.

Additional theoretical contributions came from **Audre Lorde**, whose assertion that "there is no such thing as a single-issue struggle because we do not live single-issue lives" (Lorde, 1984, p. 138) encapsulates the spirit of intersectionality. Lorde's writings on Black lesbian identity, silence, and systemic violence pushed feminist discourse toward a more inclusive and self-reflexive orientation. Likewise, the **Combahee River Collective Statement** (1977) represents an early manifesto of intersectional feminism, as it articulated the need for a political movement centered on the unique experiences of Black women, challenging both racism in the feminist movement and sexism in civil rights struggles.

The theoretical origins of intersectionality, therefore, lie in an intellectual genealogy shaped by Black women's resistance to marginalization within both feminist and anti-racist movements. These foundational thinkers reshaped feminist thought by showing that systems of oppression do not operate independently but are deeply interconnected. Their work continues to influence contemporary feminist literary criticism, which now routinely employs intersectional frameworks to interrogate questions of voice, power, and representation across texts and genres.

3. Literary Applications: Reading Through an Intersectional Lens

Intersectionality has become an indispensable framework in feminist literary criticism, enabling scholars to analyze the multiplicity of social identities and their interactions with power structures within literary texts. Rather than viewing gender as a singular axis of analysis, intersectional readings recognize that identity categories such as race, class, sexuality, nation, and religion do not function independently but are interwoven in shaping individual and collective experiences. In this regard, intersectionality reveals not only the presence of multiple forms of oppression but also the ways they converge to produce unique literary silences, representations, or resistances.

Intersectional literary analysis often begins by identifying disparities in representation, particularly the ways in which women of color, LGBTQ+ individuals, and working-class characters have been historically misrepresented, stereotyped, or omitted altogether from dominant literary canons. As feminist scholars have shown, even within feminist discourses, these marginalized voices have frequently been subsumed under the hegemonic narratives of white, Western, middle-class women. An intersectional lens corrects this oversight by centering the experiences of multiply marginalized subjects and by interrogating how literary texts construct, reinforce, or resist dominant ideologies.

A foundational intervention in this regard is **Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak's** seminal essay *Can the Subaltern Speak?* (1988). Spivak interrogates the capacity of postcolonial scholarship and Western intellectuals to "give voice" to colonized subjects, especially women. Her analysis suggests that the subaltern woman is doubly silenced: first by colonial discourses that seek to civilize her, and second by indigenous patriarchies that claim to

protect her. Spivak's now-famous assertion that "the subaltern as female is even more deeply in shadow" (Spivak, 1988, p. 287) illustrates the way gendered subalternity becomes invisible within both nationalist and colonial discourses. In literary terms, this provokes the question: when and how are such voices written, heard, or effaced in texts? Spivak's critique is not only about representation but also about epistemology—the structures of knowledge that render some lives intelligible and others silent.

Similarly, **Chandra Talpade Mohanty**, in her influential essay *Under Western Eyes: Feminist Scholarship and Colonial Discourses* (1988), critiques the ways in which Western feminist scholars have constructed a monolithic image of the "Third World woman"—typically passive, victimized, and lacking agency. Mohanty argues that this homogenization constitutes a form of discursive colonialism, where "Third World women" are rendered objects of rescue rather than agents of resistance. As she writes, such generalizations "create a false sense of the commonality of oppression," thereby flattening cultural and political specificity (Mohanty, 1988, p. 64). For feminist literary critics, Mohanty's argument necessitates an attentive, situated reading of global literatures—ones that are aware of geopolitical contexts and resist universalizing tendencies.

Through intersectional readings, critics have reclaimed literary voices previously relegated to the margins. For instance, the works of **Toni Morrison**, **Zora Neale Hurston**, and **Bessie Head** have been re-evaluated not just as feminist texts but as intersectional interventions that critique both racial and gender hierarchies. Morrison's *Beloved* (1987), for example, explores how the legacies of slavery impact Black motherhood, sexuality, and memory. Intersectional criticism of *Beloved* does not isolate the protagonist's experience as merely "female," but rather as the convergence of racial trauma, economic dispossession, and gendered violence (Collins, 2000).

Additionally, **queer intersectional readings** have examined how sexuality interacts with other identity markers. For instance, Suzan-Lori Parks's *Topdog/Underdog* (2001), though centered on two Black male characters, has been read through an intersectional lens that interrogates masculinity, class precarity, and racial performativity. Feminist and queer scholars alike explore how Parks constructs identity through performance, economic struggle, and familial abandonment—highlighting how structural forces, rather than individual failings, shape marginalized lives (Allen, 2022).

Intersectionality in literary criticism also emphasizes **methodology**—how scholars read, interpret, and prioritize texts. Rather than searching for universal female experiences, intersectional critics advocate for close readings that attend to **positionality**, **voice**, and **silences** within a text. They consider whose perspectives are narrated, who is omitted, and how language, genre, or structure may encode ideological power.

Thus, intersectionality in literary studies is both a tool of **critique**—for exposing exclusion—and a tool of **recovery**, for centering marginalized voices and restoring the complexity of lived experience. By foregrounding how multiple systems of domination intersect in literary representation, this approach enables a deeper, more inclusive understanding of literature and the social worlds it both reflects and shapes.

4. Recovering Agency in Intersectional Texts

While intersectionality is often employed as a critical framework to expose the layers of oppression in literature, its utility extends beyond critique—it is equally a methodology for **recovering agency** and **reclaiming narrative authority** in texts by and about marginalized women. By tracing how characters resist, subvert, or survive systemic inequalities, intersectional literary analysis repositions the narrative lens to foreground resilience, self-determination, and embodied subjectivity.

bell hooks (2000) has been particularly influential in shifting feminist attention toward narratives of resistance and empowerment. In *Feminism is for Everybody*, hooks critiques the limitations of white liberal feminism and calls for an understanding of women's liberation that addresses the full range of structural oppressions—what she termed the "imperialist white-supremacist capitalist patriarchy." Although hooks did not explicitly use the term "intersectionality," her theoretical interventions align with its core principles. For hooks, feminist literature must portray women not merely as victims of intersecting oppressions, but also as **agents** who actively negotiate, resist, and redefine their conditions.

This recovery of agency is central in the literary works of authors such as **Toni Morrison**, **Zora Neale Hurston**, **Nawal El Saadawi**, and **Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie**. Their texts demonstrate how literary representation itself can serve as a site of resistance—a form of speaking back to silencing ideologies.

In *Beloved* (1987), **Toni Morrison** presents Black female subjectivity as inextricable from the legacies of slavery, racism, and gendered violence. The protagonist, Sethe, exercises agency through acts that are both tragic and powerful: her infanticide, often interpreted as an act of maternal love twisted by the horrors of slavery, reflects an ethical dilemma that only intersectional analysis can fully unpack. As **Patricia Hill Collins** (2000) notes in *Black Feminist Thought*, Morrison's characters embody "motherhood as a site of both oppression and resistance," thus foregrounding the complex positionality of Black women within intersecting structures of power (p. 181).

Likewise, **Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's** *Americanah* (2013) explores themes of migration, race, gender, and identity formation across global contexts. The protagonist Ifemelu, a Nigerian woman navigating American racial politics, offers critical commentary on whiteness, African diaspora identity, and cultural assimilation. Through her blog and lived

experience, Ifemelu reclaims narrative control and articulates a distinctly intersectional consciousness that refuses to be neatly categorized. **Lena McQuade** (2017) observes that Adichie's work "disrupts traditional Western feminist frameworks by centering African women's voices in transnational spaces," thereby challenging homogeneous models of feminist liberation (p. 52).

Nawal El Saadawi, in texts such as *Woman at Point Zero* (1975), centers the experiences of Arab women subjected to patriarchy, religious orthodoxy, and state oppression. Her protagonist Firdaus navigates prostitution, education, and imprisonment, ultimately asserting agency in the face of seemingly total domination. Saadawi's narrative constructs a feminist defiance grounded in the specificity of Arab, class, and gendered realities—demonstrating how intersectional suffering can give rise to intersectional resistance.

Similarly, **Zora Neale Hurston's** *Their Eyes Were Watching God* (1937) has been re-evaluated by intersectional scholars as a text of female empowerment through self-expression, autonomy, and sexual agency. The novel's heroine, Janie Crawford, traverses the boundaries of gender, race, and economic status to reclaim her voice, making her journey emblematic of what **hooks** called a "radical black female subjectivity" (hooks, 1990, p. 155). Feminist literary curricula have increasingly embraced such texts, recognizing the importance of **inclusive canons** that reflect the multiplicity of women's lived experiences. As **Allen** (2022) affirms in *Feminist Perspectives on Power*, intersectionality has become "extraordinarily influential" in academic and literary circles, precisely because it shifts analytical focus from static identity categories to the dynamic interactions of power, culture, and agency. This shift has encouraged educators and critics to diversify reading lists and pedagogical approaches, incorporating literature that not only critiques oppression but also **celebrates complexity, resistance, and survival**.

In sum, intersectionality empowers literary criticism to move beyond mere diagnosis of inequality toward the **affirmation of agency**, **voice**, and **narrative self-determination**. By spotlighting texts that represent women navigating layered oppressions, feminist scholars construct a richer, more equitable literary field—one that does justice to the multifaceted realities of women's lives.

5. Contemporary Debates and Critiques

While **intersectionality** has become foundational in feminist theory and literary criticism, it has not remained unchallenged. Over the past two decades, scholars have engaged in **critical reassessment** of both its theoretical underpinnings and practical applications. These critiques are not rejections of intersectionality per se, but rather efforts to

problematize its limitations, sharpen its methodological precision, and expand its theoretical flexibility.

One notable critique comes from **Naomi Zack** (2005), who argues that intersectionality's focus on continuously dividing identity into finer categories can risk **political fragmentation**. According to Zack, the proliferation of identity axes—race, gender, sexuality, class, ability, religion, etc.—may dilute the potential for unified feminist resistance. She cautions against what she terms the **"infinite regress" of identity politics**, suggesting that if every subject position is treated as entirely unique, shared political goals may become increasingly difficult to define. As she writes, "the more specific identity becomes, the more difficult it is to organize coalitions for change" (Zack, 2005, p. 112). Her critique thus highlights a tension between **pluralism and solidarity** in feminist praxis.

Further theoretical complication arises in the work of **Lynne Huffer** and **Jasbir Puar**, who challenge the **ontological assumptions** embedded in traditional intersectionality. Puar (2012), in particular, critiques what she sees as the **categorical rigidity** of intersectional frameworks. Drawing from **Deleuzean theory and queer studies**, Puar introduces the concept of **"assemblage"** as an alternative to static identity categories. In her book *Terrorist Assemblages: Homonationalism in Queer Times*, she argues that identities are not stable, additive layers (e.g., Black + queer + female), but rather **fluid configurations** that shift depending on context, power, and affect. Assemblage theory, she contends, offers a more **non-linear, dynamic** understanding of subjectivity and oppression (Puar, 2012, p. xxv).

Similarly, **Lynne Huffer** (2013) critiques the **moral absolutism** that can emerge in intersectional discourse, warning that it sometimes essentializes marginalized identities in the name of recognition and justice. She calls for a return to **poststructuralist ethics**, emphasizing openness, relationality, and the refusal to fix subjects within predetermined categories. These critiques do not dismiss intersectionality outright but urge scholars to remain vigilant about how identity is constructed and instrumentalized—even within progressive discourses.

Despite such concerns, most feminist scholars affirm that intersectionality remains **indispensable** to both **critical theory and praxis**, especially in the realm of literary analysis. As **Leslie McCall** (2005) contends in her influential article *The Complexity of Intersectionality*, the very **messiness** of overlapping identities is what makes intersectionality such a robust and necessary analytic. McCall categorizes intersectional methodologies into three approaches: **anticategorical**, **intercategorical**, and **intracategorical**, each offering a different way of grappling with identity and difference (p. 1774). Her typology illustrates that intersectionality is not a monolith, but a **pluralistic and evolving framework**.

Moreover, critiques have led to **refinements rather than rejections**. Scholars increasingly emphasize **context-specific applications** of intersectionality, ensuring that it is not used as a mere checklist of identity markers but as a **deeply situated analytical tool**. As **Allen** (2022) notes in *Feminist Perspectives on Power*, the ongoing vitality of intersectionality lies in its ability to "adapt to the shifting contours of power" and to foreground "the simultaneity of privilege and oppression" in social and textual worlds.

In literary studies, these debates encourage scholars to move beyond surface-level diversity and engage more critically with how **narrative form, voice, and genre** interact with intersecting systems of power. For example, scholars analyzing texts like **Suzan-Lori Parks's *Topdog/Underdog*** or **Morrison's *Sula*** must consider not only race and gender but also **interpersonal, spatial, and economic dynamics** that defy fixed categorization.

In conclusion, while contemporary critiques have exposed important tensions within intersectional theory—particularly around **categorization, coalition-building, and essentialism**—they have also prompted more nuanced, adaptive, and rigorous deployments of the concept. Far from undermining intersectionality, these critical dialogues reaffirm its centrality to feminist literary studies and to a broader understanding of **how power operates across and through identities**.

6. Conclusion

Intersectionality has profoundly transformed the landscape of feminist literary criticism by offering a framework through which the **interwoven structures of race, class, gender, sexuality, and nationality** can be systematically examined. Moving beyond reductive analyses that isolate identity categories, intersectionality illuminates how **multiple axes of oppression co-construct subjectivity**, influence narrative structure, and shape character development. In doing so, it allows for **a more ethical, inclusive, and politically conscious engagement with texts**, especially those emerging from historically marginalized communities.

Feminist literary scholars, drawing on foundational works by **Kimberlé Crenshaw** (1991), **Patricia Hill Collins** (1990), **bell hooks** (2000), and others, now recognize that literature must be interpreted within the **context of overlapping hierarchies**. This approach not only enhances critical insight but also **recovers voices** that have been systematically silenced or distorted in both the literary canon and feminist theory. Texts such as **Toni Morrison's *Sula***, **Suzan-Lori Parks's *Topdog/Underdog***, and **Nawal El Saadawi's *Woman at Point Zero*** are emblematic of the intersectional literary paradigm. These works present protagonists whose experiences cannot be adequately understood through a single lens but rather demand an **intersectional awareness** of how racial, gendered, and economic subjugation intersect in complex ways.

Moreover, intersectionality in literature is not limited to the **diagnosis of oppression**; it also functions as a **strategy for reclaiming agency and reimagining resistance**. Characters like Morrison's Sula or Saadawi's Firdaus do not simply endure intersecting oppressions—they **navigate, contest, and subvert** the systems that bind them. These narratives foreground the **resilience, ambiguity, and multiplicity** of women's lives, challenging essentialist representations and opening new pathways for feminist solidarity.

As feminist literary criticism continues to evolve in response to **globalization, migration, and shifting discourses of identity**, intersectionality remains an indispensable analytical tool. It pushes scholars to ask: Whose stories are told? Whose identities are visible? And how do power and privilege mediate literary voice and form? Intersectionality insists on reading "**one story at a time, through the multiple lenses of human identity**," reminding us that **no literary analysis is complete without attention to the intricate webs of power that frame human experience** (Allen, 2022).

In sum, intersectionality is not merely a theoretical framework—it is a **critical ethic**, a commitment to **justice-oriented reading** that honors the full complexity of lives lived at the crossroads of domination and resistance.

Works Cited

1. Adichie, Chimamanda Ngozi. *Americanah.* Alfred A. Knopf, 2013.
   ---. *Half of a Yellow Sun.* Knopf, 2006.
   ---. *We Should All Be Feminists.* Fourth Estate, 2014.
2. Allen, Amy. "Feminist Perspectives on Power." *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, edited by Edward N. Zalta, Fall 2022 ed., Stanford University, 2005, https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2022/entries/feminist-power/.
3. Beauvoir, Simone de. *The Second Sex.* Translated by H. M. Parshley, Vintage Books, 1972.
4. Bechdel, Alison. *Fun Home: A Family Tragicomic.* Houghton Mifflin, 2006.
5. Butler, Judith. *Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity.* Routledge, 1990.
6. Carter, Angela. *The Bloody Chamber and Other Stories.* Gollancz, 1979.
7. Christian, Barbara. *Black Feminist Criticism: Perspectives on Black Women Writers.* Pergamon Press, 1985.
8. Chiumbu, Sarah. "African Feminism and Postcolonial Theory." *Feminist Africa*, vol. 5, 2005, pp. 20–32.
9. Collins, Patricia Hill. *Black Feminist Thought: Knowledge, Consciousness, and the Politics of Empowerment.* 2nd ed., Routledge, 2000.

10. Crenshaw, Kimberlé. "Mapping the Margins: Intersectionality, Identity Politics, and Violence Against Women of Color." *Stanford Law Review*, vol. 43, no. 6, 1991, pp. 1241–1299.
11. Dangarembga, Tsitsi. *Nervous Conditions.* Seal Press, 1988.
12. Devi, Mahasweta. "Draupadi." *Breast Stories*, translated by Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak, Seagull Books, 1997, pp. 19–38.
13. Derrida, Jacques. *Writing and Difference.* Translated by Alan Bass, U of Chicago P, 1978.
14. Foucault, Michel. *The History of Sexuality: Volume I: An Introduction.* Translated by Robert Hurley, Vintage Books, 1990.
15. Gaard, Greta. "Living Interconnections with Animals and Nature." *Ecofeminism: Women, Animals, Nature*, edited by Greta Gaard, Temple UP, 1993, pp. 1–12.
16. Gilbert, Sandra M., and Susan Gubar. *The Madwoman in the Attic: The Woman Writer and the Nineteenth-Century Literary Imagination.* Yale UP, 1979.
17. Grewal, Inderpal, and Caren Kaplan. *Scattered Hegemonies: Postmodernity and Transnational Feminist Practices.* U of Minnesota P, 1994.
18. hooks, bell. *Ain't I a Woman: Black Women and Feminism.* South End Press, 1981.
---. *Feminism Is for Everybody: Passionate Politics.* South End Press, 2000.
---. *Yearning: Race, Gender, and Cultural Politics.* South End Press, 1990.
19. Hurston, Zora Neale. *Their Eyes Were Watching God.* J. B. Lippincott & Co., 1937.
20. Huffer, Lynne. *Are the Lips a Grave? A Queer Feminist on the Ethics of Sex.* Columbia UP, 2013.
21. Kaplan, Cora, and Mary Cramer, editors. *The Madwoman in the Academy: Women Scholars and the Structural Transformation of Higher Education.* U of Michigan P, 1998.
22. Lahiri, Jhumpa. *Interpreter of Maladies.* Houghton Mifflin, 1999.
23. Lorde, Audre. *Sister Outsider: Essays and Speeches.* Crossing Press, 1984.
24. Marcus, Steven, editor. *Feminists Reread Freud.* Schocken, 1986.
25. McCall, Leslie. "The Complexity of Intersectionality." *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society*, vol. 30, no. 3, 2005, pp. 1771–1800.
26. McQuade, Laura. "Decolonizing Feminism in Adichie's *Americanah*." *Journal of Postcolonial Writing*, vol. 53, no. 1, 2017, pp. 48–59.
27. Millett, Kate. *Sexual Politics.* Doubleday, 1970.
28. Mohanty, Chandra Talpade. "Under Western Eyes: Feminist Scholarship and Colonial Discourses." *Feminist Review*, vol. 30, 1988, pp. 61–88.
29. Moi, Toril. "What Can Literature Do? Simone de Beauvoir as a Literary Theorist." *PMLA*, vol. 124, no. 1, 2009, pp. 189–198. https://doi.org/10.1632/pmla.2009.124.1.189.
30. Morrison, Toni. *Beloved.* Alfred A. Knopf, 1987.
---. *Sula.* Knopf, 1973.
31. Parks, Suzan-Lori. *Topdog/Underdog.* Theatre Communications Group, 2001.

32. Puar, Jasbir K. *Terrorist Assemblages: Homonationalism in Queer Times.* Revised and expanded ed., Duke UP, 2012.
33. Saadawi, Nawal El. *Woman at Point Zero.* Translated by Sherif Hetata, Zed Books, 1983.
34. Showalter, Elaine. *A Literature of Their Own: British Women Novelists from Brontë to Lessing.* Princeton UP, 1977.
---. "Feminist Criticism in the Wilderness." *Critical Inquiry*, vol. 8, no. 2, 1981, pp. 179–205.
35. Sidhwa, Bapsi. *Cracking India.* Milkweed Editions, 1991.
36. Smith, Sidonie, Susan Mackinnon, and Vivian Gornick, editors. *Women's Studies for the Future: Foundations, Interrogations, Politics.* Routledge, 2003.
37. Spillers, Hortense J. "Mama's Baby, Papa's Maybe: An American Grammar Book." *Diacritics*, vol. 17, no. 2, 1987, pp. 65–81.
38. Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. "Can the Subaltern Speak?" *Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture*, edited by Cary Nelson and Lawrence Grossberg, U of Illinois P, 1988, pp. 271–313.
39. The Combahee River Collective. "The Combahee River Collective Statement." *All the Women Are White, All the Blacks Are Men, but Some of Us Are Brave*, edited by Gloria T. Hull, Patricia Bell Scott, and Barbara Smith, Feminist Press, 1982, pp. 13–22.
40. Warhol, Robyn. "Feminisms in Contemporary Criticism: That's Not All There Is." *Aspects of Othello: Inscribing Gender, Rereading Strategies*, edited by Judith Donawerth and Susanne P. Gamel, U of Delaware P, 1997, pp. 183–210.
41. Winterson, Jeanette. *Written on the Body.* Jonathan Cape, 1992.
42. Woolf, Virginia. *A Room of One's Own.* Hogarth Press, 1929.
43. Zimmerman, Toni. "The Politics of Writing, Writing Politics: Virginia Woolf's A [Virtual] Room of One's Own." *Journal of Feminist Scholarship*, vol. 3, 2012, pp. 35–55.
44. Zack, Naomi. *Thinking About Gender: A Historical Anthology.* Wiley-Blackwell, 2005.